1 DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND ZONING, **BEFORE THE** 2 **PETITIONER** * PLANNING BOARD OF 3 **ZRA-219 HOWARD COUNTY, MARYLAND** 4 5 6 7 **MOTION:** Recommend approval of ZRA-219. 8 **ACTION:** Approved with Amendments; Vote 5-0. 9 10 11 RECOMMENDATION 12 On October 16, 2025, the Planning Board of Howard County, Maryland, considered the petition of the 13 Department of Planning and Zoning (DPZ), the Petitioner, for several amendments throughout the Zoning Code 14 to promote diverse housing opportunities within Activity Centers in the Transit Oriented Development (TOD) 15 and Traditional Neighborhood Center Overlay (TNC) zoning districts, specifically to: 16 Create new definition for Activity Center under Section 103.0. 17 Add age-restricted adult housing (ARAH) as a permitted use by right in the TOD district, subject 18 to additional requirements, and allow ARAH housing developments to satisfy the Moderate Income 19 Housing Unit (MIHU) requirement off-site or by fee-in-lieu payment. 20 Require residential development projects, including ARAH projects, in the TOD and TNC districts 21 that are five acres or greater to have more than one housing type, unless the developer can 22 demonstrate that the property has a unique condition that precludes this requirement from being 23 met. 24 25 The Planning Board considered the petition and the Department of Planning and Zoning's (DPZ) 26 Technical Staff Report (TSR). 27 **Testimony** 28 Ms. Julia Sauer, DPZ staff member, presented the proposed Zoning Regulation Amendment (ZRA). 29 She stated the purpose of the ZRA is to promote diverse housing opportunities within identified Activity Centers 30 in the TOD and TNC zoning districts to help align future development with the goals of Howard County's 31 General Plan, HoCo By Design. Ms. Sauer went through each text amendment and explained what the current 32 regulations allow, what is proposed, what code sections are impacted (as summarized above), as well as the 33 ZRA criteria.

Following DPZ's presentation of the proposed amendment, Mr. Kevin McAliley, Planning Board Chair, asked the Planning Board if they had questions for DPZ. Ms. Barbara Mosier and Mr. James Cecil, Planning Board members, asked about the MIHU requirements for ARAH in the TOD district and the option to pay a fee-in-lieu or provide MIHU's offsite. Ms. Sauer explained that the Housing and Community Development Ordinance, which administers the MIHU program, explicitly allows ARAH to provide fee in-lieu or off-site units, and the proposed ZRA adds language for the ARAH MIHU requirement to be consistent with the Housing and Community Development Ordinance Ms. Lynda Eisenberg, Executive Secretary of the Planning Board and Planning Director, explained the Department of Housing and Community Development obtains funding from fee in-lieu units which would create a bigger conversation on eliminating the option of fee-in-lieu. Mr. Cecil asked if consideration was given by DPZ to increase the MIHU requirement from 15% to 20% to be consistent with the policies of the General Plan. Ms. Sauer said increasing the MIHU requirement was not contemplated.

Ms. Jasmine Duvall testified in support of the proposed ZRA, once on behalf of the Howard County Housing Affordability Coalition and again on behalf of the Columbia Housing Center. Ms. Duvall testified that the amendment would provide opportunity for affordable housing in Activity Centers. Ms. Duvall stated that Housing Affordability Coalition and Columbia Housing Center provided a favorable recommendation on the ZRA with a recommendation to increase the MIHU requirement to 20%. Ms. Duvall, on behalf of Columbia Housing Center, also recommended providing an incentive for developers to build affordable housing onsite. Mr. Cecil asked if the Coalition had any thoughts on the MIHU fee in-lieu option. Ms. Duvall stated the Coalition does not have a stance or recommendation of the MIHU fee in-lieu option. Mr. Cecil also asked if Ms. Duvall felt the MIHU fees are appropriate. Ms. Duvall stated on behalf of the Columbia Housing Center, she feels the fees should be higher and there should be fewer projects that allow fee-in-lieu. Mr. Joel Hurewitz testified on the proposed ZRA and asked for clarification on nonconformities.

Ms. Mosier asked staff to explain how the MIHU requirement is administered. DPZ staff explained that the DPZ would provide the number of units needed to meet the MIHU requirement and the developer would work with the Department of Housing and Community Development to come to an agreement to meet the MIHU requirement. Ms. Mosier asked if there are any incentives for developers to provide more than the required MIHU minimum. Ms. Eisenberg stated that the zoning code does not have incentives, but the TOD district does allow for certain qualified projects to be exempt from Adequate Public Facility testing if providing additional affordable housing on-site. Ms. Eisenberg explained that HoCo By Design does recommend looking at the MIHU requirements comprehensively, but conversations about MIHU application is beyond a zoning code update and should be discussed with the Department of Housing and Community Development.

1 Board Discussion and Recommendation 2 The Planning Board discussed that they were generally in favor of the ZRA and discussed the text 3 changes by section of the code. Mr. Cecil stated he would be supportive of increasing the MIHU requirements 4 to 20% in both the TOD and TNC districts to align with HoCo By Design. The Planning Board also 5 recommended the Council could explore incentives for achieving the 20% MIHU requirement. 6 Mr. Cecil motioned to recommend approval of ZRA-219 with an amendment. Mr. Mason seconded the 7 motion. The motion passed 5-0. 8 The amendment is: 9 1. Increase the MIHU requirements in the TOD and TNC districts to 20% to align with the goals of 10 HoCo By Design and to consider and explore incentive structures to achieve the 20%, as needed. 11 12 For the foregoing reasons, the Planning Board of Howard County, Maryland, on this __10th day of 13 November 2025, recommends that ZRA-219, as described above, be APPROVED WITH AMENDMENTS. 14 15 HOWARD COLINTY: PLANNING BOARD Kevin Mcaliley 16 17 Kevin McAliley, Chair 18 James (ecil 19 20 James Cecil, Vice-chair 21 22 23 Mason Godsey 24 **ABSENT** 25 26 Lynn Moore 27 28 Barbara Mosier 29 Barbara Mosier 30 ATTEST: 31 Lynda Eisenberg 32 33 Lynda Eisenberg, AICP, Executive Secretary