OFFICIAL STATEMENT DATED FEBRUARY 15, 2011

New Issue - Book-Entry Only

Under existing law, the Bonds, their transfer, the interest payable on them and any income derived from them, including any profit realized in their sale or exchange, shall be exempt at all times from every kind and nature of taxation by the State of Maryland or by the political subdivisions, municipal corporations or public agencies of any kind of the State of Maryland. No opinion is expressed as to estate or inheritance taxes, or any other taxes not levied or assessed directly on the Bonds, their transfer or the interest therefrom. Assuming compliance with certain covenants described herein, under existing statutes, regulations and decisions, interest on the Bonds will be excludable from gross income for federal income tax purposes. Interest on the Bonds for federal income tax purposes, may be included in the calculation of a corporation's alternative minimum taxable income and will be subject to the branch profits tax imposed on foreign corporations engaged in a trade or business in the United States of America. See "TAX EXEMPTION."

\$161,105,000 General Obligation Bonds



RATINGS: (See the section "RATINGS") Fitch......AAA Moody's.....Aaa Standard & Poor's.....AAA

\$111,115,000 Consolidated Public Improvement Bonds, 2011 Series A \$49,990,000 Metropolitan District Bonds, 2011 Series A

Dated: Date of Delivery	Due: February 15, as shown herein
Payable:	Interest on and principal of the Bonds will be paid by the County to The Depository Trust Company, New York, New York, as securities depository. Disbursement of such payments will be made by DTC to its Participants which in turn will provide for payment to the Beneficial Owners of the Bonds, all as described herein. Beneficial Owners will not receive certificates evidencing their interests in Bonds purchased.
Redemption:	The Bonds maturing on and after February 15, 2020 are subject to optional redemption on or anytime after February 15, 2019 at a redemption price as set forth herein.
Purpose:	Proceeds of the Bonds and investment earnings thereon are being used to (1) pay the principal of certain of the County's outstanding notes described herein, (2) pay or reimburse the County for the payment of costs related to certain projects, and (3) pay costs of issuance of the Bonds.
Security:	The Bonds are general obligations of the County, and its full faith and credit and unlimited taxing power are irrevocably pledged to the punctual payment of principal of and interest on the Bonds when due.
Denomination:	Integral multiples of \$5,000
Interest Payable:	August 15 and February 15
First Interest Payment Due:	August 15, 2011

FOR MATURITY SCHEDULES SEE INSIDE COVER

This cover page contains information for quick reference only. It is not a summary of the Bonds. Investors must read the entire Official Statement to obtain information essential to making an informed investment decision.

The Bonds are offered for delivery when, as and if issued, subject to the approving opinions of McKennon Shelton & Henn LLP, Baltimore, Maryland, Bond Counsel. Public Financial Management, Inc. served as financial advisor to the County in connection with the issuance of the Bonds. The Bonds in definitive form will be available for delivery through the facilities of DTC on or about March 9, 2011.

Maturity Schedules

Due	Principal		Interest		Due	Principal		Interest	
Feb. 15	Amount	CUSIP	Rate	Yield	Feb. 15	Amount	CUSIP	Rate	Yield
2012	\$ 3,795,000	442565V20	5.000 %	0.400 %	2022	\$ 5,565,000	442565W45	4.000 %	3.540 %
2013	3,660,000	442565V38	5.000	0.750	2023	5,790,000	442565W52	4.000	3.750
2014	3,840,000	442565V46	5.000	1.160	2024	6,020,000	442565W60	4.000	3.920
2015	4,030,000	442565V53	5.000	1.580	2025	6,260,000	442565W78	4.000	4.060
2016	4,235,000	442565V61	5.000	1.860	2026	6,510,000	442565W86	4.000	4.135
2017	4,445,000	442565V79	5.000	2.230	2027	6,770,000	442565W94	4.250	4.300
2018	4,670,000	442565V87	5.000	2.540	2028	7,060,000	442565X28	4.250	4.376
2019	4,900,000	442565V95	5.000	2.830	2029	7,360,000	442565X36	4.375	4.460
2020	5,145,000	442565W29	4.000	3.100	2030	7,680,000	442565X44	4.500	4.530
2021	5,350,000	442565W37	4.000	3.330	2031	8,030,000	442565X51	4.500	4.570

\$111,115,000 Consolidated Public Improvement Bonds, 2011 Series A

\$49,990,000 Metropolitan District Bonds, 2011 Series A

Due	Principal		Interest		Due	Principal		Interest	
Feb. 15	Amount	CUSIP	Rate	Yield	Feb. 15	Amount	CUSIP	Rate	Yield
2012	\$ 1,010,000	442565X69	4.000 %	0.370 %	2024	\$ 1,385,000	442565Z26	4.000 %	3.920 %
2013	900,000	442565X77	4.000	0.750	2025	1,440,000	442565Z34	4.000	4.060
2014	935,000	442565X85	4.000	1.140	2026	1,500,000	442565Z42	4.125	4.200
2015	975,000	442565X93	4.000	1.580	2027	1,560,000	442565Z59	4.250	4.300
2016	1,015,000	442565Y27	4.000	1.860	2028	1,630,000	442565Z67	4.250	4.376
2017	1,055,000	442565Y35	4.000	2.230	2029	1,695,000	442565Z75	4.375	4.460
2018	1,095,000	442565Y43	4.000	2.550	2030	1,770,000	442565Z83	4.500	4.530
2019	1,140,000	442565Y50	4.000	2.850	2031	1,850,000	442565Z91	4.500	4.570
2020	1,185,000	442565Y68	4.000	3.100	2033	3,960,000	4425652A4	4.625	4.720
2021	1,235,000	442565Y76	4.000	3.330	2036	6,660,000	4425652B2	4.750	4.819
2022	1,280,000	442565Y84	4.000	3.540	2041	13,380,000	4425652C0	4.750	4.845
2023	1,335,000	442565Y92	4.000	3.750					

Howard County, Maryland

3430 Court House Drive Ellicott City, Maryland 21043 Telephone (410) 313-2195 Fax (410) 313-4433 www.howardcountymd.gov

County Executive

Ken Ulman

County Council

Calvin Ball, *Chairperson* Jennifer Terrasa, *Vice Chairperson* Greg Fox, *Council Member* Mary Kay Sigaty, *Council Member* Courtney Watson, *Council Member*

Certain Appointed Officials

Haskell Arnold, County Auditor John Byrd, Director of Recreation and Parks Jessica Feldmark, Chief of Staff Robert J. Frances, Director of Inspections, Licenses and Permits Sharon F. Greisz, Director of Finance William F. Goddard III, Director of Fire and Rescue James M. Irvin, Director of Public Works Jack Kavanagh, Director of Corrections Ira Levy, Director of Technology and Communication Services Margaret Ann Nolan, County Solicitor William McMahon, Chief of Police Marsha McLaughlin, Director of Planning and Zoning Lonnie R. Robbins, Chief Administrative Officer Lois Mikkila, Director of Citizen Services Stephen LeGendre, Administrator to the County Council Raymond S. Wacks, Budget Administrator

Financial Advisor

Public Financial Management, Inc. Two Logan Square Suite 1600 18th & Arch Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103-2748 Telephone (215) 567-6100 Fax (215) 567-4180 www.pfm.com

Bond Counsel

McKennon Shelton & Henn LLP 401 East Pratt Street, Suite 2315 Baltimore, Maryland 21202 Telephone (410) 843-3500 Fax (410) 843-3501 www.mshllp.com

Independent Auditor

Reznick Group, P.C. 500 East Pratt Street, Suite 200 Baltimore, Maryland 21202-3100 Telephone (410) 783-4900 Fax (410) 727-0460 www.reznickgroup.com No dealer, broker, salesman or other person has been authorized by Howard County, Maryland to give any information or to make any representations with respect to Howard County, Maryland, or the Bonds, other than those contained in this Official Statement. If given or made, such other information or representations must not be relied upon as having been authorized by any of the foregoing. This Official Statement does not constitute an offer to sell or the solicitation of any offer to buy, nor shall there be any sale of, the Bonds described herein by any person in any jurisdiction in which it is unlawful for such person to make such offer, solicitation or sale.

All quotations from and summaries and explanations of provisions of laws and documents herein do not purport to be complete and reference is made to such laws and documents for full and complete statements of their provisions. Any statements made in this Official Statement involving estimates or matters of opinion, whether or not expressly so stated, are intended merely as estimates or opinion and not as representations of fact. The information and expressions of opinion contained herein are subject to change without notice. Neither the delivery of this Official Statement nor any sale of the Bonds shall under any circumstances create any implication that there has been no change in the affairs of Howard County, Maryland since the respective dates as of which such information is given herein. This Official Statement is not to be construed as a contract or agreement between Howard County, Maryland and the purchasers or holders of any of the Bonds. Furthermore, this Official Statement does not contain any investment advice for purchasers or holders of any of the Bonds. Such persons should consult their own financial advisors regarding possible financial consequences of ownership of the Bonds.

In connection with the offering of the Bonds, the purchasers of the Bonds may over-allot or effect transactions that stabilize or maintain the market price of the Bonds at levels above that which might otherwise prevail in the open market. Such stabilizing, if commenced, may be discontinued at any time.

CUSIP numbers set forth herein are copyrighted by the American Bankers Association. CUSIP data herein is provided by Standard & Poor's CUSIP Service Bureau, a division of The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., and the County takes no responsibility for the accuracy thereof. These data are not intended to create a database and do not serve in any way as a substitute for the CUSIP Service Bureau.

No registration statement relating to the Bonds has been filed with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") or with any state security agency. The Bonds have not been approved or disapproved by the SEC or any state securities agency nor has the SEC or any state securities agency passed upon the accuracy or adequacy of this Official Statement. Any representation to the contrary may be a criminal offense.



Credits: Joan Geller Grauman, local artist, Ellicott City, Maryland and Mat About You Gallery and Framing Studio

Table of Contents

The Bonds

Purpose of Official Statement	1
The County	1
Authorization	1
Description	1
Registration, Payment and Transfer	2
Redemption	3
Security and Sources of Payment	5
Purpose of Financing	5
Continuing Disclosure	6
Additional Information	6

Financial Information

Financial Reporting	7
Overview of Revenues and Expenditures	9
Sources of Revenue	15
Local Income, Transfer and Other County Taxes	19
Water and Sewer Enterprise Fund	21
Golf Course Enterprise Fund	23
Retirement and Pension Programs	23
Other Post Employment Benefits	25
Accounting for Annual and Disability Leave	26
Insurance and Risk Management	26
Investment Policy	27

Capital Requirements and Debt Management

29
30
31
31
31
32
34
34
34
35
39

Government and Infrastructure

General	41
Government Organizational Chart	42
Executive, Administrative,	
Legislative and Education Officials	43
Responsibilities and Services	46

Economy and Demography

Size and Land Use	51
Population	51
Education	53
Income	54
Housing	56
Economy	57
Columbia	61

Legal and Miscellaneous

Approval of Legal Proceedings	63
Tax Exemption	63
Ratings	66
Litigation	66
Independent Auditors	66
Financial Advisor	66
Sale at Public Bidding	67
Certificate of County Officials	67
Miscellaneous	67

Appendix A

Audited Basic Financial Statements A	-1
--------------------------------------	----

Appendix B

Form of Bond Counsel Opinions	B-1


Appendix C

Co	ntinuing	Disclosure	Agreement	C-1

Appendix D

Bond Amortization	Tables	D-1

Howard County Regional Location



The Bonds

Purpose of Official Statement

This Official Statement provides information regarding Howard County, Maryland (the "County") and the offering of \$161,105,000 general obligation bonds (the "Bonds"). The Bonds consist of the following:

- \$111,115,000 Consolidated Public Improvement Bonds, 2011 Series A (the "Public Improvement Bonds") and
- \$49,990,000 Metropolitan District Bonds, 2011 Series A (the "Metropolitan District Bonds").

The County

Howard County, Maryland is 251 square miles in area and is home to approximately 281,884 residents. The County is located in the State of Maryland (the "State") between Baltimore, Maryland and Washington, D.C., and at its closest points is less than four miles from the former and 13 miles from the latter. The County was formed in 1851 and bears the name of Colonel John Eager Howard, the fifth Governor of Maryland. The County was predominately agricultural until 1966, when construction began on the new town of Columbia. The County's population has grown an average of 1.45 percent annually since 2000, and is one of the wealthiest in the nation. Under a home rule charter since 1968, the County is governed by an elected county executive (the "County Executive") and five-member council (the "Council").

Authorization

The Consolidated Public Improvement Bonds are being issued pursuant to the authority of Article 25A of the Annotated Code of Maryland (2005 Replacement Volume and 2010 Supplement) ("Article 25A"), the Howard County Charter (the "Charter"), Section 2C of Article 31 of the Annotated Code of Maryland (2010 Replacement Volume), certain bills of the Council (specifically, Council Bill Nos. 22, 24, and 35 enacted during the 2009 Legislative Session, and Council Bill Nos. 25 and 27 enacted during the 2010 Legislative Session), and in accordance with Council Bill No. 59-2008 enacted during the 2008 Legislative Session and Council Bill No. 48-2010 enacted during the 2010 Legislative Session (collectively, the "Bond Ordinance").

The Metropolitan District Bonds are being issued pursuant to the authority of Article 25A, the Charter, Chapter 991 of the Acts of the General Assembly of Maryland 1943, as amended, Chapter 609 of the Acts of the General Assembly of Maryland of 1945, as amended, Chapter 369 of the Acts of the General Assembly of Maryland of 1963, as amended and Chapter 356 of the Acts of the General Assembly of Maryland of 1965, as amended, certain bills of the Council (specifically, Council Bill No. 23 enacted during the 2009 Legislative Session and Council Bill No. 26 enacted during the 2010 Legislative Session), and in accordance with the Bond Ordinance.

Description

The Bonds are dated and bear interest from their date of delivery (the "Closing Date"), and pay interest on August 15 and February 15 of each year, beginning August 15, 2011, at the interest rates set forth on the inside cover page of this Official Statement. Interest is calculated on the basis of a 360-day year consisting of twelve 30-day months. The Bonds are issued as fully-registered bonds without coupons, book-entry form only, and are denominated in integral multiples of \$5,000. The Bonds mature, subject to prior redemption as described herein, on the dates and in the amounts set forth on the inside cover page of this Official Statement.

Registration, Payment and Transfer

Registration through Securities Depository

The information contained in the following paragraphs of this subsection "Book-Entry Only System" has been extracted from a schedule prepared by The Depository Trust Company ("DTC") entitled "SAMPLE OFFERING DOCUMENT LANGUAGE DESCRIBING BOOK-ENTRY ONLY ISSUANCE." The County makes no representation as to the completeness or the accuracy of such information or as to the absence of material adverse changes in such information subsequent to the date hereof.

DTC will act as securities depository for the Bonds. The Bonds will be issued as fully-registered securities registered in the name of Cede & Co. (DTC's partnership nominee) or such other name as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC. One fully-registered Bond will be issued for each maturity of the Bonds in principal amount equal to the aggregate principal amount of the Bonds of such maturity, and will be deposited with DTC.

DTC, the world's largest securities depository, is a limited-purpose trust company organized under the New York Banking Law, a "banking organization" within the meaning of the New York Banking Law, a member of the Federal Reserve System, a "clearing corporation" within the meaning of the New York Uniform Commercial Code, and a "clearing agency" registered pursuant to the provisions of Section 17A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. DTC holds and provides asset servicing for over 3.5 million issues of U.S. and non-U.S. equity issues, corporate and municipal debt issues, and money market instruments (from over 100 countries) that DTC's participants ("Direct Participants") deposit with DTC. DTC also facilitates the post-trade settlement among Direct Participants of sales and other securities transactions in deposited securities, through electronic computerized bookentry transfers and pledges between Direct Participants' accounts. This eliminates the need for physical movement of securities certificates. Direct Participants include both U.S. and non-U.S. securities brokers and dealers, banks, trust companies, clearing corporations, and certain other organizations. DTC is a wholly-owned subsidiary of The Depository Trust & Clearing Corporation ("DTCC"). DTCC is the holding company for DTC, National Securities Clearing Corporation and Fixed Income Clearing Corporation, all of which are registered clearing agencies. DTCC is owned by the users of its regulated subsidiaries. Access to the DTC system is also available to others such as both U.S. and non-U.S. securities brokers and dealers, banks, trust companies, and clearing corporations that clear through or maintain a custodial relationship with a Direct Participant, either directly or indirectly ("Indirect Participants"). DTC has Standard & Poor's highest rating: AAA. The DTC Rules applicable to its Participants are on file with the Securities and Exchange Commission. More information about DTC can be found at www.dtcc.com and www.dtc.org.

Purchases of Bonds under the DTC system must be made by or through Direct Participants, which will receive a credit for the Bonds on DTC's records. The ownership interest of each actual purchaser of each Bond ("Beneficial Owner") is in turn to be recorded on the Direct and Indirect Participants' records. Beneficial Owners will not receive written confirmation from DTC of their purchase. Beneficial Owners are, however, expected to receive written confirmations providing details of the transaction, as well as periodic statements of their holdings, from the Direct or Indirect Participant through which the Beneficial Owner entered into the transaction. Transfers of ownership interests in the Bonds are to be accomplished by entries made on the books of Direct and Indirect Participants acting on behalf of Beneficial Owners. Beneficial Owners will not receive certificates representing their ownership interests in the Bonds, except in the event that use of the book-entry system for the Bonds is discontinued.

To facilitate subsequent transfers, all Bonds deposited by Direct Participants with DTC are registered in the name of DTC's partnership nominee, Cede & Co., or such other name as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC. The deposit of Bonds with DTC and their registration in the name of Cede & Co. or such other DTC nominee do not affect any change in beneficial ownership. DTC has no knowledge of the actual Beneficial Owners of the Bonds; DTC's records reflect only the identity of the Direct Participants to whose accounts such Bonds are credited, which may or may not be the Beneficial Owners. The Direct and Indirect Participants will remain responsible for keeping account of their holdings on behalf of their customers.

Conveyance of notices and other communications by DTC to Direct Participants, by Direct Participants to Indirect Participants, and by Direct Participants and Indirect Participants to Beneficial Owners will be governed by arrangements among them, subject to any statutory or regulatory requirements as may be in effect from time to time.

Redemption notices shall be sent to DTC. If less than all of the Bonds within an issue are being redeemed, DTC's practice is to determine by lot the amount of the interest of each Direct Participant in such issue to be redeemed.

Neither DTC nor Cede & Co. (nor any other DTC nominee) will consent or vote with respect to the Bonds unless authorized by a Direct Participant in accordance with DTC's MMI Procedures. Under its usual procedures, DTC mails an Omnibus Proxy to the County as soon as possible after the record date. The Omnibus Proxy assigns Cede & Co.'s consenting or voting rights to those Direct Participants to whose accounts Bonds are credited on the record date (identified in a listing attached to the Omnibus Proxy).

Principal and interest payments and any premium on the Bonds will be made to Cede & Co., or such other nominee as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC. DTC's practice is to credit Direct Participants' accounts upon DTC's receipt of funds and corresponding detail information from the County or the Paying Agent, on payable date in accordance with their respective holdings shown on DTC's records. Payments by Direct and Indirect Participants to Beneficial Owners will be governed by standing instructions and customary practices, as is the case with securities held for the accounts of customers in bearer form or registered in "street name," and will be the responsibility of such Direct or Indirect Participant and not of DTC, DTC's nominee, the Paying Agent or the County, subject to any statutory or regulatory requirements as may be in effect from time to time. Payment of principal and interest or premium to Cede & Co. (or such other nominee as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC) is the responsibility of the County or its Paying Agent, disbursement of such payments to Direct Participants shall be the responsibility of DTC, and disbursement of such payments to the Beneficial Owners shall be the responsibility of Direct and Indirect Participants.

Neither the County nor its agents will have any responsibility or obligation to Direct or Indirect Participants or to any Beneficial Owner with respect to 1) the accuracy of any records maintained by DTC or any Direct or Indirect Participant; 2) the payment by DTC or any Direct or Indirect Participant of any amount with respect to the principal of, premium, if any, or interest on the bonds; 3) any notice which is permitted or required to be given to Beneficial Owners; 4) any consent given by DTC or other action taken by DTC as bondholder; or 5) the selection by DTC or any Direct or Indirect Participant of any Beneficial Owner to receive payment in the event of a partial redemption of Bonds.

Termination of Book-Entry System

DTC may discontinue providing its services with respect to the Bonds at any time by giving reasonable notice to the County or the Director of Finance of the County (the "Director of Finance"), or her successor as registrar for the Bonds (the "Bond Registrar"). In addition, the County Executive may discontinue the book-entry system with DTC. If the County Executive fails to identify another qualified securities depository to replace DTC, the County will deliver replacement Bonds in the form of fully registered certificates, and payments of principal of and interest on such replacement Bonds will be paid in accordance with the terms of the Ordinance. Each Beneficial Owner, upon registration of certificates held in such Beneficial Owner's name, will become a Bondholder. Subject to the further conditions contained in the Ordinance, the Bonds may be transferred or exchanged for one or more Bonds in different authorized denominations upon surrender thereof by the registered owners or their duly authorized representatives. For every transfer and exchange of the Bonds, the registered owner may be charged a sum sufficient to cover any tax or other governmental charge required to be paid in connection with such exchange or transfer.

Record Dates

The record dates for the Bonds will be the fifteenth day preceding each interest payment date for the Bonds and the fifteenth day preceding the date of publication of any notice of redemption.

Redemption

Mandatory Sinking Fund Redemption

The Metropolitan District Bonds are subject to mandatory sinking fund redemption by the County at a redemption price equal to 100 percent of the principal amount thereof, together with interest accrued to the date fixed for

redemption from sinking fund installments becoming due on February 15 of the following years and in the following amounts:

	Term Bonds Due February 15, 2033						Bonds Due ry 15, 2041
	inking Fund Installment		nking Fund <u>nstallment</u>		nking Fund <u>nstallment</u>		
2032 \$	1,935,000	2034 \$	2,115,000	2037 \$	2,435,000		
2033	2,025,000	2035	2,220,000	2038	2,550,000		
		2036	2,325,000	2039	2,670,000		
				2040	2,795,000		
				2041	2,930,000		

Metropolitan District Bonds Mandatory Sinking Fund Redemption

Optional Redemption

Bonds maturing on or before February 15, 2019 are not redeemable prior to their stated maturities. Bonds maturing on and after February 15, 2020 are subject to redemption prior to their respective maturities, at the option of the County, on or at any time after February 15, 2019, in whole or in part, at a redemption price of 100 percent of the principal amount thereof, together with interest accrued to the redemption date.

If less than all of the outstanding Bonds of any series are called for optional redemption, the County will choose the maturities to be redeemed and the principal amount of each such maturity to be redeemed, in its sole discretion. If less than all of such Bonds of any one maturity are called for redemption, then the particular Bonds of such maturity or portions of such Bonds to be redeemed will be chosen by DTC in accordance with its normal and customary procedures (so long as the Bonds are in book-entry form), or by the Bond Registrar, by lot (if the book-entry system has been discontinued). For an optional redemption of Term Bonds, the County will choose the mandatory sinking fund redemption installments of such Term Bonds to be reduced and the amount of each such reduction, in its sole discretion. The Bonds are redeemable only in integral multiples of \$5,000.

Notice of Redemption

A notice calling for redemption of any Bonds will be delivered to DTC not less than 30 nor more than 45 days prior to the date fixed for redemption (the "Redemption Date"), and otherwise as provided in the Bond Ordinance. If the book-entry system has been discontinued for the Bonds, a notice calling for redemption of any Bonds will be mailed, not less than 30 nor more than 45 days prior to the Redemption Date, to all registered owners of the Bonds to be redeemed (in whole or in part), at their last addresses appearing on the registration books kept by the Bond Registrar, by first-class mail, postage prepaid. Failure to mail or deliver any such notice or any defect in the notice or its mailing or delivery will not affect the validity of any redemption proceedings. Any redemption notice will specify the series, CUSIP numbers, maturities and interest rates of any Bonds to be redeemed, the date of the notice, the Redemption Date, the redemption price, the name, address and telephone number of the Bond Registrar, and, for a partial redemption, the principal amount of each maturity of the Bonds to be redeemed. Such notice will further state that, on the Redemption Date, the Bonds called for redemption will be due and become payable at the office of the Bond Registrar, and that, from and after the Redemption Date, interest thereon shall cease to accrue.

On the Redemption Date, if sufficient money to pay the redemption price of Bonds called for redemption and accrued interest on the Bonds are held by the Bond Registrar, such Bonds called for redemption will become due and payable, interest on such Bonds will cease to accrue and the registered owners of such Bonds will have no rights in respect thereof except to receive payment of the redemption price thereof, plus accrued interest thereon to the Redemption Date.

Security and Sources of Payment

General Obligation

The Bonds are general obligations of the County, and its full faith and credit and unlimited taxing power are irrevocably pledged to the punctual payment of principal and interest on such Bonds when due and payable.

Consolidated Public Improvement Bonds

In each and every fiscal year during which any of the Consolidated Public Improvement Bonds are outstanding, the County will levy or cause to be levied ad valorem taxes on real and tangible personal property and on intangible property subject to taxation by the County in an amount sufficient, together with other available funds, to pay the annual interest on the outstanding Consolidated Public Improvement Bonds and to pay the principal of the Consolidated Public Improvement Bonds due and payable during the succeeding fiscal year. Without limiting the foregoing, portions of the Consolidated Public Improvement Bonds are expected to be paid from transfer tax revenues, school facilities surcharges and building excise taxes on new construction.

Metropolitan District Bonds

The principal of and the interest on the Metropolitan District Bonds are payable from revenues obtained from: (1) special front foot benefit assessments collected by the County on all property in the Metropolitan District (described in more detail under "Government and Infrastructure, Water and Sewer System" herein) directly benefited by water and sewer facilities, (2) special annual ad valorem taxes levied by the County upon assessable property within the Metropolitan District, (3) water and sewer service charges for the use of utilities and charges for the upkeep of water and sewer systems that have a connection with water mains or sewers and (4) water and sewer connection charges. However, in the event such revenues in any fiscal year are insufficient to pay the annual interest on outstanding Metropolitan District Bonds and to pay the principal of the Metropolitan District Bonds due and payable, the County will levy ad valorem taxes on real and tangible personal property and on intangible property subject to taxation by the County in an amount sufficient, together with other available funds, to pay such annual interest and to pay the principal of such Metropolitan District Bonds. The County has never had to levy ad valorem taxes to pay the interest or principal due on Metropolitan District Bonds.

Purpose of Financing

Public Improvement Bonds

The County has issued its Howard County, Maryland Consolidated Public Improvement Commercial Paper Bond Anticipation Notes, Series D (the "Notes") in the outstanding aggregate principal amount of \$115 million in order to defray a portion of the costs of general County capital projects, including storm drainage, police, road construction, bridge, library, recreation and parks, school, community college, sidewalk and curb, intersection and signal improvement capital projects (collectively, "Public Improvement Capital Projects"). The County is issuing the Public Improvement Bonds to provide funds that will be sufficient to pay \$115 million in aggregate principal amount of the Notes at their respective maturities, to defray a portion of the costs of certain County capital projects specified in the Bond Ordinance, and to pay costs of issuance of the Public Improvement Bonds.

Metropolitan District Bonds

The County is issuing the Metropolitan District Bonds to defray a portion of the costs of County water and sewer capital projects and to pay costs of issuance of the Metropolitan District Bonds.

Continuing Disclosure

In order to enable the bidders for the Bonds to comply with the requirements of paragraph (b)(5) of Securities and Exchange Commission Rule 15c2-12 ("Rule 15c2-12"), the County will execute and deliver, on or before the date of issuance and delivery of the Bonds, a Continuing Disclosure Agreement (the "Continuing Disclosure Agreement"). The form of this agreement is included in Appendix C of this Official Statement. Certain of the events listed in Section 4(a) of the Continuing Disclosure Agreement have been included for purposes of compliance with Rule 15c2-12 but are not relevant for the Bonds. Those events relate to debt service reserves, credit enhancements and liquidity providers, and property or other collateral. The County has not failed to comply with the terms and provisions of previous continuing disclosure agreements entered into in order to comply with the requirements of Rule 15c2-12.

Additional Information

This Official Statement speaks only as of the date appearing on the cover page, and the information contained herein is subject to change. Questions regarding this Official Statement should be directed to the Director of Finance at the address and telephone number listed on the inside of the cover page. The full text of the Bond Ordinance and the County's latest comprehensive annual financial report and budget are available from the Director of Finance for the cost of reproducing such material.

Financial Information

Financial Reporting

Basis of Accounting

The County's audited basic financial statements for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010 are included in Appendix A of this Official Statement. These statements were audited by Reznick Group, P.C., independent certified public accountants, to the extent stated in their report appearing in Appendix A. The accounting and financial reporting policies of the County conform to generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") as applicable to governmental units.

The County's accounts are organized on the basis of funds. Fund types include (1) the General Fund, which is the general operating fund of the County, (2) Special Revenue Funds, which account for specific revenues that by law are designated for particular activities, (3) Proprietary Funds, which include the enterprise funds that account for the County's water and sewer and golf course operations, and (4) Capital Projects Funds, which account for construction or acquisition of fixed assets.

The financial data for the Board of Education of Howard County (the "Board of Education"), the Howard County Library (the "Library"), Howard Community College (the "Community College") and the Howard County Housing Commission (the "Housing Commission," and collectively, the "Component Units") are discretely presented in the government-wide statements to emphasize their legal separation from the County. However, the County is responsible for levying taxes and has budgetary control over the Component Units.

The County's comprehensive annual financial report ("CAFR") for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009 was awarded the Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting by the Government Finance Officers Association of the United States and Canada ("GFOA"). To receive this highest recognition from GFOA, a government unit's CAFR must be easily readable, efficiently organized and satisfy both GAAP and legal requirements. The County has received this certificate for each fiscal year since 1976 and has submitted its CAFR for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010 to GFOA for another certificate.

Budget

The County's budget includes an operating and a capital budget. The operating budget is derived from programs detailing the nature, volume and cost of work to be performed by each of the County's agencies. This element of the budget includes revenues estimated to be received during and expenditures recommended for the ensuing fiscal year, surpluses or deficits estimated for the current fiscal year and debt service requirements. The operating budget also projects summaries of revenues and expenditures for the ensuing five fiscal years.

The capital budget describes each capital project proposed in the ensuing fiscal year and receipts anticipated from all borrowings and other sources for such projects. The capital budget also proposes capital projects to be undertaken in the ensuing five fiscal years and the means of financing such projects. (See "Capital Requirements and Debt Management, Capital Budget and Program" herein.)

The County's budget for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2011 was awarded the Distinguished Budget Presentation Award by GFOA. To receive this award, a government unit must publish a budget document that meets program criteria as a policy document, operations guide, financial plan and communication device. The County has received this certificate for each fiscal year since 1994.

The County Executive must submit a capital budget and an operating budget to the Council by April 1 and April 21, respectively. The Council may decrease or delete any budgetary item, except those mandated by State law and provisions to pay outstanding debt service or eliminate cash deficits. The Council has no power to alter revenue estimates or increase any recommended expenditures, except as expressly provided in State law. After enacting the operating budget and adopting the capital budget, the Council must then levy taxes required to balance budget revenues

and expenditures. If a new operating budget is not enacted by the Council before June 2 in any fiscal year, the operating budget proposed by the County Executive stands adopted and funds for expenditures stand appropriated.

No agency of the County may incur any liability in excess of the amounts appropriated for the same general classification of expenditure in the budget. Any such liability incurred, except for small purchases, must first have funds for the designated purpose certified as available by the Director of Finance. The Council, upon the request of the County Executive, may approve transfers between projects in the capital budget and supplemental operating budgets funded from the contingency reserve and from unappropriated funds in emergencies. After April 1 of each year, the Council, upon the request of the County Executive, may approve transfers between departments in the operating budget; the County Executive has authority to make operating budget transfers within a department at any time without approval of the Council.

Surplus revenues in any fiscal year must be appropriated into a "rainy-day fund" until its balance equals seven percent of the audited General Fund expenditures for the prior fiscal year. Money in the fund may be used only for emergencies or to cover significant revenue shortfalls during a fiscal year that the County Executive determines cannot be offset by reducing expenditures. Surplus revenues not required for the rainy-day fund must be used to fund capital projects, reduce existing County debt or fund appropriations for non-recurring expenses, unless otherwise determined by a vote of two-thirds of the members of the Council.

To finance capital projects from borrowing, the Council adopts a bond issue authorization ordinance pursuant to enabling laws. Such ordinances are not subject to referendum, nor to executive veto. Any contract, lease or other obligation providing for payment of funds after the end of the fiscal year in which such obligation is made must be approved by ordinance. No contract for the purchase of real or leasehold property may be entered into unless funds therefor are included in the capital budget. No obligations of the County may be authorized in any fiscal year for any capital project not included in the capital budget.

Government-Wide Full Accrual Reporting

In 2002, the County implemented Statement No. 34 issued by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board ("GASB Statement 34"). One of the key requirements of GASB Statement 34 is the preparation of government-wide financial statements on a full accrual accounting basis. The unaudited positive total net assets shown below as of June 30, 2010, reflect the County's commitment to maintaining infrastructure assets and its tradition of providing substantial pay-go funding for capital outlays.

	GovernmentalActivities	Business-type Activities	Total
NET ASSETS			
Invested in capital assets,	745,888,922	392,097,776	1,137,986,698
net of related debt			
Restricted	163,458,570	120,266,910	283,725,480
Unrestricted	(531,998,220)	1,983,011	(530,015,209)
Total net assets	\$ 377,349,272	514,347,697	891,696,969

The negative unrestricted net assets for governmental activities results from County issuance of debt to construct schools that are owned by the Board of Education. Ownership of school buildings no longer needed for educational purposes reverts to the County. At June 30, 2010 the outstanding debt for school buildings was \$418.1 million. The net value of buildings, improvements and construction in progress owned by the Board of Education was \$868.9 million. If those assets were included in the County's financial statements, the unrestricted net assets for governmental activities would go from negative \$532 million to positive \$336.9 million.

	Governmental Activities	Business-type Activities	Total
Revenues	958,784,767	88,962,820	1,047,747,587
Expenses	974,062,127	66,636,285	1,040,698,412
Increase in net assets before transfers	(15,277,360)	22,326,535	7,049,175
Transfers	(587,000)	587,000	-
Increase in net assets before transfers	(15,864,360)	22,913,535	7,049,175
Adjustments to restate net assets	4,777,630	-	4,777,630
Net Assets - July 1, 2009	388,436,002	491,434,162	879,870,164
Net Assets - June 30, 2010	377,349,272	514,347,697	891,696,969


The schedule below shows the value of net assets resulting from operations in fiscal year 2010 for governmental and business activities combined.


Overview of Revenues and Expenditures


The largest fund in the County's basic financial statements, the General Fund, records receipt of taxes and other revenues not directed by law into other funds and payment of all operating costs of County government and services. Transfers from the General Fund and revenues from other government agencies (particularly the State) provide most of the revenues for the County's Board of Education, Library, Community College and Housing Commission, each of which is a Component Unit.

Special Revenue Funds account for specific revenues that by law are designated for particular functions or activities. The County uses Special Revenue Funds as a way of linking fees or taxes paid by residents with benefits or services received by them. Special Revenue Funds deal with management and construction of public housing, preservation of agricultural land, account for metropolitan and rural fire district activities, record categorical grants received from federal, State and local sources, account for the County's portion of the local health department, support user-funded recreation and parks programs, account for speed enforcement programs and provide for the collection and disposal of solid waste, including the County landfill.


The charts below present the composition of services funded from the General Fund and Special Revenue Funds, budgeted in fiscal year 2011, and the sources of funding for such services.







The revenues collected by the County each month are determined by the tax rates and fee levels established at the beginning of the fiscal year and the value of the bases during the year on which taxes and fees are collected. Property taxes are collected primarily during the County's first and second quarters; income taxes are received from the State following the end of each quarter. The chart below presents General Fund revenues budgeted for the current fiscal year, audited revenues for the past two fiscal years and estimated monthly revenues during fiscal year 2011.





The County is not anticipating a revenue shortfall in Fiscal Year 2011.

The Governor presented his proposed FY 2012 Budget to the General Assembly on January 21, 2011. The budget includes additional reductions in funding for counties and municipalities. There is still potential for additional reductions by the State legislature. The Maryland General Assembly is scheduled to adopt the FY2012 Budget in mid-March 2011.

The following table presents the activity in the General Fund for the last five fiscal years on a budgetary basis, along with the annual budget adopted for fiscal year 2011.

						Annual
		Fiscal Y	ear Ended J	une 30		Budget
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Tax Revenues						
Local property taxes	\$ 313,062	\$ 337,533	\$ 367,835	\$ 401,089	\$ 425,879	\$ 438,15
Local income taxes	283,065	293,307	316,725	317,213	294,043	299,00
Other local taxes	33,672	28,635	24,130	18,751	20,902	21,50
State shared taxes	15,299	15,955	15,513	13,409	790	43
Total Taxes	\$ 645,098	\$ 675,430	\$ 724,203	\$ 750,462	\$ 741,614	\$ 759,08
Other Revenues						
State grants	5,312	6,045	5,684	6,313	5,159	5,65
Charges for services	10,751	10,987	12,893	9,918	11,136	9,94
Interest on investments	6,274	10,647	10,861	4,499	633	1,94
Licenses and permits	7,790	7,047	6,312	4,923	5,839	6,35
Interfund reimbursements	21,128	20,509	27,875	33,773	28,829	34,38
Fines and forfeitures	2,668	3,112	3,356	3,566	2,963	3,10
Appropriation from fund balance	11,190	21,282	37,740	22,692	14,197	3,90
Return of funding from component units	51	48	508	590	4,761	
Miscellaneous revenues	357	963	993	2,409	1,557	
Total Revenues	\$ 710,619	\$ 756,070	\$ 830,425	\$ 839,144	\$ 816,688	\$ 824,37
Expenditures						
General government	19,130	20,714	22,362	20,553	19,106	20,22
Legislative and judicial	16,062	18,111	20,064	19,773	18,886	21,77
Public works ⁽²⁾	55,732	59,214	69,834	65,358	64,408	62,92
Public safety	72,255	81,312	87,361	90,666	88,171	93,41
Recreation and parks	11,454	12,865	13,101	13,795	13,008	12,99
Health	6,875	7,529	8,296	9,464	9,334	9,33
Community services	13,581	7,912	12,578	12,108	14,231	14,29
Education	379,651	419,777	451,677	475,360	482,756	489,90
Libraries	11,731	13,086	14,374	15,554	15,230	15,23
Debt service:						
Principal payment on debt	40,595	41,580	44,950	48,615	49,950	52,31
Interest payment on debt	22,181	26,218	29,325	30,322	28,885	31,97
Capital improvements	13,393	21,278	18,370	22,692	5,644	
OPEB funding ⁽³⁾	-	-	15,466	11,157	-	
Total Expenditures	\$ 662,640	\$ 729,596	\$ 807,758	\$ 835,418	\$ 809,609	\$ 824,37
Excess Revenues over Expenditures	47,979	26,474	22,666	3,726	7,079	((
Less Appropriation from Fund Balance	(11,190)	(21,282)	(37,740)	(22,692)	(14,197)	(3,900
Beginning Fund Balance	73,988	110,777	115,969	100,896	81,930	74,81
Ending Fund Balance	\$ 110,777	\$ 115,969	\$ 100,896	\$ 81,930	\$ 74,812	\$ 70,91

Statement of General Fund Revenues and Expenditures (Budgetary Basis) (000) ⁽¹⁾

(1) The information in this table is presented in the same format as set forth in the County's Operating Budget and should be read in conjunction with the audited basic financial statements included in Appendix A of this Official Statement.

(2) Beginning in FY 2009, the revenues and expenditures of the County recycling program are accounted for in the Environmental Services Special Revenue Fund rather than the General Fund.

(3) Funding was in addition to annual pay-go budget.

The following table presents the activity in all Special Revenue Funds for the last five fiscal years on a budgetary basis, along with the annual budget adopted for fiscal year 2011.

	2006	Fiscal 2007	Year Endec 2008	l June 30 2009	2010	Annual Budget 2011
Revenues						
Property taxes	\$ 40,134	\$ 46,704	\$ 58,354	\$ 65,833	\$ 68,762	\$ 66,56
Other local taxes	15,307	13,490	10,559	7,413	8,744	6,87
Revenues from other governmental agencies	16,608	18,998	18,335	22,531	16,261	50,63
Charges for services	28,523	28,907	29,595	35,601	36,017	39,76
Interest on investments ⁽²⁾	(582)	3,917	7,578	4,201	5,414	7,92
Rental of property (3)	1,026	991	510	717	207	
Miscellaneous revenues	278	304	147	435	335	1,34
Total Revenues	\$101,294	\$113,312	\$125,079	\$136,731	\$135,739	\$ 173,10
Other Sources of Financial Resources						
Operating transfers in	17,327	19,869	21,719	21,602	9,004	24,03
Appropriation from fund balance	0	0	0	0	9,001	20,15
Total Revenues and Other Sources of	0	0	0	0	0	20,13
Financial Resources	\$118,621	\$133,181	\$146,798	\$158,333	\$144,743	\$ 217,29
Expenditures and Encumbrances						
Public safety	41,908	47,406	51,000	56,842	60,328	74,819
Recreation and parks	11,198	11,122	12,149	11,748	11,393	14,331
Health	10,901	11,403	10,828	12,236	9,879	20,564
Environmental ⁽⁴⁾	14,737	13,218	14,383	19,039	17,919	21,354
Community services and intergovernmental	24,535	28,802	33,606	36,038	20,036	99,049
Capital improvements	3,689	0	-	70	1,757	6,209
Debt service:						
Principal payment on debt	227	136	232	235	242	361
Interest payment on debt	4,130	4,113	4,371	4,586	4,605	4,694
Total Expenditures and Encumbrances	\$111,326	\$116,199	\$126,570	\$140,794	\$126,160	\$ 241,380
Other Uses of Financial Resources	. ,	. ,	. ,	. ,	. ,	
Operating transfers out	3,490	3,383	13,405	11,258	3,424	13,70
Total Expenditures and Other Uses of	- ,	- ,	- ,	,	- 1	
Financial Resources	\$114,816	\$119,582	\$139,975	\$152,053	\$129,584	\$ 255,08
Excess Revenues and Other Sources of Financial						
Resources over Expenditures and Encumbrances and Other Uses of Financial Resources	3,805	13,599	6,822	6,281	15,159	(37,793
Less Appropriation from Fund Balance						
Beginning Fund Balance (5)	0	0	0 86 220	05 522	0	(20,157
Ending Fund Balance	68,815 \$ 72,620	72,620 \$ 86,220	86,220 \$ 93,042	95,523 \$101,803	102,598 \$117,756	117,75 \$ 59,80

Statement of Special Revenue Funds Revenues and Expenditures (Budgetary Basis)(000)⁽¹⁾

(1) The information in this table should be read in conjunction with the audited basic financial statements included in Appendix A of this Official Statement.

(2) The negative amount in FY 2006 is the result of recognizing change in market value of U.S. Treasury Strips in the Agricultural Land Preservation Fund in accordance with GASB 31.
 (3) Beginning in FY 2010, property rental revenue is collected by the Housing Commission.

(4) Beginning in FY 2009, the revenues and expenditures of the County's recycling program are accounted for in the Environmental Services Fund rather than the General Fund.

(5) Adjusted in FY2009 for unrecorded loans in the Community Renewal Program Fund, the FY2010 balance was adjusted for overrecognized expenditures in the Grants Fund.

The following table presents the Component Units' (including the Community College Current Funds) activity for the last five fiscal years on a GAAP basis, which is not comparable to General Fund and Special Revenue Funds statements prepared on a budgetary basis.

	Fiscal Year Ended June 30)	
		2006		2007		2008		2009		2010
Revenues:										
Revenues from other agencies	\$	314,532	\$	329,986	\$	370,566	\$	359,356	\$	404,92
Charges for services		30,831		42,871		48,055		65,048		52,40
Interest on investments		1,801		3,558		2,571		332		88
Fines and forfeitures		638		734		728		662		
Miscellaneous		9,873		12,989		14,370		29,228		5,13
Total Revenues	\$	357,675	\$	390,138	\$	436,290	\$	454,626	\$	463,34
Other Sources of Financial Resources:										
Proceeds of long-term debt		10,003		1,355		-		-		
Sale of property		2,593		(6)		758		-		
Operating transfers from primary government		392,013		418,702		465,185		495,543		497,98
Total Revenues and Other Sources of Financial										
Resources	\$	762,284	\$	810,189	\$	902,233	\$	950,170	\$	961,33
Expenditures:										
Education		618,584		677,018		730,557		815,752		882,83
Libraries		13,974		14,999		16,449		18,540		18,28
Housing		22,065		14,996		16,044		21,362		24,88
Capital improvements		104,788		91,375		71,339		54,178		
Total Expenditures	\$	759,411	\$	798,388	\$	834,389	\$	909,831	\$	925,99
Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues and Other Sources of		2 972		11 001		67.944		40.220		25.22
Financial Resources over Expenditures (2)		2,873		11,801		67,844	,	40,339	1	35,33
Beginning Net Assets Ending Net Assets, GAAP Basis	\$	934,911 937,784	\$	937,784 949,585	¢	949,585 1,017,429		1,017,429 1,057,767		1,057,76 1 ,093,10


(1) Excludes the Internal Service Funds.


(2) FY2008 through FY2010 increase due to unspent County appropriation for capital improvements.

Sources of Revenue

Overview of Tax Revenues

The chart below presents the composition of the County's tax revenues budgeted for fiscal year 2011.





Local Property Taxes

Assessments

The assessment of all real and tangible personal property for purposes of property taxation by the County is solely the responsibility of the State Department of Assessment and Taxation, an independent State agency. Assessment records and tax rolls are maintained in each county seat and in Baltimore City. Real property is valued at market value ("full cash value") and active farm property is assessed at \$500 per acre. Personal property owned by a business is assessed annually by the State based on returns filed by April 15 for property owned as of January 1 of that year.


Property Tax Credit Programs

Under State law, certain owners who occupy residential property receive tax credits against local property taxes. The effect of the homestead property tax credit is to limit property tax increases payable as a result of increases in assessed values. State law permits a maximum increase of 10 percent in assessed value annually, but the County has elected to reduce that percentage to five percent. The County granted \$104.8 million of such tax credits in fiscal year 2010 and estimates \$67.6 million will be granted in fiscal year 2011.

A State-mandated tax credit is granted to certain property owners with lower incomes. The credit is calculated using a scale that establishes a maximum property tax liability for various income levels. The credit is reimbursed to the County by the State; for fiscal year 2010, the County received \$2,948,756. In fiscal year 2010 the County granted a supplemental credit to certain property owners with lower incomes. This credit amounted to \$9,476. In FY 2008, the County implemented a tax credit for homeowners 70 years of age and older who fell into certain income and asset categories. In the fiscal year 2010, 834 senior credits were issued in the amount of \$491,238.

Assessed Value, Property Tax Rates and Property Tax Levies

The chart below presents the composition of the County's assessable base of property in fiscal year 2010.



The following table presents the assessed value of all taxable property in the County for the last five fiscal years, the County tax rates and the tax levy in each of those years. The County has exempted manufacturers' and warehousing inventories and manufacturers' machinery, tools and equipment from local property taxation. Assessed values of tax-exempt properties owned by Federal, State and County governments, churches, charities, schools, fraternal organizations, cemeteries, fire companies, disabled veterans and the blind, aggregating approximately \$2.7 billion as of June 30, 2010, are not included in the table below.

Assessed Values, Tax Rates and Tax Levies


Fiscal Year Ended June 30							
2006	2007	2008	2009	2010			
\$29,852,994	\$34,926,898	\$40,762,013	\$46,141,787	\$48,664,260			
35,060	35,088	26,041	25,684	29,824			
27,231	24,752	30,598	30,888	25,492			
1,329,422	1,408,428	1,439,551	1,440,868	1,533,574			
31,244,707	36,395,166	42,258,202	47,639,226	50,253,150			
1.044	1.014	1.014	1.014	1.014			
2.61	2.535	2.535	2.535	2.535			
344,559	388,917	448,806	504,431	532,017			
.12550/.10550	.12550/.10550	.13550/.11550	.13550/.11550	.13550/.11550			
.31375/.26375	.31375/.26375	.33875/.28875	.33875/.28875	.33875/.28875			
40,313	46,586	58,314	58,314	69,052			
	\$29,852,994 35,060 27,231 1,329,422 31,244,707 1.044 2.61 344,559 .12550/.10550 .31375/.26375	2006 2007 \$29,852,994 \$34,926,898 35,060 35,088 27,231 24,752 1,329,422 1,408,428 31,244,707 36,395,166 1.044 1.014 2.61 2.535 344,559 388,917 .12550/.10550 .12550/.10550 .31375/.26375 .31375/.26375	2006 2007 2008 \$29,852,994 \$34,926,898 \$40,762,013 35,060 35,088 26,041 27,231 24,752 30,598 1,329,422 1,408,428 1,439,551 31,244,707 36,395,166 42,258,202 1.044 1.014 1.014 2.61 2.535 2.535 344,559 388,917 448,806 .12550/.10550 .12550/.10550 .13550/.11550 .31375/.26375 .31375/.26375 .33875/.28875	2006 2007 2008 2009 \$29,852,994 \$34,926,898 \$40,762,013 \$46,141,787 35,060 35,088 26,041 25,684 27,231 24,752 30,598 30,888 1,329,422 1,408,428 1,439,551 1,440,868 31,244,707 36,395,166 42,258,202 47,639,226 1.044 1.014 1.014 1.014 2.61 2.535 2.535 2.535 344,559 388,917 448,806 504,431 .12550/.10550 .12550/.10550 .13550/.11550 .13550/.11550 .31375/.26375 .31375/.26375 .33875/.28875 .33875/.28875			

(1) Excludes payments in lieu of taxes, additions and abatements, interest on taxes, discounts on taxes, various tax credits and tax levies on any tax-exempt property.

The fire district tax is levied on the assessable base within each of the County's two fire districts. Proceeds of the tax are distributed to the Fire Tax Reserve Fund, a Special Revenue Fund, to fund operations, equipment and buildings. The two districts are divided by the western boundary of the area to which the County currently provides or plans to provide water and sewer service.

The County estimates that the average home (including townhouses and condominiums) in the County for fiscal year 2011 has an assessed value of \$432,100. At current tax rates, the owner of this average home would incur a County property tax bill of approximately \$5,450, before credits described above.

The growth in the County's assessable base reflects the County's balanced economy. As presented in the following chart, the value of commercial/industrial property increased approximately 33 percent over the last five fiscal years, while residential real property values grew approximately 71 percent.





The County estimates that the assessed value of all taxable property in the County for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2011 will be approximately \$47.3 billion. The County's real property tax rate for fiscal year 2011 is \$1.014 per \$100 of full cash value for real property and \$2.535 per \$100 of assessed value for personal property owned by businesses. In fiscal year 2011, the total property tax levy budgeted for the General Fund is \$438.2 million, or a three percent increase from the amount budgeted in FY 2010.

Property Tax Collection

County taxes are due and payable as of July 1 of each fiscal year, except that real property taxes on principal residences are due and payable in two installments as of July 1 and December 1 of each fiscal year. A discount of 0.5 percent is allowed on payments made in July. The County records property tax revenues as the taxes are billed. Over the last five years, the County has collected virtually all of the property taxes levied.

When taxes become delinquent, combined interest and penalty at the rate of 1.5 percent per month are charged for each month or fraction thereof that taxes due remain unpaid for the current year. Delinquent taxes are satisfied, after prior notice of delinquency, at public auction conducted by the Director of Finance prior to the end of the fiscal year of billing. The net receivables uncollected 60 days after year-end are recorded as deferred revenues. Personal property taxes receivable are charged off as uncollectible after all collection means are exhausted.

The table below presents information with respect to the County's tax levies and tax collections for the last five fiscal years.

Fiscal		Current Year'	s Taxes	Taxes Col	lected		Delinquent Taxes			
Year	Total	Collection	Year	(Current	(Current and		as % of Curren			
Ended	Tax	of Levy Delinquent)		of Levy Delinquent) Tax		of Levy Delinquent) Taxe		Taxes	Year's Tax Lev	
June 30	Levy (1)	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount				
2010	\$601,068	\$599,327	99.71	\$599,327	99.71	\$1,741	0.29			
2009	569,987	561,004	98.42	568,928	99.81	1,059	0.19			
2008	507,120	505,466	99.67	506,621	99.90	499	0.10			
2007	435,503	433,853	99.62	435,077	99.90	426	0.10			
2006	384,872	382,411	99.36	384,447	99.89	426	0.11			

(1) Total tax levy represents the original property tax levy, and excludes fire district taxes levied, payments in lieu of taxes, additions and abatements,

interest on taxes, discount on taxes and various tax levies on any tax-exempt property.

Major Property Taxpayers

Ownership of property in the County is widely diffused, with the 25 largest taxpayers accounting for less than five percent of the County's assessed value. The following table presents the ten largest property taxpayers on June 30, 2010, the total taxes paid by such taxpayers during fiscal year 2010 and the assessed value of real and personal property owned by each taxpayer during fiscal year 2010.

Ten Largest Property Taxpayers⁽¹⁾

				Percentage of
			Taxable Assessed	Total County
		Total Taxes	Valuation	Assessed
Name of Taxpayer	Type of Business	Paid (000)	Amount (000)	Valuation
Baltimore Gas & Electric Company	Gas and Electric Utility	\$9,174	\$319,285	0.64
Mall in Columbia Business Trust	Rental Real Estate	2,411	179,702	0.36
Verizon - Maryland	Telephone Communicatons	4,794	167,551	0.33
Magazine Howard Crossing LLC	Apartment Rentals	1,614	120,280	0.24
Sprint Communications	Telephone Communications	1,730	99,760	0.20
Seasons of Laurel LLC	Apartment Rentals	1,053	78,185	0.16
Liberty Property Ltd Partnership	Rental Real Estate	1,040	76,856	0.15
Sherwood Crossing Apartments LLC	Apartment Rentals	989	69,799	0.14
API Columbia Town Center LLC	Rental Real Estate	932	67,101	0.13
New Cingular Wireless PCS, LLC	Cellular Communications	986	34,355	0.07
Totals		\$24,722	\$1,212,875	2.42%

The information set forth above was compiled from tax rolls on which the names of owners are not always recorded in the same mann

Local Income, Transfer and Other County Taxes

Local Income Taxes

The State imposes an income tax on the adjusted income of individuals as determined for federal income tax purposes, subject to certain adjustments. The current State rates are 4.75 percent for individuals making less than \$150,000 a year and couples making less than \$200,000. The rate increases to 5 percent on taxable income above \$150,000 a year for individuals and \$200,000 for couples, 5.25 percent on taxable income above \$300,000 a year for individuals and \$350,000 a year for couples, 5.5 percent for taxable income above \$500,000 a year and 6.25 percent for taxable income over \$1 million. The individual exemption for taxpayers earning up to \$150,000 a year is \$3,200 and depending on their taxable income, other taxpayers receive an exemption of \$600 to \$2,400.

Pursuant to State law, each county and Baltimore City may levy a local income tax at the rate of at least one percent, but not more than 3.2 percent, of the State taxable income of individuals domiciled in their respective jurisdictions. With a local income tax rate of 3.2 percent, the County is one of four with local income tax rates set at the maximum.

The following table presents the total amount of income tax budgeted for the last six fiscal years and received for fiscal years 2006 through 2010. The County is estimating \$310,000,000 for fiscal year 2011.

Fiscal Year Ended		
June 30	Budget	Actual
2011	\$299,000	
2010	300,650	\$294,043
2009	331,352	317,213
2008	314,191	316,725
2007	293,636	293,307
2006	264,000	283,065

Income Tax Revenues (000)

Local Transfer Taxes

The County levies and collects a transfer tax at the rate of one percent of the actual consideration paid for the conveyance of title, which tax is imposed upon all transfers of real property within the County. Twenty-five percent of proceeds of the transfer tax are distributed to an agency fund for school construction and site acquisition, 25 percent for Recreation and Parks, 12.5 percent for Fire Service Buildings and Equipment Capital Project Funds, and 25 percent for the Agricultural Land Preservation Program and 12.5 percent to the Community Renewal Special Revenue Funds. In fiscal year 2010, the total amount of transfer tax collected was \$21.3 million and \$18 million is budgeted in fiscal year 2011.

Building Excise Tax

The County levies and collects a building excise tax on all construction in the County. The tax is levied at the time a building permit is issued and the amount of tax paid is determined by the square footage of the construction project. The County uses this money to fund road construction projects as well as to pay debt service on general obligation bonds whose proceeds fund such projects. The County has issued \$61.3 million of these general obligation bonds since 2000. In addition, \$54.3 million of excise tax collected has been appropriated as pay-go funding on road construction projects since fiscal year 1995. The total amount of excise taxes collected were \$5.6 million in fiscal year 2010 and \$5.0 million is budgeted in fiscal year 2011.

School Facilities Surcharge

The County levies and collects a surcharge on all residential construction in the County. The surcharge is levied at the time a building permit is issued. The County uses this money to fund public school construction projects as well as to pay debt service on general obligation bonds whose proceeds fund such projects. Prior capital budgets included \$88.95 million of County general obligation bonds whose debt service will be paid from the surcharge. The County has issued \$88.9 million of these general obligation bonds. The total amount of school facilities surcharge collected in fiscal year 2010 was \$5.9 million and \$5.4 million is budgeted in fiscal year 2011.

Other County Taxes

The County levies and collects other miscellaneous taxes, the largest of which is the recordation tax on instruments conveying title to property and securing debt. In fiscal year 2010, the total amount of other taxes collected was \$20.9 million. Of this amount, \$15.3 million was attributable to the recordation tax. The fiscal year 2011 recordation tax budget is \$15.5 million.

Local Charges for Services, Licenses and Permits, and Fines

The County and its Component Units collect charges for various services as well as fees for licenses and permits. The largest of these constituting General Fund revenues are building license fees, development-review fees, cable television franchise fees and charges for boarding prisoners. In fiscal year 2011, the total amount of charges and fees collected in the General Fund were \$17.0 million. The fiscal year 2011 budget is \$16.3 million.

The largest charges and fees credited to Special Revenue Funds are charges for use of recreational facilities and programs and fees and charges for trash collection and use of the County landfill. The total amount of charges and fees collected in Special Revenue Funds in fiscal year 2010 were \$38.3 million and \$36.5 million is budgeted in fiscal year 2011.

State-Shared Taxes

The State shares certain taxes collected in the County with the County. These taxes are primarily collected on gasoline sales and used for construction and maintenance of highways. The State is not required to share such taxes and has changed the amount that it shares from year to year. The total amount of State-shared taxes collected in fiscal year 2010 was \$790,181 and \$433,000 is budgeted in fiscal year 2011.

State and Federal Grant Assistance

The County receives and accrues grant revenues from the federal and State governments. The largest of these grants constituting General Fund revenues is from the State for police protection. The total amount of grants received in the General Fund in fiscal year 2010 was \$5.2 million and \$5.7 million is budgeted in fiscal year 2011.

Revenues in the Grants Special Revenue Fund are primarily categorical grants from the federal government funding special programs, such as housing, senior services, transit and homeland security. The total amount of grant funds received in the Grants Special Revenue Fund in fiscal year 2010 was \$13.9 million and \$79.2 million is budgeted in fiscal year 2011. FY 2011 includes a \$30 million contingency appropriation to allow the County to accept stimulus funded grants mid-year.


The County's Component Units receive and accrue grants from other government agencies, primarily the State, in addition to operating transfers received from the County. In fiscal year 2010, the total amount of grants collected by the Component Units was \$193.3 million. Of that amount \$164.7 million was used for operations by the Board of Education, \$26.1 million was used for operations by the Community College and \$2.6 million funded the Howard County Library.

Water and Sewer Enterprise Fund

The County provides water and sewer services primarily to areas of heavy residential and commercial demand in the eastern part of the County (the "Metropolitan District"). The Metropolitan District and the County's water and sewer facilities are described in more detail under "Government and Infrastructure, Water and Sewer System" herein. Financial accounting for the County's water and sewer operations is consolidated in an enterprise fund.

Water and sewer service charges are recorded as revenues when they are billed. At each fiscal year-end, revenue is accrued for the amount of unbilled water and sewage service provided. Other revenues are recorded as payments when received, except at fiscal year-end, when all other revenues are also accrued. Unpaid water and sewer service charges and assessments are a lien on the real property served and are collectible in the same manner as real property taxes at tax sale.

The water and sewer enterprise fund's largest source of operating revenues are water and sewer user charges. These charges are based on the amount of water used. Commercial and residential properties connecting into the water and sewer system also pay connection charges. The average quarterly bill for a family of four in fiscal year 2011 is approximately \$151. Effective July 1, 2010 user charges were increased 6% to offset the increase in the cost of water purchased from Baltimore City and the cost of sewage sent to Baltimore County for treatment.



Composition of Budgeted Water and Sewer Revenues

Capital costs related to provision of water and sewer services are financed primarily with ad valorem, front-foot, and in-aid-of-construction charges. For fiscal year 2010, an ad valorem charge of \$0.08 per \$100 assessed value was levied upon all property located within the Metropolitan District. Property abutting a road under which the County has built a water or sewer main is charged a fixed amount annually for 30 years (front foot), with such amount established in the year in which water or sewer service becomes available to each specific property. An in-aid-of-construction charge is collected for each connection of an equivalent dwelling unit.

The County has entered into certain agreements with Baltimore City, Baltimore County and the Washington Suburban Sanitary Commission for water supply services and/or sewage disposal services. Under the terms of such agreements, the County is to reimburse these governmental units for their costs of providing water and sewer service. The County provides for annual accrual of its liability under these agreements. Under the terms of several other agreements with these governmental units as well as Anne Arundel County, the County is obligated to fund a portion of capital improvements to certain existing and proposed water and sewer facilities. The County estimates that its remaining commitment under these agreements over the next five fiscal years is \$34.9 million and such obligation is included in the County's capital program.

The following table presents the revenues, expenses and changes in net assets of the water and sewer enterprise fund for the last five fiscal years.

Water & Sewer Enterprise Fund Statement of H			ear Ended		
Operating Revenues:	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
User charges	\$ 32,174	\$ 32,745	\$ 36,843	\$ 37,552	\$ 39,899
Miscellaneous sales and services	1,141	764	488	471	539
Total Operating Revenues	\$ 33,315	\$ 33,509	\$ 37,331	\$ 38,024	\$ 40,438
Operating Expenses:					
Salaries and employee benefits	8,777	9,295	10,644	10,183	10,365
Contractual services	4,361	5,083	5,322	5,457	6,714
Supplies and materials	1,823	1,788	1,851	1,693	1,483
Business, travel and vehicle expenses	1,548	1,883	1,277	1,616	1,806
Purchased water and transmission charges	9,908	10,038	11,028	10,458	12,546
Sewage treatment charges	3,994	4,337	2,748	6,472	5,742
Share of county administrative expense	3,963	3,909	4,499	3,796	4,018
Other	137	87	87	70	693
Depreciation expense	19,883	18,320	18,205	12,304	13,634
Less: House connection and capitalized overhead					
costs	(871)	(545)	(411)	(207)	(50
Total Operating Expenses	\$ 53,523	\$ 54,195	\$ 55,250	\$ 51,842	\$ 56,952
Operating Loss	(20,208)	(20,686)	(17,919)	(13,819)	(16,514
Nonoperating Revenues (Expenses):					
Ad valorem charges	20,256	23,386	27,200	30,981	32,396
Water and sewer assessment charges	1,743	3,280	5,671	4,203	4,083
Interest on investments	2,251	2,507	2,926	1,157	371
Charges for services	(872)	(3,711)	(3,452)	395	
Interest expense	(5,813)	(6,573)	(6,800)	(6,833)	(7,947
Other revenue (expense)	(2,671)	2,983	(1,464)	562	(166
Total Nonoperating Revenues (Expenses)	\$ 14,894	\$ 21,872	\$ 24,081	\$ 30,466	\$ 28,737
Net Income before Contributions and Transfers	(5,314)	1,186	6,162	16,647	12,222
Capital contributions	19,294	5,922	13,088	6,959	9,667
Operating transfers in (out)	200	1,571	(700)	(1,694)	587
Change in Net Assets	\$ 14,180	\$ 8,679	\$ 18,550	\$ 21,913	\$ 22,476
Net Assets at Beginning of Period	422,726	436,906	445,585	464,135	486,048
Net Assets at End of Period	\$436,906	\$445,585	\$464,135	\$486,048	\$508,524

Water & Sewer Enterprise Fund Statement of Revenues, Expenses & Changes in Net Assets (000)⁽¹⁾

(1) This information should be read in conjunction with the audited basic financial statements included in Appendix A of this Official Statement.

Golf Course Enterprise Fund

The County owns an 18-hole public golf course, opened in 1996, whose construction and equipping were financed with proceeds of revenue bonds issued in 1995 and subsequently refunded in 2003. The County accounts for the operations of the golf course in an enterprise fund. The County has a multi-year contract through June 30, 2013 with a management company to operate the course.

The following table presents the revenues, expenses and changes in net assets of the golf course enterprise fund for the last five fiscal years.

	Fiscal Year Ended June 30									
Operating Revenues:		2006		2007		2008		2009		2010
Greens & cart fees	\$	1,719	\$	1,697	\$	1,672	\$	1,585	\$	1,606
Range fees		93		94		91		86		97
Merchandise sales & services		153		157		142		117		112
Food & beverage		334		314		333		298		314
Miscellaneous sales & services		22		32		20		18		21
Total Operating Revenues	\$	2,320	\$	2,294	\$	2,258	\$	2,104	\$	2,149
Operating Expenses: Contractual services	\$	1,531	\$	1,517	\$	1,534	\$	1,401	\$	1,460
Depreciation expense		66		66		72		40		49
Total Operating Expenses	\$	1,597	\$	1,583	\$	1,605	\$	1,441	\$	1,509
Operating Income/(Loss)	\$	723	\$	711	\$	652	\$	663	\$	640
Nonoperating Expenses:		(313)		(300)		(280)		(277)		(257)
Income before contributions & transfers		410		412		372		386		383
Operating transfers in (out)		-		(13)		(4)		(1)		-
Change in Net Assets	\$	410	\$	398	\$	368	\$	385	\$	383
Net Assets at Beginning of Period		1,896		2,306		2,705		3,073		3,458
Total Net Assets	\$	2,306	\$	2,705	\$	3,073	\$	3,458	\$	3,841

Golf Course Enterprise Fund Statement of Revenues, Expenses & Changes in Net Assets (000)⁽¹⁾

Retirement and Pension Programs

Overview of Programs

Each fully benefited employee of the County is provided retirement benefits through and must be a member of (1) the Police and Fire Employees' Retirement Plan ("Police/Fire Plan"), (2) the Howard County Retirement Plan ("County Plan") or (3) the Employee Retirement and Pension Systems of the State of Maryland ("State Systems"). The State Systems are cost-sharing multiple-employer defined benefit systems; the Police/Fire Plan and the County Plan are single-employer defined benefit public employee retirement plans administered by the County. Fully benefited employees of the Component Units are provided retirement benefits through the State Systems; most contributions to the State Systems for these employees are made directly by the State according to State statute. The Component Units' financial reports for the year ended June 30, 2010 present information related to their participation in the State Systems.

The following table presents the enrollment (as of July 1, 2010) and payroll in fiscal year 2010 for County employees covered by the State Systems (excluding Component Unit employees), Police/Fire Plan and County Plan.

_		Enrollment		
	Retired	Vested	Non-Vested	Payroll (000)
State Systems	-	119	-	\$7,309
Police/Fire Plan	259	540	273	56,975
County Plan	404	972	529	83,887
Non-Covered Payroll	-	-	-	10,635
Total	663	1,631	802	\$158,806

60

Information regarding these retirement and pension programs is contained in Note 14 of the notes to the audited basic financial statements included in Appendix A of this Official Statement.

Funding of Payments

Retirement and pension payments are funded from three sources: County contributions, member contributions and investment earnings. The following table presents the County's total pension contributions for fiscal years 2006 through 2010.

Fiscal Year Ended June 30 2010	State Systems Contribution \$0	Police/Fire Plan Contribution \$14,881	County Plan Contribution \$9,758	Total County Contribution \$24,639
2009	0	14,426	9,745	24,171
2008	0	14,717	10,022	24,739
2007	0	13,549	9,695	23,244
2006	773 ⁽¹⁾	12,217	9,253	21,470

Pension Obligation

The County's funding policies provide for periodic employer contributions at rates determined actuarially to accumulate sufficient assets to pay for benefits when due. The County had no net pension obligation as of July 1, 2010 associated with any retirement plans, as the County has always made its annual required contributions. The following tables present the computation of contribution requirements for the Police/Fire Plan and the County Plan through the most recent actuarial valuations.

The Police/Fire Plan was only 37% funded when it was established in 1990. The increase in unfunded accrued liabilities of the Police/Fire Plan and the County Plan is the result of investment returns below the assumed rate after smoothing. Investment gains and losses are smoothed over five years and actuarial gains and losses are amortized over 15 years for both plans.

	Police/Fire Plan							
	July 1, 2005	July 1, 2006	July 1, 2007	July 1, 2008	July 1, 2009			
	Valuation	Valuation	Valuation	Valuation	Valuation			
Value of Assets (\$000)	157,900	180,100	210,800	238,417	253,567			
Actuarial Accrued Liability (\$000)	224,500	245,400	270,500	300,686	322,470			
(\$000)	(66,600)	(65,300)	(59,700)	(62,269)	(68,903			
Percentage Funded	70.4	73.4	77.9	79.3	78.6			
Annual Covered Payroll (\$000)	39,300	41,800	43,600	46,863	52,145			
Unfunded Accrued Liability								
Childred Accided Elability								
as % of Annual Covered Payroll	169.5	156.3	136.9 County Plan	132.9	132.			
5	169.5 July 1, 2005	156.3 July 1, 2006	County Plan	132.9 July 1, 2008				
5			County Plan					
5	July 1, 2005	July 1, 2006	County Plan July 1, 2007	July 1, 2008	July 1, 2009 Valuation			
as % of Annual Covered Payroll	July 1, 2005 Valuation	July 1, 2006 Valuation	County Plan July 1, 2007 Valuation	July 1, 2008 Valuation	July 1, 2009 Valuation 228,133			
as % of Annual Covered Payroll Value of Assets (\$000)	July 1, 2005 Valuation 157,700	July 1, 2006 Valuation 165,900	County Plan July 1, 2007 Valuation 193,000	July 1, 2008 Valuation 217,213	July 1, 2009 Valuation 228,133 245,220			
as % of Annual Covered Payroll Value of Assets (\$000) Actuarial Accrued Liability (\$000)	July 1, 2005 Valuation 157,700 165,800	July 1, 2006 Valuation 165,900 181,200	County Plan July 1, 2007 Valuation 193,000 199,700	July 1, 2008 Valuation 217,213 225,594	July 1, 2009 Valuation 228,133 245,220 (17,093			
as % of Annual Covered Payroll Value of Assets (\$000) Actuarial Accrued Liability (\$000) (\$000)	July 1, 2005 Valuation 157,700 165,800 (20,100)	July 1, 2006 Valuation 165,900 181,200 (15,300)	County Plan July 1, 2007 Valuation 193,000 199,700 (6,700)	July 1, 2008 Valuation 217,213 225,594 (8,381)	132.1 July 1, 2009 Valuation 228,133 245,226 (17,093 93.0 85,231			
as % of Annual Covered Payroll Value of Assets (\$000) Actuarial Accrued Liability (\$000) (\$000) Percentage Funded	July 1, 2005 Valuation 157,700 165,800 (20,100) 87.9	July 1, 2006 Valuation 165,900 181,200 (15,300) 91.5	County Plan July 1, 2007 Valuation 193,000 199,700 (6,700) 96.6	July 1, 2008 Valuation 217,213 225,594 (8,381) 96.3	July 1, 2009 Valuation 228,133 245,226 (17,093 93.0			

Computation of Contribution Requirements

Other Post Employment Benefits

In the financial statements for FY 2008, the County implemented the provisions of Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement 43, Financial Reporting for Post-Employment Benefit Plans Other than Pension Plans and GASB 45, Accounting and Financial Reporting by Employers for Post- Employment Benefits Other Than Pensions.

The County's OPEB plan is a Cost-Sharing Multiple Employer Defined Benefit Healthcare Plan. Per Section 1.406B of Howard County Bill No. 14-2008, the County established an irrevocable trust for administering the plan assets and paying healthcare costs on behalf of the participants. The Plan includes the County (consisting of the County government, Library, Mental Health Authority and Economic Development Authority), and its component units, Community College, and Howard County Public School System.

There is no vesting in the post-employment health benefits. The County and Schools made substantial changes to the benefits effective July 1, 2009 for the County and July 1, 2010 for the Schools. Eligibility was increased from 10 years of service to 15 years of service for all employees who did not have 10 years of service as of July 1, 2009. The rate at which the level of subsidy is awarded was significantly reduced for School employees and the maximum level of subsidy was reduced from 100% to 90% for all participants. The base premium used to calculate the benefit was reduced for County employees. The benefit changes resulted in a \$10.7 million savings in the ARC.

Given the extraordinary economic conditions we are in, the County's FY 2011 budget only includes funds for the payas-you-go costs. The County is committed to funding its OPEB plan and expects to begin an 8 to 10 year phase-in funding schedule in FY 2012. The latest actuarial evaluation estimates an Annual OPEB Cost of \$65.3 million at June 30, 2009 and \$78.7 million at June 30, 2010. The increases are the result of the County not funding the ARC and increases in health care costs in general. The County plans to begin a second review and analysis of the benefit next to identify additional cost savings options to be implemented in FY 2013. The required contribution amount and OPEB expense per the most recent actuarial valuation report with valuation date of 12/1/2008 for Board of Education, 2/1/2009 for General Government and 3/1/2009 for College are presented below:

	Actuarial Unfunded Accrued Liability	Amortization of Actuarial Unfunded Accrued Liability	Normal Cost	Annual Required Contribution (ARC)	ARC Funding	Net OPEB Obligation (NOO)
	1	2	3	2 + 3	4	2 + 3 - 4
Howard County Public						
School System	\$565,951,000	\$23,877,000	\$29,680,000	\$53,557,000	\$4,871,068	\$48,685,932
Howard Community						
College	14,872,000	627,000	1,042,000	1,669,000	368,205	1,300,795
Howard County						
Government ⁽¹⁾	247,014,000	10,422,000	13,277,000	23,699,000	2,870,710	20,828,290
	\$827,837,000	\$34,926,000	\$43,999,000	\$78,925,000	\$8,109,983	\$70,815,017

Actuarial Assumptions						
Actuarial valuation date	12/1/08 for Board of Education, 2/1/09 for General Government and 3/1/09 for Community College					
Actuarial cost method	Projected Unit Credit					
Amortization method	28 years using level percentage of pay					
Asset valuation method	Fair Value					
Actuarial trend assumption	Medical and prescription drug trend rate applied to FY 2010 is 8.00%. This rate decreases by .5% for FY 2011, then decreases by 1.70% in FY2012. The ultimate rate is 4.20%.					
	Dental trend to be applied is 5.00% for all years.					
Interest assumption	Discount rate of 4.00%					
Salary increases	3.00%					

Accounting for Annual and Disability Leave

As of June 30, 2010, employees paid from the General Fund, Special Revenue Funds, and Internal Service Funds accrued unused annual leave of \$19.3 million. Unused annual leave for employees paid from the water and sewer enterprise fund was \$774,239. These amounts are based upon the average daily pay rate for the employees at yearend. The annual leave amounts are recognized as liabilities in the County's financial statements. The Disability leave amounts do not vest to the employees and are not reflected as liabilities.

Insurance and Risk Management

The County is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts; theft of, damage to, and destruction of assets; errors and omissions; injuries to employees; environmental exposures; and natural disasters. The County, excluding the Board of Education, has established two internal service funds to account for and finance its risks of loss. The County's risk-financing techniques include a combination of risk retention through self-insurance and risk transfer through the use of a risk pool and commercial insurance.

The Risk Management Fund is administered by the Office of Risk Management in the Department of County Administration. All funds of the County participate in the risk management program and make annual payments to the internal service fund which provides coverage in the amount of the self-insured retention. Losses above the self-insured retention are paid by the risk pool or commercial insurance. Currently, the self-insured retention is \$1 million for each automobile liability claim, \$1 million for each general liability claim, \$100,000 for each property damage

claim and unlimited for each workers' compensation claim. The self-insured retention can change based on the terms of the annual policy renewal. Currently, the Fund totally self-insures workers compensation and third-party environmental exposures due to the lack of availability of adequate and affordable commercial excess coverage. The Fund also totally self-insures first-party auto physical damage claims.

Contributions to the Risk Management Fund from covered departments and agencies are calculated based on a combination of actuarial estimates and historical cost information. These amounts are needed to pay prior, current and anticipated claims, to establish a reserve for catastrophic losses, and pay for commercial insurance premiums and administrative costs. In addition, the Library, Community College, Howard County Economic Development Authority, Housing Commission and Howard County Mental Health Authority have entered into agreements with the County whereby they contribute to and are covered through the Risk Management Fund.

The County belongs to the Local Government Insurance Trust ("LGIT"), which provides insurance for claims in excess of coverage provided by the County's Risk Management Fund for certain exposures. The County participates in LGIT in the areas of excess property, general liability and automobile liability. LGIT consists of various Maryland local governments including counties and municipalities. LGIT was created to provide broader insurance coverages than those available from commercial insurers, coverages that may otherwise be unavailable, and loss control and risk management services to Maryland local governments. In FY 2010, LGIT paid property claims arising from the blizzards of February 2010 that exceeded the County's \$100,000 retention in the Risk Management Fund.

The Employee Benefits Fund provides full coverage for employee health benefits and long-term disability claims. An employee may contribute a percentage of the cost of employee benefits other than long-term disability, which is fully funded by the County. This program is administered by the County Office of Human Resources, and employees of the County are covered by its benefits.

Investment Policy

The County has established an investment policy that applies to all of its financial assets. This policy invests public funds in a manner that conforms to all applicable State and County statutes while meeting the County's investment objectives. The three prioritized objectives of the County's investment activities are (1) safety of principal through (a) diversification, (b) third-party collateralization and safekeeping and (c) delivery versus payment; (2) liquidity sufficient to meet all reasonably anticipated operating requirements; and (3) return on investment at least equal to the yield on U.S. Treasury bills of comparable duration. The Director of Finance is required to develop and maintain written administrative procedures as well as a system of controls to regulate the operation of the investment program. Compliance with these procedures and controls is audited as part of the County's annual financial audit.

As of June 30, 2010, the County had investments totaling approximately \$320.6 million. All of these investments mature within one year except for stripped-coupon U.S. Treasury securities that the County has purchased to provide for the payment of the final installments under its agricultural land preservation installment-purchase agreements. All investments were purchased to be held to maturity, and interest is paid throughout the term except as previously noted. The County has never entered into reverse repurchase transactions, and does not invest in derivatives.

In 2003, the County's investment policy was updated and received a certificate of excellence from the Association of Public Treasurers of the United States and Canada. The County is a member of the Investment Affinity Group of the Maryland GFOA, which periodically brings together public investment officers from large Maryland counties, Baltimore City and the State.



Credit: Art Landerman, local artist, Ellicott City, Maryland

Capital Requirements and Debt Management

Capital Projects Fund and Board of Education Capital Projects

The following table presents the sources and uses of funds in the County's Capital Projects Fund.

	Fiscal Year Ended June 30							
	2006		2007		2008	2009	2010	
Source of Funds:								
Proceeds of bonds and notes	\$ 91,375	\$	113,400	\$	136,845	\$ 78,494	\$101,010	
Proceeds of refunding bonds	-		-		87,420	-	85,796	
Bond Premium	-		-		-	4,104	18,964	
Local transfer tax	30,198		25,465		20,021	15,194	18,933	
Pay-as-you-go funding	2,681		-		5,497	1,145	-	
Revenues from other governmental agencies	6,065		18,789		15,774	17,340	10,071	
Developer contributions	2,009		1,263		1,505	795	1,019	
Other	12,828		17,626		12,409	7,371	7,260	
Operating transfers in	26,227		19,707		21,228	35,706	9,330	
Total Sources	\$ 171,383	\$	196,250	\$	300,699	\$ 160,150	\$252,383	
Use of Funds:								
Capital projects expenditures	174,417		169,813		157,853	121,975	127,027	
Operating transfers out	27,958		27,827		33,654	34,382	16,145	
Payment to refunded bond escrow agent	-		-		92,180	-	99,005	
Other	293		-		-	-	C	
Total Uses	\$ 202,668	\$	197,640	\$	283,687	\$156,357	\$242,177	
Excess (Deficit) of Sources over Uses	(31,285)		(1,390)		17,012	3,794	10,206	
Fund Balance at Beginning of Period	24,320		(6,965)		(8,355)	8,657	12,452	
Fund Balance (Deficit) at End of Period	(6,965)		(8,355)		8,657	12,452	22,657	


⁽¹⁾ The information in this table should be read in conjunction with the audited basic financial statements included in Appendix A of this Official Statement.

The following table presents the sources and uses of funds for the Board of Education's capital projects for the last five fiscal years.

	Fiscal Year Ended June 30						
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010		
Sources of Funds:							
Proceeds of County bonds and notes	82,880	84,963	57,759	32,419	39,131		
Revenues from County and State	15,676	3,175	13,322	20,745	19,517		
Other	6,109	366	6,281	10,543	2		
Total Sources	104,665	88,505	77,362	63,707	58,650		
Uses of Funds:							
Capital projects expenditures	103,970	86,669	76,054	63,129	55,033		
Other	1,653	2,978	4,034	6,593	4,988		
Total Uses	105,623	89,647	80,088	69,722	60,022		
Excess (Deficit) of Sources over Uses	(958)	(1,143)	(2,726)	(6,015)	(1,371		
Fund Balance at Beginning of Period	7,412	6,454	5,311	2,585	(3,430		
Fund Balance at End of Period	6,454	5,311	2,585	(3,430)	(4,801		

Composition of Debt

The chart below presents how proceeds of outstanding County bonds were used as of June 30, 2010.



Capital Budget and Program

The following table presents the County's adopted capital budget for fiscal year 2011 and program for fiscal years 2012 through 2016.

			Capi	ital Budget	and Prog	ram (000)				
		Au	thorizatior	1						
Program Title		Prior	FY2011	Subtotal	FY2012	FY2013	FY2014	FY2015	FY2016	Total
Bridge Improvements	\$	19,422	521	19,943	1,825	725	250	1,300	-	24,043
Storm Drainage		26,876	3,920	30,796	6,435	7,165	4,015	3,450	400	52,261
Road Resurfacing		42,790	(707)	42,083	12,870	3,870	3,750	3,250	3,000	68,823
Road Construction		228,320	8,315	236,635	62,335	40,945	14,355	11,330	3,885	369,485
Sidewalk/Curb Projects		9,114	760	9,874	2,105	2,800	1,110	1,333	835	18,057
Traffic Improvements		6,503	790	7,293	1,405	500	50	-	-	9,248
General County		359,870	50,437	410,307	17,025	24,405	9,070	12,035	6,855	479,697
Fire		61,293	13,830	75,123	20,685	17,465	13,740	2,350	1,250	130,613
Library		26,095	2,330	28,425	16,415	36,945	2,720	-	-	84,505
Police		6,255	(100)	6,155	1,945	9,605	2,385	-	-	20,090
Recreation & Parks		107,483	5,757	113,240	34,254	25,392	26,417	20,472	5,352	225,127
Agricultural Preservation		120,608	-	120,608	-	-	-	-	-	120,608
Community Renewal		15,300	350	15,650	4,000	2,000	2,000	-	-	23,650
Sewer		421,462	13,050	434,512	19,652	7,217	4,175	4,375	2,875	472,806
Water		179,433	12,675	192,108	46,754	21,686	23,051	26,021	24,250	333,870
Board of Education		531,728	69,982	601,710	110,025	106,382	67,552	102,357	93,184	1,081,210
Community College		74,897	28,488	103,385	30,281	46,339	38,764	40,947	19,930	279,646
Total	\$ 2	2,237,449	210,398	2,447,847	388,011	353,441	213,404	229,220	161,816	3,793,739

Debt Management Policy

Chapter 693 of the 2009 Laws of Maryland requires each local government unit to adopt by resolution, motion or ordinance a local debt policy consistent with the Maryland Constitution, State law and the Howard County Charter. On October 5, 2009, the County adopted an updated debt management policy that establishes the processes employed in the management of its short- and long-term debt. The policy sets the parameters for issuing debt and managing outstanding debt. It provides guidance to decision makers regarding the timing and purposes for which debt may be issued, types and amounts of permissible debt, method of sale that may be used and structural features that may be incorporated. The County recently added long-term variable rate debt as an approved type of debt that can be issued. By establishing a debt policy, the County has recognized its binding commitment to full and timely repayment of all debt. The policy ensures that the County maintains a sound debt position and that its credit quality is protected.

In addition, the County adopted Build America Bonds ("BABs") – Direct Pay Procedures in March 2010. The procedures ensure that the County does not expend BAB proceeds for non-eligible costs or projects and establish document retention, semi-annual filing and on-going compliance guidelines.

Debt Capacity

Pursuant to Article VI of the County Charter and State law, general obligation debt of the County outstanding at any one time may not exceed 4.8 percent of the full market value of real property and personal property located within the County. In computing this percentage under the County Charter and State law, the following do not constitute general obligation debt: (1) tax anticipation notes or other debts having a maturity not in excess of 12 months, (2) bonds or other debts issued or guaranteed by the County payable primarily or exclusively from taxes levied on or in, or other revenues of, special taxing areas or districts and (3) bonds and other debts issued for self-liquidating and other projects payable primarily or exclusively from the proceeds of assessments or charges for special benefits or

services. Metropolitan District debt, which is payable primarily from water and sewer charges, does not constitute general obligation debt for the purpose of computing such percentage, and there are no other debt limitations applicable to Metropolitan District debt. For the purpose of determining whether refunding bonds issued under such statute are within the legal debt limitation of the County, the amount of bonds refunded is subtracted from, and the amount of refunding bonds issued is added to, the aggregate of the County's outstanding bonds.

Shown below is a calculation of the County's estimated legal debt capacity as of June 30, 2010, based on the County's assessable base as of June 30, 2010.

Legal Debt Capacity (000)	
Assessable base limit on general obligation debt	\$2,412,151
Total outstanding debt to be applied against County Charter lin	nit 729,100
Additional legal debt capacity	\$1,683,051

Description of Debt

Public Improvement Debt

The County issues public improvement debt to defray the costs of general County capital projects, including storm drainage, fire service, police, road construction, bridge, library, recreation and parks, school, community college, sidewalk and curb, intersection and signal improvement, and community renewal capital projects. Such debt is a general obligation of the County, and the County's full faith and credit and unlimited taxing power are irrevocably pledged to the punctual payment of principal of and interest on such debt when due and payable. Debt service on public improvement debt is payable primarily from general tax revenues; however, building excise taxes, school surcharge, transfer taxes and funds from Community College fees are also used to pay debt service for certain types of capital projects.

Short-Term Construction Financing Program

The County issues bond anticipation notes (BANs) in order to provide interim financing for a portion of the cost of its ongoing capital projects. The notes are currently in the form of commercial paper and are rolled over at maturity until repaid with proceeds of the County's long-term bonds. The County typically issues its long-term bonds once a year in an amount corresponding to the note proceeds expended since its last bond sale and uses such bond proceeds to redeem outstanding notes. The outstanding amount of such notes as of December 31, 2010 is \$115.0 million. The County's current BANs contracts expire on May 31, 2011 and the County is currently analyzing the benefit of extending the BANs program versus more frequent long-term bond sales.

Landfill Closure and Post-Closure Care Cost

State and federal laws and regulations require the County to place a final cap on closed landfill cells and to perform certain maintenance and monitoring functions at cells for 30 years after closure. The County recognizes a portion of these estimated closure and post-closure care costs in each operating budget, based on cell capacity used.

The County ceased using the Alpha Ridge landfill as its primary waste disposal site in 1997, and now exports waste to a regional landfill in Virginia. The closure cap for a 70-acre cell at Alpha Ridge was completed and paid for in 2001. The County does not expect to use the 29.35 percent capacity at the remaining cell in the foreseeable future.

Closure costs for the existing cell and post-closure care cost for the closed and existing cells at the Alpha Ridge landfill will approximate \$41.9 million. Actual costs may differ due to inflation or future design changes. As of June 30, 2010, the County had recognized \$35 million of these costs. The County intends to fund the remaining costs from bond proceeds and its annual Environmental Services fund budget.

Metropolitan District

The County issues Metropolitan District debt to defray the costs of the County's water and sewer capital projects as well as its share of Baltimore City water and sewer capital projects. Such debt is a general obligation of the County, and its full faith and credit and unlimited taxing power are irrevocably pledged to the punctual payment of principal of and interest on such debt when due and payable. Debt service on Metropolitan District debt is payable from water and sewer revenues and other revenues.

Maryland Water Quality Financing Administration

Under the Maryland Water Quality Financing Administration's revolving loan program, the State has six outstanding loans to the County at interest rates that are below market. Five of the loans are tax-exempt obligations that were primarily used to finance the expansion of the Little Patuxent Wastewater Treatment Plant. As with Metropolitan District debt, such debt is payable from water and sewer revenues and other revenues, but the full faith and credit of the County is also pledged to the payment thereof.

The remaining loan, incurred in 1999, was used to refund \$14.04 million of public improvement debt used to fund landfill closure costs. Interest on this refunding loan is not tax-exempt and debt service on this refunding loan is payable from the County's General Fund.

Agricultural Land Preservation Program

Through its agricultural land preservation program, the County finances the acquisition of development rights to a particular parcel of agricultural property by entering into an installment-purchase agreement with the property owner. Historically, under the terms of the installment-purchase agreement, the County paid the property owner semiannual interest payments for up to 30 years, and minimal portions of the installment-purchase price biennially until maturity. The rest of the purchase price for the development rights is paid at the end of the term with a balloon payment. Upon execution of an installment-purchase agreement, the County purchased stripped-coupon U.S. Treasury obligations in amounts sufficient to equal the balloon payment at the maturity of the installment purchase. Under the current program, the County pays equal annual principal payments over 20 years with interest. The County acquires the development rights to the land in perpetuity. The County is using the 25 percent portion of the local transfer tax that is dedicated to agricultural land preservation and the County's share of the State transfer tax on agricultural land to fund this program.

Golf Course

In 1995, the County issued revenue bonds to finance construction of a public golf course with related facilities. The original bonds were refunded in 2003. Income derived from the golf course facility is pledged to pay debt service on the 2003 bonds and to maintain a debt service reserve fund. If a deficiency exists in the debt service reserve fund, the County is obligated to restore the amount in the debt service reserve fund to the maximum annual debt service on the 2003 bonds not later than 30 days after the beginning of the first fiscal year following a determination of such a deficiency, until the 2003 bonds have been paid in full. The County has never had to replenish the debt service reserve fund due to a deficiency. The 2003 bonds do not constitute a pledge of the County's full faith and credit or taxing power, but the County's covenant to restore the amount in the debt service reserve fund is a general contractual obligation of the County.

Capital Leases

The County entered into two lease agreements as lessee with Grant Capital Management for financing the acquisition of equipment for the energy performance contract. These lease agreements qualify as capital leases for accounting purposes, and have been recorded at the present value of their future minimum lease payments as of the inception date. The future minimum lease obligations total \$7,241,113 and the net present value of these minimum lease payments as of June 30, 2010 are \$4,888,717.

Overlapping Debt

There are no incorporated towns, villages, municipalities or other subdivisions within the County boundaries having separate taxing authority. Thus there is no overlapping municipal debt in the County. However, the Columbia Homeowners Association does have authority to issue debt which is funded via a fee. (See "Demography and Economy, Columbia" herein.)

Tax Incremental Financing

The County has authorized the issuance of tax increment financing ("TIF") bonds to finance a portion of the costs of construction of a parking garage at the Savage MARC Rail Station. The purpose of the proposed TIF bonds will be to finance a portion of the public improvements on the property and to encourage a mixed use "transit oriented development" consisting of residential, hotel, retail and office use. The State has approved the transfer of property to the developer in exchange for the developer's agreement to construct a commuter garage (to be owned by the State) and an apartment building. The County currently anticipates that a portion of the costs of the garage (approximately \$14 million) will be financed with proceeds of the County's TIF bonds and the remainder will be funded by the developer. The County created the "Savage Towne Centre Special Taxing District" to levy and collect property taxes to fund the special obligation bonds. TIF bonds are not expected to be issued in the current fiscal year.

Private Activity Bonds

Private activity bonds issued by the County for the benefit of private businesses and non-profit organizations in the County are not debt of the County or charges against the general credit or taxing power of the County, and the County has no pecuniary liability therefor.

Outstanding Long-Term Debt

As of December 31, 2010, the County had outstanding long-term debt presented below.

	Dated	Issued	Outstanding		Final
	Date	(000)	$(000)^{(1)}$	Interest Rates	Maturity
Maryland Water Quality Financing Administration Refunding	06/29/99	14,210	5,795	2.41	02/01/16
Consolidated Public Improvement Project and Refunding	02/01/02	112,165	28,410	3.40 - 5.25	08/15/15
Consolidated Public Improvement Project and Refunding	06/01/03	94,770	22,500	2.00 - 5.00	08/15/23
Consolidated Public Improvement Project and Refunding, Series A	02/01/04	112,305	96,430	2.00 - 5.00	08/15/24
Consolidated Public Improvement Project and Refunding, Series B	02/01/04	21,000	3,960	2.00 - 5.00	08/15/19
Consolidated Public Improvement	01/01/05	60,000	47,800	3.00 - 4.25	02/15/25
Consolidated Public Improvement	01/15/06	91,375	59,700	3.50 - 4.50	02/15/26
Consolidated Public Improvement, Series A	03/15/07	100,000	56,100	4.00 - 5.00	02/15/27
Consolidated Public Improvement Refunding, Series B	12/19/07	87,420	63,235	3.75 - 5.00	02/15/19
Consolidated Public Improvement	02/27/08	107,500	73,360	3.00 - 5.00	02/15/28
Consolidated Public Improvement, Series A	04/08/09	69,720	67,515	3.50 - 5.00	04/15/29
Consolidated Public Improvement Refunding, Series B	12/08/09	85,860	85,860	2.00 - 5.00	08/15/22
Consolidated Public Improvement, Series A	03/16/10	49,015	49,015	3.00 - 5.00	02/15/23
Consolidated Public Improvement, Series B	03/16/10	12,590	12,590	0.60 - 3.70	02/15/17
Consolidated Public Improvement BABs, Series C (2)	03/16/10	39,405	39,405	5.00 - 5.55	02/15/30
Total Long-Term Public Improvement Debt			\$711,675		
Maryland Water Quality Financing Administration	06/01/92	15,212	3,297	3.50	02/01/13
Maryland Water Quality Financing Administration	10/10/95	1,831	683	4.33	02/01/15
Maryland Water Quality Financing Administration	10/18/00	34,000 (3)	22,296	2.40	02/01/22
Maryland Water Quality Financing Administration	01/29/02	22,000 (3)	15,618	2.00	02/01/24
Metropolitan District Project and Refunding	02/01/02	26,150	17,065	3.4 - 5.25	08/15/31
Metropolitan District Project and Refunding	06/01/03	29,180	13,675	3.0 - 5.0	08/15/32
Metropolitan District Refunding	02/01/04	8,325	7,540	2.0 - 4.25	08/15/23
Metropolitan District	01/01/05	4,000	3,560	3.0 - 4.5	02/15/34
Metropolitan District	01/15/06	3,000	2,500	3.5 - 4.4	02/15/35
Metropolitan District, Series A	03/15/07	10,000	8,860	4.0 - 4.5	02/15/37
Metropolitan District Refunding, Series B	12/19/07	11,980	11,585	3.75 - 4.375	02/15/29
Metropolitan District	02/27/08	4,095	3,940	3.00 - 4.75	02/15/38
Maryland Water Quality Financing Administration	10/01/08	1,089	1,032	2.30	02/01/29
Metropolitan District, Series A	04/08/09	26,240	25,405	2.81 - 5.00	04/15/29
Maryland Water Quality Financing Administration	11/19/09	3,500	3,500	1.00	02/01/31
Metropolitan District Refunding, Series B	12/08/09	7,255	7,255	1.00 - 4.00	08/15/30
Metropolitan District, Series A	03/16/10	5,520	5,520	2.00 - 4.00	02/15/15
Total Water and Sewer Enterprise Fund Debt ⁽⁴⁾			\$153,331		
Agricultural Land Preservation Program ⁽⁵⁾⁽⁶⁾	1989-2008	64,481	1,910	6.00 - 8.60	02/15/28
Golf Course Refunding Revenue ⁽⁷⁾	06/01/03	9,880	6,330	2.00 - 3.80	08/15/20
Total Special Revenue Funds, Other Enterprise Fund and Gua	aranteed Deb	bt	\$8,240		

(1) The outstanding amounts do not include bonds to be redeemed with the proceeds of refunding bonds previously issued by the County; such bonds to be redeemed are not considered as outstanding debt of the County for debt limitation purposes.

(2) The gross interest rate and debt service are shown, the County expects to receive a Federal Subsidy of \$12,126,296.

(3) This amount is the maximum that the County is authorized to draw down from the Maryland Water Quality Financing Administration.

(4) The payment of the principal of and interest on this debt is to be made first from certain charges the County is authorized to levy within the Metropolitan District, but the full faith and credit of the County are also unconditionally pledged to such payment.

(5) The payment of the principal and interest on this debt is to be made first from certain taxes the County and the State are authorized to levy on real estate transactions on property within the County, but the full faith and credit of the County are also unconditionally pledged to such payment. (6) This amount does not include a portion of these obligations in the aggregate principal amount of \$58,995,200, which will be paid in fiscal years 2017-2028 with proceeds of U.S. Treasury obligations purchased by the County.

(7) These bonds do not constitute a pledge of the County's full faith and credit or taxing power, but the County's covenant to restore the amount in the debt service reserve fund is a general contractual obligation of the County.

Schedules of Long-Term Debt Principal and Interest Payments

The following table presents the principal and interest payments for the County's long-term public improvement debt as of December 31, 2010. Debt service on the Public Improvement Bonds is shown in Appendix D.

Debt Service on	Long-Term Consolid	lated Public Improvem	ent Debt (000)
Fiscal Year	Principal ⁽¹⁾	Interest ⁽²⁾	Total ⁽³⁾
2011	34,885	16,979	51,864
2012	52,835	30,243	83,078
2013	51,435	28,184	79,619
2014	48,920	25,951	74,871
2015	46,215	23,677	69,892
2016	44,990	21,547	66,537
2017	42,730	19,408	62,138
2018	40,235	17,238	57,473
2019	38,060	15,492	53,552
2020	38,470	13,659	52,129
2021	36,695	11,943	48,638
2022	36,485	10,432	46,917
2023	37,890	8,868	46,758
2024	37,800	7,423	45,223
2025	34,655	5,824	40,479
2026	29,475	4,289	33,764
2027	24,325	2,910	27,235
2028	18,210	1,766	19,976
2029	11,150	904	12,054
2030	6,215	345	6,560
Totals	\$711,675	\$267,082	\$978,757

Debt Service on Long-Term Consolidated Public Improvement Debt (000)

(1) Includes mandatory sinking fund redemption payments on term bonds and original principal amounts of capital appreciation bonds, rounded to the nearest dollar.

(2) Accreted interest on capital appreciation bonds is included in the year paid; accretions are not reflected. The County expects to receive a Federal subsidy of \$12,126,296.

(3) Excludes administrative fees of five percent of total debt service over the total loan period for the Water Quality Financing Administration Debt.

The following table presents the principal and interest payments for the County's other long-term debt as of December 31, 2010. Debt service on the Metropolitan District Bonds is shown in Appendix D.

Wa	ter and Sewei	· Enterprise F	`und				S pecial R	ecreation l	Facility	
(Metro	politan Distri	ct and Water	Quality	Agri	cultural La	nd	- (G	olf Course)	
J	- Financing Adr	ninistration)	(1)	Preserv	ation Progra	am (2)	Ent	erprise Fur	Fund	
Fiscal								*		
Year	Principal (3)	Interest (4)	Total	Principal	Interest	Total	Principal	Interest	Total	
2011	\$ 6,552	2,730	9,282	58	2,300	2,358	-	104	104	
2012	10,823	5,204	16,027	242	4,591	4,833	555	205	760	
2013	10,575	4,865	15,440	151	4,573	4,724	575	188	763	
2014	9,784	4,515	14,299	242	4,561	4,803	590	170	760	
2015	10,027	4,162	14,189	151	4,543	4,694	605	152	757	
2016	8,686	3,811	12,497	242	4,531	4,773	625	132	757	
2017	8,975	3,498	12,473	146	4,513	4,659	650	111	761	
2018	9,086	3,188	12,274	234	4,054	4,288	670	88	758	
2019	9,393	2,867	12,261	136	3,964	4,100	695	64	759	
2020	9,472	2,528	12,000	118	3,679	3,797	720	38	758	
2021	8,068	2,238	10,306	20	2,506	2,526	645	12	657	
2022	7,975	1,988	9,963	75	1,481	1,556				
2023	6,054	1,733	7,787	20	1,326	1,346				
2024	5,087	1,525	6,612	31	1,325	1,356				
2025	4,607	1,341	5,948	20	538	558				
2026	4,650	1,156	5,806	24	519	543				
2027	4,639	966	5,605	-	320	320				
2028	3,937	782	4,719	-	140	140				
2029	4,072	622	4,694							
2030	2,085	451	2,536							
2031	2,072	367	2,439							
2032	1,590	287	1,877							
2033	1,380	220	1,600							
2034	1,085	166	1,251							
2035	885	118	1,003							
2036	750	79	829							
2037	780	45	825							
2038	240	11	251							
2039										
2040	\$ 153,331	51,464	204,795	1,910	49,465	51,375	6,330	1,265	7,595	

(1) Excludes administrative fees of five percent of total debt service over the total loan period for the Water Quality Financing Administration debt. (2) This amount does not include a portion of these obligations in the aggregate principal amount of \$58,995,200 which will be paid in fiscal years 2017 - 2028 with proceeds of U.S. Treasury obligations purchased by the County.

(3) Includes mandatory sinking fund redemption payments on term bonds and original principal amounts of capital appreciation bonds, rounded to the nearest dollar.

(4) Accreted interest on capital appreciation bonds is included in the year paid; accretions are not reflected.

Rapidity of Long-Term Debt Principal Repayment

The following table analyzes how much of the County's long-term public improvement debt outstanding as of December 31, 2010 is scheduled to be repaid over 20 years. This excludes \$115 million in short-term construction financing currently outstanding.

		Issuance			Issuance
	of E	Bonds		of E	Sonds
On or before	Amount	% of	On or before	Amount	% of
Fiscal Year	(\$000)	Outstanding	Fiscal Year	(\$000)	Outstanding
2015	234,290	32.92	2016	298,840	36.32
2020	438,775	61.65	2021	519,540	63.14
2025	622,300	87.44	2026	725,990	88.2
2030	711,675	100.00	2031	822,790	100.0

ts include the original principal amounts of capital appreciation bonds, rounded to the nearest dollar.

The following table analyzes how much of the County's long-term water and sewer enterprise fund debt outstanding as of December 31, 2010 is scheduled to be repaid over 30 years.

		Issuance Sonds		After Issuance of Bonds		
On or before Fiscal Year	Amount (\$000)	% of Outstanding	On or before Fiscal Year	Amount (\$000)	% of Outstanding	
2015	15,690	14.68	2016	39,420	25.13	
2020	46,095	43.12	2021	73,200	46.66	
2025	71,545	66.92	2026	102,000	65.01	
2030	92,590	86.61	2031	126,185	80.43	
2035	103,165	96.50	2036	142,495	90.82	
2040	106,905	100.00	2041	156,895	100.00	

Long-Term Debt Ratios

The following table presents the County's net long-term public improvement debt per capita and ratios of net longterm public improvement debt to assessed value and to per capita personal income at the end of the last five fiscal years.

					Net Long-	Term Debt
Fiscal Year Ended June 30	Net Long-Term Debt (000)	Estimated Population (000) ⁽¹⁾	Assessed Value (000)	Per Capita	As % of Assessed Value	As % of Personal Income ⁽²⁾
2010	729,100	285	50,253,150	2,561	1.5	4.12
2009	678,888	285	47,639,226	2,385	1.4	3.90
2008	636,013	283	42,258,202	2,250	1.5	3.76
2007	575,824	279	36,395,166	2,065	1.6	3.59
2006	541,323	276	31,244,707	1,959	1.7	3.59

Source: Howard County Department of Planning and Zoning.


(2) Source: Bureau of Labor and Statistics and Howard County Budget Office

The following table presents the County's debt service expenditures for long-term public improvement debt as a percentage of General Fund expenditures.

	Public	Public	Public		Debt Service
	Improvement	Improvement	Improvement	Total	as % of
Fiscal Year	Debt	Debt	Debt	General Fund	General Fund
Ended June 30	Principal (000)	Interest (000)	Service (000)	(000)	Expenditures
2011 Budget	\$ 52,310	31,970	84,280	824,376	10.22
2010	49,950	29,964	79,914	820,225	9.74
2009	42,296	27,033	69,329	835,418	8.30
2008	44,950	25,516	70,466	812,534	8.67
2007	41,580	22,670	64,250	695,771	9.23
2006	40,595	20,299	60,894	644,220	9.45

Future Financing Plans

The County's debt policy is circumscribed by the legal debt margin for general obligation indebtedness established in the County Charter. In addition, the County's budget policy is reviewed annually by a committee of financially experienced residents that recommends the amount of debt that the County can afford. As of June 30, 2010, the County has authorized but unissued general obligation indebtedness of \$376.7 million (CPI), \$230 million metropolitan district bonds and \$102.6 million Water Quality (State Revolving Loan Program). These amounts include bonds authorized to redeem the \$115 million in short-term construction financing debt. The County currently expects to (a) authorize \$90 to \$100 million of public improvement bonds annually for new money projects identified in the annual Capital Budget, (b) annually issue approximately \$25 to \$50 million of Metropolitan District bonds or borrow some or all of such amounts under the Maryland Water Quality Financing Administration's revolving loan program, and (c) enter into purchase agreements to be funded by transfer tax revenues for up to \$30 million to acquire development rights in agricultural property. Where direct benefits from capital improvements can be identified to specific users, the County intends to finance such improvements from user charges. The County is analyzing its bond anticipation note (BAN) program to evaluate the on-going viability of the program.



Credits: Joan Geller Grauman, local artist, Ellicott City, Maryland and Mat About You Gallery and Framing Studio

Government and Infrastructure

General


Under the County Charter adopted in 1968, the County's executive functions are vested in the elected County Executive, who is the chief executive officer of the County and is responsible for the proper and efficient administration of the affairs of the County. The Council, which consists of five members elected by district, is the County's legislative body. The County Executive is limited to two consecutive four-year terms, and Council members are limited to three consecutive four-year terms.

Each Council member has one vote, and a simple majority vote is sufficient to pass ordinary legislation. Emergency bills require the vote of two-thirds of the members of the Council as do Council actions to override a veto by the County Executive. A majority of the members of the Council constitute a quorum for the transaction of business, except that for emergency sessions two-thirds of the members of the Council are required. Except as otherwise provided in the County Charter, all laws stand enacted upon approval by the County Executive and take effect 60 days after their enactment, unless by affirmative vote of two-thirds of the members of the Council any such law is declared to be effective on a later date or is declared an emergency measure affecting the public health, safety and welfare, in which event it is effective from the date of its enactment. The Council elects a Chairperson and a Vice Chairperson from its membership at its first meeting in December of each year.

The Chief Administrative Officer performs such duties and exercises such general supervision over the offices and departments of the County government as the County Executive may direct and the Charter specifies. The Chief Administrative Officer acts as the chief budget officer, personnel officer, purchasing agent and central services officer of the County. In addition, the Chief Administrative Officer has been designated to perform the duties of the County Executive during the temporary inability of the County Executive to perform by reason of absence from the County or disability. County financial matters are administered through the Department of Finance by the Director of Finance. The Director of Finance is charged with the administration of the financial affairs of the County, which generally include the collection of State and County taxes, special assessments, Metropolitan District charges, fees and other revenues and funds of every kind due to the County; the enforcement of the collection of taxes in the manner provided by law; the custody and safekeeping of all funds and securities belonging to or by law deposited with, distributed to or handled by the County; the disbursement of County funds; the keeping and supervision of all accounts; the control of all expenditures on the basis of budgetary appropriations and allotments; the preparation of bond sales and advising on debt management; and such other functions as may be prescribed by directive of the County Executive or by legislative act of the Council.

The County Solicitor is appointed by the County Executive subject to confirmation by the Council. All other officials of the County government are appointed by, and serve at the pleasure of, the County Executive.

Howard County Government Organizational Chart



Executive, Administrative, Legislative and Education Officials

Executive

Ken Ulman was elected Howard County Executive on November 7, 2006. Prior to being County Executive, he served on the County Council representing District 4. Mr. Ulman is also an attorney who managed his own law firm in Columbia and focused his practice in the areas of estate planning and elder law. Mr. Ulman was born and raised in the County, and is a product of the Howard County public school system. Mr. Ulman is President of the Maryland Association of Counties, and has served as Chair of the Baltimore Regional Transportation Board and the Baltimore Metropolitan Council. In 2005, Mr. Ulman received the Omega Psi Phi Public Service Award in recognition of his leadership and his work to improve the County. Mr. Ulman has served on the Boards of Grassroots Crisis Intervention Center and the Ulman Cancer Fund for Young Adults. The Ulman Cancer Fund was founded by his family after his brother was diagnosed with cancer. A graduate of Centennial High School, Mr. Ulman received a B.A. degree in Government and Politics from the University of Maryland at College Park, and a J.D. degree from Georgetown University Law Center.

Jessica Feldmark was appointed Chief of Staff to Howard County Executive Ken Ulman on February 16, 2009. Before being appointed Chief of Staff, Ms. Feldmark served as the County Executive's Senior Advisor and served as Mr. Ulman's Special Assistant during his term on the Howard County Council. Prior to working for Howard County Government, she served as Training and Special Projects Manager for Volunteer Maryland and worked as a consultant for the Maryland Association of Nonprofit Organizations, the National Crime Prevention Council, and various AmeriCorps programs. She has served as on the Boards of NeighborRide, The Volunteer Center Serving Howard County, and the Howard County Association of Volunteer Administrators. Ms. Feldmark received her B.A. degree from Goucher College.

Administrative

Lonnie R. Robbins was appointed Chief Administrative Officer effective January 3, 2007 after serving as Deputy Chief Administrative Officer since 2004. Mr. Robbins has been Senior Assistant County Solicitor and Assistant County Solicitor. He has also worked as the procurement advisor for the Maryland State Board of Public Works, administrator for Maryland State Department of General Services, and executive aide for the Office of Governor Harry R. Hughes. Mr. Robbins received his B.S. degree in Business and Public Administration from the University of Maryland and a J.D. degree from the University of Baltimore. Mr. Robbins is active in Howard County and Maryland State bar associations, Leadership Howard County and First Tee of Howard County.

Sharon F. Greisz was appointed Director of Finance effective July 2, 2003. Prior to that date she was Deputy Director of Finance for three years. Ms. Greisz holds an honors degree in Accounting from the University of Baltimore and has more than 26 years of accounting and governmental experience. Ms. Greisz is a Certified Public Accountant in the State of Maryland. She has served on the Board of Directors of the Maryland Government Finance Officers Association ("MDGFOA"). She serves on the Board of Trustees of Local Government Insurance Trust ("LGIT") and has been a member of the LGIT Finance Committee since 2005. As Director of Finance, Sharon sits on Howard County's Risk Management and the County pension plans' boards. She is on the 457 Deferred Compensation Oversight Committee and the OPEB Trust Board as well. She is a graduate of the 2005 Leadership Howard County program and of GFOA's Advanced Government Finance Institute 2005. She also has a Certificate of Achievement in Public Pension Plan Policy and attended the Advanced Investments Management for Pensions Plans (The Wharton School 2007).

Margaret Ann Nolan was appointed Howard County Solicitor effective April 9, 2007. Prior to that, Ms. Nolan was an Assistant Attorney General for the State of Maryland for 18 years, during which time she held positions as Counsel to the Office on Aging, Counsel to the Office for Children, Youth, and Families, Deputy Counsel to the State Department of Education, Deputy Counsel to the State Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, and Chief of Civil Litigation. Before joining the Attorney General's Office, Ms. Nolan practiced law in Indiana as a private practitioner and as an attorney for the Legal Services Program of Northern Indiana. Ms. Nolan holds a B. A. in Psychology from Goucher College and a Juris Doctor degree from the University of Washington in Seattle. She is a member of the Washington State, Indiana, and Maryland bars and is admitted to practice in the federal courts in Indiana and Maryland.

Raymond S. Wacks, was reappointed as Budget Administrator in October 2007 after spending 2 ¹/₂ years with the City of Baltimore. Mr. Wacks was previously the Budget Administrator from 1977 until 2005. He holds a B.S. degree from Towson University and Master of Public Administration degree from The American University in Washington, D.C. He also serves as an Academy Advisor to the University of Maryland's Institute for Governmental Service's program: Academy for Excellence in Local Governance. He is a member of the GFOA, and for many years served on the Executive Committee of the MDGFOA. In 2006, he represented the Maryland Association of Counties (MACo) on the State Board of Education's Commission to Study Local Maintenance of Effort for Education Funding. He was appointed Chairman of the Maryland Business Tax Reform Commission by Governor O'Malley in 2008.

Legislative

Calvin Ball was re-elected in November 2010 to represent District 2 and is currently serving his second term as Chairperson of the County Council. He has also served as Chairperson of the Zoning Board and the Board of License Commissioners. Mr. Ball is a Maryland native and Columbia resident, where he served three terms on the Oakland Mills Village Board and has the honor of being the first Community Organizer in Howard County facilitating neighborhood revitalization in the Village of Oakland Mills. He has served as a Howard County firefighter, an emergency medical technician, a certified mediator and has over ten years of experience in the field of higher education, including his current position as faculty member in the Department of Advanced Studies, Leadership and Policy at Morgan State University. He was a member of the Howard County Chamber of Commerce's Educator of the Year Committee and also the Chamber's Workforce Readiness Committee and was appointed in 2010 by Governor O'Malley to serve on both the Maryland Sustainable Growth Commission and the Commission on Environmental Justice and Sustainable Communities. Mr. Ball has volunteered with numerous efforts including the Howard County Public School System Leadership Task Force and Student Performance Review Committee. He has won numerous awards including the Louis Goldstein Award for Democratic Spirit and the Kittleman Award for Legislative Leadership and the Community Champion of the Year Award. Mr. Ball has a Bachelor of Arts degree in Philosophy and Religion from Towson University, a Master's degree in Legal and Ethical Studies from the University of Baltimore, and a Doctor of Education from Morgan State University. He is also a member of Kappa Delta Pi, an International Honor Society in Education.

Jennifer Terrasa was first elected to represent District 3 in November 2006 and is currently the Vice Chairperson of the County Council. Councilwoman Jennifer Terrasa, a resident of Howard County for more than 35 years, is deeply rooted in the community and committed to its future. Jen has served two terms as chair of the Zoning Board, and one term as vice-chair of the County Council. Jen was appointed by Governor Martin O'Malley to the Board of Directors of the Maryland Economic Development Corporation (MEDCO) in 2007. In June 2008, Governor O'Malley appointed her to the Student Physical Fitness Task Force. She also serves as the Council's legislative representative to the Maryland Association of Counties. Prior to her election, Jen served her community in a number of roles - a community advocate, president of her homeowner's association, vice-chair and member of the Kings Contrivance Village Board, and member of the Howard County Planning Board. She worked as an attorney for The Women's Law Center of Maryland and taught at the University of Baltimore Law School. Jen is a proud graduate of Oakland Mills High School. She holds a bachelor's degree in sociology from the University of Maryland at College Park, and she graduated summa cum laude from the University of Baltimore School of Law, where she was an editor of the Law Review. During law school, she interned with the Howard County Office of Law and Maryland Attorney General's office. Jen is delighted to be raising her three children, Tony, Kelsey and Joey, in Howard County, where they can experience the opportunity, diversity and quality of life the County has to offer.

Greg Fox was first elected to represent the 5th District (encompassing most of the western and southern portions of Howard County) in November 2006. He is currently the Vice Chairperson of the Board of License Commissioners and served as Chairman from 2006 to 2009. Since 2008, he has also served on the National Association of Counties' Energy, Environment and Land Use Steering Committee and Energy Subcommittee. Mr. Fox has been a resident of Howard County since 1990 where he has been active in various community activities including working for five years on the Howard County Public Works Board (the final year as vice-chair), participating as a member of the Howard County Task Force on Childcare, acting as spokesperson and moderator for the Take-A-Step Food Allergy Network, serving as Vice-President of the Howard County Republican Club, coaching soccer, and being a member of the Fulton Elementary PTA. Mr. Fox is a Director of Utility Services for Constellation Energy Projects & Services Group, Inc. and focuses on the areas of energy conservation and renewable energy. He has been in the energy field for more than 20 years. He previously served on the State Task Force for Facility Energy Management. His business background also includes experience in finance, marketing, manufacturing and research and development. He received his Mechanical Engineering degree from Georgia Tech and his MBA Fellows from

Loyola College of Maryland's Executive Program where he was inducted into the Beta Gamma Sigma Honor Society for business students. Mr. Fox is a graduate of Leadership Howard County's Class of 2006 and a 2009 Graduate of the University of Maryland's Academy of Excellence in Local Governance.

Mary Kay Sigaty was elected to represent District 4 in November 2006 and is the Chairperson of the Board of License Commissioners and Vice Chairperson of the Zoning Board. A Columbia resident since 1972, Mary Kay Sigaty has a long history of community involvement that currently includes serving as the Patuxent River Commissioner for Howard County and a member of the Maryland State Department of Education's Fine Arts Education Advisory Panel. As a County Council member, she has had the honor to serve as the Chair of the Council as well as the Zoning Board. She has also worked on issues ranging from youth involvement in local government to housing affordability, a living wage for Howard County workers to environmental sustainability and redevelopment of Downtown Columbia and Columbia's Village Centers. Mary Kay's past community involvement includes serving on the Howard County Board of Education, the Wilde Lake Village Board, the County Executive's Spending Affordability Committee, the National School Board Association's Federal Relations Network, as a director for the League of Women Voters, an advisory board member of the Women's Giving Circle of Howard County and as a member of the School Improvement Teams at Bryant Woods Elementary, Wilde Lake Middle and Wilde Lake High. Throughout this time, she has worked as an artist in the community, running a small business and participating as an Artist-in Residence for the Howard County Public Schools, teaching at the Columbia Center for the Arts and volunteering with the theatre departments at Wilde Lake and Marriotts Ridge High Schools. Her first career was in education. It included teaching at the Running Brook Children's Nursery in Columbia, the National Child Research Center in Washington and the Park School in Brooklandville. Mary Kay earned her undergraduate degree from Boston College and certification in Early Childhood Education from Towson University. She has a Masters Degree from Johns Hopkins University. She lives in Wilde Lake with her husband, Tom Graham. They have two daughters, who are both graduates of Wilde Lake High School.

Courtney Watson was elected to represent District 1 in November 2006, and is Chairperson of the Zoning Board. She is a lifelong County resident raised in Clarksville. She was educated in the Howard County public school system and graduated from Atholton High School. After several years as a community activist, Mrs. Watson was elected in 2002 to the Board of Education, completing a four-year term and serving two years as Chairperson. Mrs. Watson has 22 years experience in the private sector and is Vice President of Sales for a Howard County insurance agency. She is the past Chairperson of the Maryland Hospitality Education Foundation and a past board member of the Restaurant Association of Maryland. Mrs. Watson has served on the County's Adequate Public Facilities Ordinance Committee, the Ellicott City Master Plan Steering Committee, and the Economic Development Authority Strategic Plan Committee. She is President of Women of MACo and an alternate to the MACo legislative subcommittee. She served as a member of the MACo 2007 Education Subcommittee. She also served as the Board of Education's representative to the County's Spending Affordability Committee. She was the recipient of the 2001 PTA Council of Howard County Award and a nominee for the Board of Education's 2001 Friends of Education Award. Mrs. Watson attended Howard Community College, earned a Bachelor of Arts degree from Loyola College in Maryland, and also holds a Master of Business Administration degree from the Sellinger School of Business at Loyola.

Haskell N. Arnold was appointed County Auditor effective April 2, 2007. Mr. Arnold received his B.S. degree in Accounting from Hampton University and his MBA from Harvard Business School. Mr. Arnold is a Certified Public Accountant in the State of Maryland. Mr. Arnold has more than 30 years of accounting, auditing, and governmental experience. Mr. Arnold is a member of the MDGFOA and the Institute of Internal Auditors.

Education

Dr. Sydney L. Cousin, Superintendent, began his career in education as a history teacher in Baltimore. After receiving his Master's degree in City and Regional Planning, he spent a year as a Capital Planner for the Baltimore City Department of Planning. He rejoined the Baltimore City public school system in 1973 as a Long-Range Planner in the Division of Physical Plant Planning and Programming. He was promoted to Staff Director of the Division in 1977. In 1987, Dr. Cousin became Director of School Construction and Planning for the Howard County Public School System. He was promoted to Associate Superintendent of Finance and Operations two years later and then to Deputy Superintendent/Chief Operating Officer in 2001, where Dr. Cousin was responsible for the day-to-day operations of the school system.

In 2003, Dr. Cousin became Associate Superintendent/Chief of Facility Management for the Washington, D. C. public school system. He returned to the County in 2004 as Interim Superintendent, and later that year entered into

a four-year contract as Superintendent. His contract was renewed for another four years in June 2008. Dr. Cousin is a graduate of Morgan State University. He received his Master's degree from the University of Pennsylvania in 1972, and his doctorate in Education from Temple University in 1986.

Responsibilities and Services

County and Board of Education Employees

The following table presents the number of County (including Library, Community College and Housing Commission) and Board of Education full-time and permanent part-time employees for the last five fiscal years as authorized in the County budget.

(County and Bo	ard of Educati	on Employees	
Fiscal	Public	Other	Board of	
Year	Safety	County	Education	Total
2011	1,257	2,309	7,423	10,990
2010	1,255	2,309	7,436	11,000
2009	1,236	2,322	7,376	10,934
2008	1,211	2,300	7,213	10,724
2007	1,117	2,151	6,872	10,140

The County has experienced no work stoppages due to labor difficulties and considers its relationship with employees to be satisfactory. The County currently has collective bargaining with the following full exclusive representation bargaining units: (1) American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees ("AFSCME"), which represents correctional officers and cooks of the County's Detention Center, a separate local (Local 3085) representing blue collar workers of various County departments, and with another local, Local 3888 representing blue collar supervisors of various County departments, (2) International Association of Firefighters, representing firefighters below the rank of captain, (3)Lodge 21, Howard County Police Officer's Association, representing sworn police officers below the rank of sergeant, (4) Howard County Public Safety Dispatchers Association, which represents 911 dispatchers, and (5) Howard County Police Supervisor's Alliance, which represents police supervisors. The County has contracts with all seven unions expiring on June 30, 2010. Negotiations are currently underway with all seven unions at this time. On September 28, 2010, American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees (AFSCME), Local 1810 filed a petition requesting that it be certified as the exclusive representative of employees in specific job classifications for the purposes of conducting collective negotiations with the County. The Maryland Commissioner of Labor and Industry conducted an election, in February 2011, in which AFSCME Local 1810 was certified as the exclusive representative of approximately 400 employees in certain job classes.

Board of Education employees are represented by the Howard County Education Association, affiliated with the Maryland State Education Association and the National Education Association, which represents teachers, staff development facilitators, and other certified nonsupervisory personnel. Howard County Education Association-Educational Support Professionals ("HCEA ESP") represents clerical, secretarial, instructional, student, food and nutrition service, health, and security assistants, educational interpreters, registered nurses and administrative management and technical nonsupervisory personnel. The American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees (AFSCME - Local 1899) represents custodial, grounds, maintenance and warehouse personnel. The Howard County Administrators Association (HCAA) represents school-based administrators, leadership interns, athletics and activities managers, and instructional facilitators.

Board of Education of Howard County

The Board of Education is a Component Unit of the County. The educational policies, procedures and programs of the public schools in the County are the responsibility of the Board of Education, a seven-member elected body, working with the County Superintendent of Schools appointed by the Board of Education. The County program is governed by the State Board of Education. Daily functions within the schools are the responsibility of the County Superintendent of Schools and his staff.

For the 2009-2010 school year, the Board of Education exercised responsibility for 39 elementary schools, 18 middle schools, 12 high schools, one Pre-K-8 school, and two special centers that accommodate approximately 49,991 students. The composite teacher-to-student ratio is 1:22, as presented in the following table.

Kindergarten	1 professional to 22 students
Grade 1-2	1 professional to 19 students
Grades 3-5	1 professional to 25 students
Grades 6-8	1 professional to 20.5 students
Grades 9-12	1 professional to 23.5 students

The mission of the Howard County Public School System is to ensure excellence in teaching and learning so that each student will participate responsibly in a diverse and changing world. This mission is supported by two goals; that (1) each child will meet the rigorous achievement standards that have been established and (2) each school will provide a safe and nurturing school environment. The public school system in the County offers a comprehensive education program, kindergarten through grade 12, with pre-kindergarten programs available in some schools. Academic support services and gifted programs are available in all schools and in all grades. Special education services are provided for identified students from birth through age 21.

Howard Community College

Howard Community College, a two-year higher education institution with a total of 9,569 students enrolled in credit classes for fall of 2010, is a Component Unit of the County located in Columbia. The Community College offers a broad range of academic programs, cultural arts events, student activities and athletics, and business training. In the Community College's 191 programs (or majors), students earn academic credit that can be applied to an associate's degree or transferred to baccalaureate-degree granting colleges or universities. Career programs are one- and twoyear courses of study that lead directly to employment. For those not seeking a degree, the Community College offers courses to sharpen specific skills or professional expertise. Courses in computers, management, and advanced technology are available through open enrollment or can be customized for individual businesses or groups, offered on-site or at the Community College facilities. Continuing education courses also allow for pursuit of special interests from music to world languages.

Howard Community College is governed by a board of trustees appointed by the Governor of the State, but has historically received a significant portion of its revenues from the County.

Howard County Library

A major component of Howard County's strong education system, Howard County Library (HCL) delivers highquality public education for all ages. HCL's curriculum comprises three pillars: (1) Self-Directed Education through a collection of one million items in print, audio and electronic formats, and thousands of specialized online research tools, (2) Research Assistance and Instruction for individuals and groups through classes taught by HCL instructors and, (3) Instructive and Enlightening Experiences through cultural and community center concepts, partnerships such as A+ Partners in Education, Choose Civility, and signature events including famous authors, Howard County Library Spelling Bee and Battle of the Books.

A Component Unit of the County, the Library is governed by a seven-member Board of Trustees. The Library Board forwards nominees to the County Executive, who appoints Trustees subject to approval by the County Council. The County is responsible for levying taxes and provides 89 percent of the Library's budget, with 7 percent funded by the State, and the remaining four percent from Library generated funds. People of all ages, means and backgrounds visited HCL's six branches 2.6 million times in FY10 to borrow 7.2 million items and conduct research on 350 computers. Attendance at HCL's classes and events totaled 186,000, and research assistance interactions reached 1.4 million. A recognized leader in the state and nation for excellence in education for all, Howard County Library's per capita borrowing ranks number one in the state. Howard County Library is proud to be continuously ranked among the finest of the nation's great public library systems.

Public Safety

The County Department of Police, administered by the Chief of Police, is responsible for enforcing State and County laws, rules, and regulations. The Police Department employs 646 persons, which includes 441 sworn officers, 183 civilian employees, 22 school crossing guards and has a fleet of 456 vehicles, which includes two armored personnel carriers, one command post, six motorcycles, a tactical support vehicle and a helicopter. The number of officers per 1,000 residents is approximately 1.5. Additionally, the Department has 23 Volunteer Auxiliary Officers (non-sworn).

The County Department of Fire and Rescue Services (DFRS) provides 24/7 emergency response services to citizens, businesses and visitors in and around Howard County. These services include fire suppression and investigation, emergency medical service (both basic and advanced life support) technical rescue and hazardous materials mitigation. In addition, the Department also has responsibility for development and implementation of initiatives that reduce risk in both individuals and the community. Examples include fire safety code development and enforcement, building plans review and inspections, public education and fire prevention programs, as well as special events coverage. DFRS operates as a combination system in partnership with six corporate volunteer organizations at the direction of a Fire Chief who is appointed by the Howard County Executive. The Department has an authorized strength of 392 uniformed career personnel and approximately 750 volunteer personnel who staff more than 70 emergency vehicles and apparatus in 11 stations throughout the County each day. In 2010, DFRS responded to over 31,000 requests for service received from the 911 national telephone emergency communication system in the Communications Center. By Howard County Code, the Chief of Fire and Rescue Services also serves as the Director of the Office of Emergency Management (OEM).

Planning and Zoning

The County Department of Planning and Zoning, administered by its Director, is responsible for the comprehensive planning of growth, development and conservation in the County. To accomplish this, the department is involved in five major activities: comprehensive planning of land uses, transportation planning, preservation planning, regulation of land use and development through the administration of subdivision and zoning regulations, and preparation of growth projection and plans for public services.

The County's General Plan, adopted in 2000, focuses on growth management, agricultural preservation, environmental protection, conservation of existing communities and revitalization of old commercial-industrial areas. The General Plan retains the strong growth management system initiated by the 1990 General Plan. Based on the 2000 General Plan and the County's Adequate Public Facilities legislation, residential development is restricted to 1,850 units per year. A cap on the pace of rural land subdivision and an ambitious program for agricultural land preservation redirects growth from rural areas into the County's priority funding area under the State "Smart Growth" program. The County has several community planning and corridor revitalization initiatives underway to maintain the quality of life and property values in older residential neighborhoods, as well as promoting redevelopment of older employment areas.

Adequate public facilities legislation, adopted in 1992, requires the testing of proposed development for adequacy of schools and roads as a condition of subdivision or site development plan approval. Milestones, incorporated into the legislation, require properties to move in an orderly and predictable manner through the development process. The County, in turn, adopts a six-year plan for its infrastructure, particularly roads and schools, to serve this pace of development.

The rural portion is zoned to promote clustering of development, for agricultural business opportunities and provisions for protection of agricultural and environmental resources. In the urban portion of the County "fast track" processing procedures facilitate economic development. Zoning allows higher intensity employment and mixed-use redevelopment of the U.S. Route 1 and Route 40 corridors, increased multi-family housing, and requires moderate income housing to be provided in eight higher density zoning districts. The new mixed-use zoning on Route 1 has stimulated plans for 9 pioneer mixed-use projects with over 4,000 units of housing, as well as office and retail uses.

After extensive input from the community, the County recently adopted both General Plan and zoning regulation amendments, to create a more urban center for the County in Downtown Columbia, which have the potential to add 5,500 new residences, 600 new hotel rooms, 1.25 million square feet of retail and 4.3 million square feet of office space over the next 30 years.

Howard County is beginning the process of updating the General Plan. As the County continues to transition from greenfield development to grayfield redevelopment, the County will be refining policies and developing new strategies for accommodating continuing business and housing growth in ways that sustain and enhance both our quality of life and the natural and built environments.

Recreation and Parks

The County Department of Recreation and Parks, administered by its Director, is responsible for the organization and conduct of recreational programs and the development and operation of parks and other recreational facilities in the County. County parks and programs are estimated to serve over two million visitors each year. In 2006 the County's Comprehensive Recreation, Parks and Open Space Plan was completed and adopted by the County Council and the County Executive. The Plan will be updated in 2011. The plan surveys current and expected needs for park facilities and recreation programs, outlines goals and offers strategies for implementation. Land acquisition for recreation areas and natural resource preservation is a critical element of the Plan. Land is purchased using federal, state and local dollars. The County Department of Recreation and Parks currently manages over 9,000 acres of land.

Citizen Services

The Department of Citizen Services, the county government's human service agency, works to enhance the quality of life for all residents by ensuring that Howard County has a comprehensive system of human services that is accessible, responsive and effective. Services and partnerships address the needs of senior citizens, youth, people with disabilities, women, the homeless, people seeking consumer protection, and others who need assistance. The department plays a leadership role in implementation of the Human Services Master Plan, and manages the County's grant support to non-profits. The goal of the Department is to create a community where people at every stage of life have access to and benefit from innovative, high-quality human services that support and improve their well-being.

Public Works

The County Department of Public Works, administered by its Director through five bureaus, is responsible for the programming, design, construction, operation and maintenance of public works facilities in accordance with the County's General Plan. The department also provides for the administration, enforcement and inspection of site development construction activities.

The Bureau of Engineering is responsible for the design, inspection and construction of public works projects. The bureau also prepares engineering water and sewer plans and develops and implements the water and sewer program in the County capital budget. The Bureau of Engineering also acts as the County's agent in all functions related to the construction of roads, bridges, water, sewer, storm drainage and traffic control. This Bureau has been designated the responsibility of ensuring that Public Works' projects are constructed according to standards established by Howard County. These projects include both developer and capital type facilities such as storm drains, water systems, sewer facilities, roadways and public parks.

The Bureau of Facilities is responsible for maintaining the daily operations of all County-owned facilities which fall under the direction of the Director of Public Works. This responsibility includes building service and maintenance, technical expertise in the development of new facilities, control of energy use and costs, control of building service contracts, i.e. custodial services and corresponding costs to the County, and providing security guards and systems where necessary.

The Bureau of Highways is responsible for maintenance and repair of all County-owned roadways, bridges, drainage facilities, and other public roadway infrastructure. The bureau responsibilities also include tree care, snow removal, traffic signals operation and street signs.

The Bureau of Utilities is responsible for the operation, maintenance and construction of the County's water and sewer system within the Metropolitan District and Shared Sewerage Disposal Facilities. This bureau is operated as a public utility and is financially a self-supporting operation. Financial information regarding the system is shown under "Financial Information, Water and Sewer Enterprise Fund" herein.

The Bureau of Environmental Services is responsible for an integrated solid waste management system and watershed management efforts in accordance with local, state, and federal laws. The integrated solid waste management system includes curbside trash and recycling collection; the County's Alpha Ridge Solid Waste Management Center including the landfill, transfer station, residential drop-off center, and recycling center; and the contracts associated with the collections, processing, and disposal of the solid waste and recyclables. The watershed management program includes inspection and oversight of maintenance of existing stormwater management facilities, floodplain studies, an illicit discharge elimination program, stream restorations, and retrofitting stormwater management facilities. All programs include education and outreach.

Water and Sewer System

The Metropolitan District is located in the eastern part of the County and encompasses over one third of the County's area. It functions as a single sanitary district for both water and sewer purposes. The County provides water and sewer services to 98.9 percent of the County residents located in areas of heavy residential and commercial population within the Metropolitan District. Little public water or sewer service is provided to County residents residing outside the Metropolitan District.

The County neither owns nor operates any water supply treatment facilities. Instead, it has entered into agreements with the City of Baltimore and the Washington Suburban Sanitary Commission for the purchase of water. The County purchases 95 percent of its potable water from Baltimore City and 5 percent from the Washington Suburban Sanitary Commission. Commitments from the County's water suppliers total over 41.5 million gallons per day (mgd) average daily flow and 74.8 mgd maximum daily flow. Improvements to the distribution system and storage facilities are under construction or included in the capital program in order to increase the service area within the Metropolitan District. A joint effort is under way to provide additional water to the County from its water suppliers. While the County's water system is being expanded to meet future demands, the County Department of Public Works closely monitors its water capacity.

The County is located in and served by two major watersheds: the Patapsco River watershed and the Patuxent River watershed. The sewer system is designed so that sewage from the northeastern part of the Metropolitan District flows into the Patapsco River watershed through four connections that lead to the Patapsco Treatment Plant in Baltimore City. Sewage from the southwestern part of the Metropolitan District flows into the Patuxent Water Reclamation Plant. The latter plant is located east of the community of Savage in the County, and provides tertiary treatment. A \$100+ million expansion/upgrade to the Little Patuxent Water Reclamation and will be substantially completed in 2012. The following table summarizes the overall sewage treatment capacity for the County:


	County Sewage Tr	eatment Capacity		
Watershed	Current Flow (mgd)	Current Treatment Capacity (mgd)	Projected Treatment Capacity (mgd)	
Patuxent	18.4	25.0	29.0	
Patapsco	8.4	12.4	12.4	

The County's rate of development requires effective management of the allocation of water and sewer capacity. The County policy allocates service on a "first-come, first-served" basis. This policy commits capacity only to parcels ready to be developed, allowing more orderly development with known allocation priorities and recovery of costs in a more orderly and timely manner. All County sewage treatment facilities meet current State Department of the Environment standards, and State approval is being obtained for the sewage treatment facility expansion.

Economy and Demography

Size and Land Use

The County is 251 square miles in area, making it the second smallest in the State. The chart below presents the composition of land use in the County.



Population

The County experienced rapid population growth beginning in the late 1960s with the development of the new town of Columbia. The rate of growth has slowed over the last decade with the maturation of Columbia. The County's population density has increased from 247 persons per square mile in 1970 to 1,123 per square mile in 2009. The following table presents data on the population of the County and the State in the years 1970, 1980, 1990, 2000 and 2009.

	Howard	County Density	State of	County as %
	County	Per Square Mile	Maryland	Of State
2009	281,884	1,123	5,699,478	4.9
2000	247,842	987	5,296,486	4.7
1990	187,328	746	4,781,468	3.9
1980	118,570	472	4,216,446	2.8
1970	61,910	247	3,923,897	1.6

A large part of the County's recent growth has been the result of domestic and international migration. The following table presents population growth by component of change for selected larger counties and the State from 2000 to 2009. Compared to the counties listed and the State as a whole, Howard County has had the greatest percentage increase in population.

		2000	-2009		То	tal Populati	on
	Natural	Domestic	International				Percent
Place of Residence	Increase	Migration	Migration	Total	2000	2009	Change
Howard County	18,327	6,028	9,680	34,035	247,849	281,884	13.79
Anne Arundel County	26,942	(375)	4,978	31,545	489,664	521,209	6.49
Baltimore County	13,361	5,217	16,928	35,506	754,308	789,814	4.79
Montgomery County	76,536	(67,717)	89,435	98,254	873,346	971,600	11.39
Prince George's County	63,350	(77,225)	46,919	33,044	801,516	834,560	4.19
State of Maryland	307,644	(95,972)	191,262	402,934	5,296,544	5,699,478	7.69

The following table presents data on the age and sex composition of the County's population for the years 2000 and 2009.

		20	00				200)9	
Age Group	Male	%	Female	%	Age Group	Male	%	Female	%
Under 5	9,432	7.7	8,816	7.0	Under 5	9,179	6.8	8,779	6.3
5-17	26,468	21.7	24,827	19.7	5-17	28,210	20.9	26,755	19.2
18-44	49,089	40.4	51,665	41.0	18-44	48,862	36.2	50,027	35.9
45-64	29,030	23.8	30,047	23.8	45-64	37,524	27.8	39,436	28.3
Over 64	7,755	6.4	10,713	8.5	Over 64	11,203	8.3	14,353	10.3
Total	121,774	100.0	126,068	100.0	Total	134,978	100.0	139,350	100.0

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, American Community Survey 2005-2009

The following table presents the age composition of populations of selected Maryland counties, the State and the nation for 2009.

		Anne			Prince		
Age	Howard	Arundel	Baltimore I	Montgomery	George's	State of	United
Group	County	County	County	County	County	Maryland	S tates
Under							
18	25.7 %	23.2 %	21.9 %	24.5 %	24.7 %	23.7 %	24.3 %
18-24	8.5	9.7	9.7	8.6	10.4	9.7	10.0
25-44	26.4	27.8	26.9	26.9	29.1	27.3	27.1
45-64	29.2	27.8	27.1	27.7	26.4	27.2	25.7
Over 64	10.2	11.5	14.4	12.3	9.4	12.1	12.9

Education

Students in the County's schools consistently perform better in State-wide functional tests than most of their peers. The following table presents the percentage of proficiency or better for eighth graders in reading comprehension, mathematics and science in selected counties and the State for the 2009-2010 school year.

		Anne			Prince	
Tests	Howard County	Arundel County	Baltimore County	Montgomery County	George's County	State of Maryland
Reading	90.6	84.6	81.3	88.0	66.9	80.4
M athematics	84.5	72.1	66.7	75.0	41.2	65.4
Science	86.3	76.5	72.1	75.5	44.1	67.3

The following table presents comparative figures concerning the number of County public high school graduates in recent years and their plans for additional education.

Of Graduates	Continuing Education ⁽¹⁾	Entering Workforce ⁽²⁾	Other ⁽³⁾
3,883	90.00 %	5.00 %	5.00 %
3,451	86.30	11.30	2.40
3,539	87.90	10.40	1.70
3,210	87.60	10.00	2.40
3,165	88.00	9.50	2.50
	, ,		
, trade and business sch	001.		
	3,539 3,210 3,165 ent of Education, 2010 M	3,451 86.30 3,539 87.90 3,210 87.60 3,165 88.00 ent of Education, 2010 Maryland Report Card , trade and business school.	3,883 90.00 % 5.00 % 3,451 86.30 11.30 3,539 87.90 10.40 3,210 87.60 10.00 3,165 88.00 9.50

Plans of Public High School Craduates

The County's residents are among the best educated in the region. The State of Maryland had the second most educated workforce in the nation according to the 2008 State New Economy Index produced by the Information Technology and Innovation Foundation and the Kauffman Foundation of Entrepreneurship. The following table presents the percentage of post-secondary school residents of selected counties who were high school graduates or higher and college graduates or higher in 2009.

County	% High School Graduate or Higher	% College Graduate or Higher
Howard County, Maryland	94.5	57.1
Anne Arundel County, Maryland	90.0	36.4
Baltimore County, Maryland	89.2	34.8
Montgomery County, Maryland	90.1	56.3
Prince George's County, Maryland	86.2	29.2

The County consistently spends more per pupil on its students than school systems in most other parts of the State. The following table presents the budgeted expenses per pupil in the public schools in selected counties and the State for the indicated school years.

	H	loward	Ann	e Arundel	Ba	ltimore	Mo	ontgomery	Pri	ince George's	S	tate of
School Year	C	County	(County	C	county		County		County	Μ	aryland
2008-2009	\$	14,166	\$	12,178	\$	12,236	\$	14,969	\$	13,246	\$	13,019
2007-2008		13,174		11,545		11,619		14,543		13,024		12,509
2006-2007		11,940		10,847		11,038		13,536		11,189		11,398
2005-2006		11,260		9,848		10,108		12,678		9,737		10,350
2004-2005		10,611		9,304		9,467		11,772		9,138		9,661

Income

The County's median household income is the highest in Maryland and third highest in the nation of counties with 65,000 or more people. The following table presents the median household income for selected counties in the State in 2009.

Median Household Income

Howard County Anne Arundel County	\$101,940 80,300
Baltimore County	64,906
Montgomery County	94,420
Prince George's County	69,947

The following table presents the top 10 affluent counties in the nation by their national ranking for 2009 median household income.

Rank	County, State	Median Household Income
1	Loudon County, Virginia	\$114,204
2	Fairfax County, Virginia	102,499
3	Howard County, Maryland	101,940
4	Hunterdon County, New Jersey	100,729
5	Douglas County, Colorado	99,409
6	Morris County, New Jersey	96,787
7	Arlington County, Virginia	96,218
8	Montgomery County, Maryland	94,420
9	Nassau County, New York	92,776
10	Somerset County, New Jersey	89,871


National Rankings by Median Household Income

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, American Community Survey, 2009

A comparison of County and Maryland personal income for the past six years is presented in the following table.

			Percentage			Percentage	
Year	Но	ward County	Change		Maryland	Change	Howard
	Per	sonal Income	from Previous	Per	sonal Income	from Previous	County
		(000)	Year		(000)	Year	Proportion
2008	\$	17,212,636	6.6	\$	272,542,169	4.4	6.3%
2007		16,149,370	6.2		261,114,676	6.2	6.2%
2006		15,207,509	6.4		245,878,837	5.5	6.2%
2005		14,297,943	7.1		232,950,333	5.8	6.1%
2004		13,354,838	9.1		220,126,790	7.0	6.1%
2003		12,236,163	3.7		205,737,071	3.5	5.9%

The chart below presents the per capita income for the County and the State from 2003 through 2008.



Comparative Income Per Capita

Poverty is less of a problem in the County than in other counties in the Baltimore- Washington metropolitan region. The following table presents the percentage of the population living below the poverty level for selected counties in the State in 2009.

County	Percentage Below Poverty Level
Howard County	3.9%
Anne Arundel County	7.0%
Baltimore County	8.4%
Montgomery County	6.8%
Prince George's County	7.5%

Housing

The County's housing stock predominately consists of owner-occupied single-family houses. The following table presents the distribution of housing in the County by type of structure as of July 2010:

Type of Structure	Number	Percent	
Single-Family	81,717	76.5%	
Multi-Family	25,049	23.5%	
Total	106,766	100.0%	

The following table presents the average and median sales price of housing in selected counties in the State as of December 31, 2010.

County	Average Price	Median Price		
Howard County	\$405,601	\$360,000		
Anne Arundel County	349,156	295,000		
Baltimore County	243,533	219,000		
Montgomery County	470,357	350,000		
Prince George's County	192,851	190,000		

The following table presents the distribution of housing by year of construction in selected counties in the State through 2009.

	Year Structure Built							
County	1939 or earlier	1940 to 1979	1980 to 1989	1990 to 1999	2000 or later			
Howard County	2.9 %	32.7	25.6	23.2	15.5			
Anne Arundel County	5.1	45.7	17.0	18.5	13.7			
Baltimore County	8.7	54.9	13.9	13.3	9.1			
Montgomery County	4.5	50.7	21.0	12.5	11.2			
Prince George's County	4.9	58.4	13.6	13.1	10.0			

Source. C.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, American Community Survey, 2005

The following table presents data regarding the size of housing in selected counties in the State in 2009.

	Percentage of	Percentage of Housing with					
	Four to Seven Rooms	Eight or More Rooms	Median Number				
County			of Rooms				
Howard County	46.6%	45.5%	7.1				
Anne Arundel County	58.8%	34.8%	6.5				
Baltimore County	62.6%	29.0%	6.1				
Montgomery County	49.1%	37.7%	6.4				
Prince George's County	55.3%	31.0%	6.0				

The following table presents new construction and renovation activity throughout the County from 2006 through 2010, as illustrated by the number of building permits issued and their estimated cost.

_	Com	mercial	idential	Non-Taxable			
	Permits	Estimated Construction	Estimated Permits Construction		Permits	Estimated Construction	
Calendar Year	Issued	Issued Cost (000) Issued	Cost (000)	Issued	Cost (000)		
2010	1,169	\$ 291,661	3,860	\$ 321,740	139	\$ 215,727	
2009	672	151,950	2,786	314,287	152	369,544	
2008	1,252	320,833	3,353	269,378	67	167,015	
2007	1,654	388,408	4,022	365,805	159	89,550	
2006	1,385	309,010	4,417	418,104	99	75,171	

Economy

Jobs in the County

In the past four decades the County has changed from a farming community into a community of urban, suburban and rural components. This is due, in part, to the County's close proximity to the cities of Washington, D.C. and Baltimore, as well as to the County's active promotion of economic development. The following table presents fulland part-time jobs located in the County and the State, regardless of worker's place of residence. The first segment, Trade, Transportation, and Utilities, includes utilities, wholesale and retail, couriers and transit. The second, Professional and Business, includes professional and technical services, management and administrative services and waste management and remediation services.

		Jobs	in Count	y and Stat	te				
		Howard	County		State of Maryland				
Employment Categories	2009	2008	2007	2006	2009	2008	2007	2006	
Construction	10,079	11,370	11,744	11,852	153,122	178,076	187,878	188,420	
Manufacturing	6,018	6,999	6,842	6,801	118,707	128,440	131,699	136,334	
Natural Resources and Mining	468	242	261	325	6,428	6,528	6,556	6,836	
Services									
Trade, Transp. and Utils.	31,668	33,659	35,380	35,137	436,545	461,249	472,365	470,271	
Information	3,662	3,893	4,011	1,881	46,513	49,820	50,646	50,726	
Financial Activities	8,271	8,329	8,755	8,839	141,937	149,220	154,556	157,729	
Professional and Business	34,274	33,962	32,058	31,447	384,033	398,952	396,733	394,518	
Education and Health	14,695	13,960	13,165	12,700	378,238	367,671	359,053	349,136	
Leisure and Hospitality	12,225	13,997	13,751	13,690	230,220	236,048	234,278	229,694	
Other	4,384	4,357	4,280	4,098	87,816	90,111	90,618	90,973	
Government	17,836	17,548	16,893	16,717	476,918	470,409	461,356	455,492	
Other	-	2	3	-	495	876	1,112	-	
Total	143,580	148,318	147,143	143,487	2,460,972	2,537,400	2,546,850	2,530,129	

Workers Living in the County


The following table presents employment statistics, by employee's place of residence, for the County and the State in August of the last five years.

	Howard	County	State of Maryland			
Year	Employment	Labor Force	Employment	Labor Force		
2010	149,746	157,943	2,745,495	2,963,751		
2009	148,217	156,642	2,765,340	2,977,774		
2008	155,058	160,535	2,879,296	3,018,410		
2007	156,228	160,407	2,891,077	2,995,202		
2006	154,862	159,566	2,878,495	2,995,898		

Employment by Residence

Unemployment

The chart below compares the unemployment rates in the County in August of the last five years with the rates in the Baltimore metropolitan region and the State.



Unemployment Rate

Local Firms and Employers

Employer	Products or Activities	Employment	Employed Residents
John Hopkins University Applied Physics			
Laboratory	Engineering, Research & Development	4,400	2.94%
	Regional Headquarters & Customer Service		
Verizon Wireless	Operations Center	2,028	1.35%
	Corporate Headquarters, Healthcare		
Lorien Health Systems	Services	2,000	1.34%
Giant Food	Grocery Retailing and Distribution	1,950	1.30%
	Acute-care Facility & Comprehensive		
Howard County General Hospital	Healthcare Services	1,720	1.15%
Northrop Grumman	Engineering consulting services	1,200	0.80%
	Research & Engineering of Information		
SAIC	Systems & Technology	1,060	0.71%
	Provider of Securities Administration to		
Wells Fargo Bank Corporate Trust Services	Public & Private Entities	842	0.56%
	Corporate Headquarters & Hospitality		
MICROS Systems	Software Development	815	0.54%
	Fresh Produce Distribution & Food		
Coastal Sunbelt Produce	Processing	800	0.53%

Listed below are the County's ten largest private employers as of June 30, 2010.

Listed below are representative examples of other major private employers located in the County and the number of persons each employed as of June 30, 2010. This list is presented for the purpose of demonstrating the diversity of employment opportunities in the County and is not intended to be a ranking of employers or an exhaustive list of all major private employers in the County.

Employer	Products or Activities	Employment	Employed Residents
	Corporate Headquarters, Media		
Arbitron, Inc.	Information Services	767	0.51%
	Corporate Headquarters, Consumer Debt		
Ascend One	Management Counseling	735	0.49%
Dreyers Grand Ice Cream	Frozen Dessert Manufacturing	732	0.49%
	Corporate Headquarters, Community		
Enterprise Community Partners, Inc.	Development	505	0.34%
Humanim	Non-profit organization serving individuals		
Humanim	with disabilities	520	0.35%
	Corporate Headquarters, Medical Staffing		
Maxim Healthcare Services	& Wellness Services	675	0.45%
	Corporate Headquarters, Database		
Merkle Inc.	Marketing Services	500	0.34%
	Regional Headquarters, Wholesale Food		
SYSCO Food Services	Distribution	520	0.35%
	Corporate Headquarters and Chemical		
W.R. Grace & Co.	Research & Development	500	0.34%

Economic Development

The County is a major commercial center for the Washington-Baltimore region, with more than 1,000 buildings offering 70 million square feet of space to over 8,800 businesses. Several of the largest office and business parks in the Washington-Baltimore region are located in the County, including Columbia Gateway with 600 acres, Maryland Wholesale Food Center with 400 acres and Rivers Corporate Park with 350 acres. The county is also home to Emerson Corporate Commons with 570 acres and Maple Lawn with 506 acres, both mixed-use developments with over 3 million square feet of office space.

The following table presents statistics for the industrial and commercial space available in the metropolitan area of the County through the third quarter of 2010.

	Number	Total	Total Available		2010 S q. Foot
	of	S quare	S quare	Vacancy	Absorption
Type of Space	Buildings	Footage (000)	Footage (000)	Rate	(000) (1)
Flexible	225	11,459	1,019	8.9%	136
Industrial	371	31,404	5,011	16.0%	(24)
Office	485	16,534	2,467	14.9%	167
Total	1,081	59,396	8,497	14.3%	279
(1) Through September 3	0,2010.				

Retail Sales

The following table presents a comparison of fiscal year 2010 retail sales in the County and selected other jurisdictions, a measure of the drawing power of local retailers.

Retail Sales by Store Group (\$000)

						Building,		Hardware,			Per
	Food,		General		Furniture,	Industrial	Utilities,	Machinery,			Capita
County	Beverage	Apparel	Merchandise	Automotive	Appliances	Supplies	Transport	Equipment	Misc.	Total	Sales
Howard	41,779	10,124	31,331	11,642	14,258	14,218	10,700	4,964	28,204	167,220	593
Anne Arundel	99,549	26,985	62,923	23,925	37,969	34,340	24,487	10,059	37,541	357,778	686
Baltimore	123,297	29,064	123,123	36,549	36,667	64,481	47,896	7,642	46,174	514,893	652
Montgomery	138,362	31,596	85,236	43,500	40,934	39,636	40,547	6,291	57,770	483,872	498
Prince George's	105,876	21,211	87,455	34,254	32,261	65,825	29,351	10,378	54,530	441,141	529


The fourth-largest shopping center in the region, the Mall in Columbia, is located in the County. At 1.4 million square feet, the Mall in Columbia features 202 stores and restaurants, including five major department stores and a 14-screen movie theater. Ten village centers throughout Columbia feature over 1.4 million square feet of retail space. Other shopping centers in the County in excess of 100,000 square feet are Columbia Crossing, Long Gate Shopping Center, Chatham Station Shopping Center, Dobbin Center, Normandy Shopping Center, Savage Mill, Enchanted Forest Shopping Center, Snowden Square, Gateway Overlook and Hickory Ridge Village Center. These centers offer over 2 million additional square feet of leasable space.

Columbia

Howard Research and Development Corporation began the development of Columbia with initial land purchases in 1962. Today, Columbia is an unincorporated city built on 14,000 acres in the east-central portion of the County. Columbia has 99,093 residents, 5,500 businesses and 91,000 local jobs located in over 23 million square feet of commercial office, industrial and research and development buildings. Ten village centers, each providing a variety of day-to-day shopping needs and community and professional facilities and services, are now in operation. In addition, the Mall in Columbia, Columbia Crossing, Dobbin Center, Snowden Square and Long Gate provide 2.5 million square feet of retail stores, shops and restaurants. As of July 2010 the estimated assessed valuation of properties in Columbia was \$11.1 billion, representing 22.7 percent of the assessed value of property in the County.

If Columbia were to become a municipality, the Maryland Constitution provides that the County's assessable base would not be affected. Services provided by the Columbia Association for Columbia residents, which include primarily provision of recreational facilities and maintenance of open space areas, are separate and distinct from the services provided by the County and are paid for through separate assessments made annually by the Columbia Association against residential and commercial property located in Columbia and facility user fees.

As of December 31, 2010, the Columbia Association had long-term debt of \$24.5 million in aggregate principal amount. As additional facilities are constructed, the amount of debt of the Columbia Association may increase. The interest on the Columbia Association's debt is not tax-exempt. Payments on such property assessments are not deductible for federal income tax purposes, and liens for such property assessments are subordinate to liens for State and County real property taxes.



Credit: Art Landerman, local artist, Ellicott City, Maryland

Legal and Miscellaneous

Approval of Legal Proceedings

All legal matters incident to the authorization, issuance and sale of the Bonds are subject to the approval of McKennon Shelton & Henn LLP, Bond Counsel. Delivery of the Bonds is conditioned upon delivery by Bond Counsel of opinions substantially in the forms included in Appendix B of this Official Statement.

Tax Exemption

Maryland Income Taxation

In the opinion of Bond Counsel under existing law, the Bonds, their transfer, the interest payable on them and any income derived from them, including any profit realized in their sale or exchange, shall be exempt at all times from any kind and nature of taxation by the State of Maryland or by any of its political subdivisions, municipal corporations or public agencies of any kind. No opinion is expressed as to estate or inheritance taxes, or any other taxes not levied or assessed directly on the Bonds or the interest thereon. Interest on the Bonds may be subject to state or local income taxes in jurisdictions other than the State of Maryland under applicable state and local tax laws. Purchasers of the Bonds should consult their own tax advisors with respect to the taxable status of the Bonds in jurisdictions other than Maryland.

Federal Income Taxation

In the opinion of Bond Counsel, under existing law, assuming continuous compliance with certain covenants referred to herein, interest on the Bonds is excludable from gross income for federal income tax purposes under existing statutes, regulations and decisions.

In rendering its opinion with respect to the Bonds, Bond Counsel will rely without investigation on certifications provided by the County with respect to certain material facts within the knowledge of the County relevant to the tax-exempt status of interest on the Bonds.

Under the provisions of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"), there are certain restrictions that must be met subsequent to the delivery of the Bonds, including restrictions that must be complied with throughout the term of the Bonds. These include the following: (i) a requirement that certain investment earnings received from the investment of the proceeds of the Bonds be rebated to the United States of America (or that certain payments in lieu of rebate be made), (ii) other requirements applicable to the investment of the proceeds of the Bonds, and (iii) other requirements applicable to the use of the Bonds and the facilities financed or refinanced with such proceeds. Failure to comply with one or more of these requirements could result in the inclusion of the interest payable on the Bonds in gross income for federal income tax purposes, effective from the date of their issuance. The County has covenanted to regulate the investment of the proceeds of take such other actions as may be required to maintain the excludability from gross income for federal income tax purposes of interest on the Bonds.

Interest on the Bonds is not included in the alternative minimum taxable income of individuals, corporations or other taxpayers as an enumerated item of tax preference or other specific adjustment; however, for purposes of calculating the corporate alternative minimum tax, a corporation subject to such tax will be required to increase its alternative minimum taxable income by 75% of the amount by which its "adjusted current earnings" exceed its alternative minimum taxable income (computed without regard to this current earnings adjustment and the alternative tax net operating loss deduction). For such purposes, "adjusted current earnings" would include, among other items, interest income from the Bonds. In addition, interest income on the Bonds will be subject to the branch profits tax imposed by the Code on foreign corporations engaged in a trade or business in the United States.

Certain Other Federal Tax Consequences

There are other federal income tax consequences of ownership of obligations such as the Bonds under certain circumstances, including the following: (i) deductions are disallowed for certain expenses of taxpayers allocable to interest on tax-exempt obligations, as well as interest on indebtedness incurred or continued to purchase or carry tax-exempt obligations and interest expense of financial institutions allocable to tax-exempt interest; (ii) for property and casualty insurance companies, the amount of the deduction for losses incurred must be reduced by 15% of the sum of tax-exempt interest income and the deductible portion of dividends received by such companies; (iii) interest income which is exempt from tax must be taken into account for the purpose of determining whether, and what amount of, social security or railroad retirement benefits are includable in gross income for federal income taxation purposes; and (iv) for S corporations having subchapter C earnings and profits, the receipt of certain levels of passive investment income, which includes interest on tax-exempt obligations such as the Bonds, can result in the imposition of tax on such passive investment income and, in some cases, loss of S corporation status.

Purchase, Sale, and Retirement of the Bonds

Except as noted below in the case of market discount, the sale or other disposition of a Bond will normally result in capital gain or loss to its holder. A holder's initial tax basis in a Bond will be its cost. Upon the sale or retirement of a Bond, for federal income tax purposes, a holder will recognize capital gain or loss upon the disposition of such security (including sale, early redemption or payment at maturity) in an amount equal to the difference between (a) the amount received upon such disposition and (b) the tax basis in such Bond, determined by adding to the original cost basis in such Bond the amount of original issue discount that is treated as having accrued as described below under "Tax Accounting Treatment of Discount and Premium Bonds." Such gain or loss will be long-term capital gain or loss if at the time of the sale or retirement the Bond has been held for more than one year. Present law taxes both long and short-term capital gains of corporations at the rate applicable to ordinary income. For noncorporate taxpayers, however, net capital gains will be taxed at a maximum rate of 15%, while short-term capital gains and other ordinary income will be taxed at a maximum rate of 35%. Net capital gains are the excess of net long-term capital gain (gains on capital assets held for more than one year) over net short-term capital losses. Because of the limitation on itemized deductions and the deduction for personal exemptions applicable to higher income taxpayers, the effective rate of tax may be higher in certain circumstances. The operation of sunset, effective date and similar timing provisions in current law would result in a change in the tax rates in certain future time periods.

If a holder acquires a Bond after its original issuance at a discount below its principal amount (or in the case of a Bond issued at an original issue discount, at a price that produces a yield to maturity higher than the yield to maturity at which such bond was first issued), the holder will be deemed to have acquired the bond at "market discount," unless the amount of market discount is *de minimis*, as described in the following paragraph. If a holder that acquires a Bond with market discount subsequently realizes a gain upon the disposition of the Bond, such gain shall be treated as taxable interest income to the extent such gain does not exceed the accrued market discount attributable to the period during which the holder held such Bond, and any gain realized in excess of such market discount will be treated as capital gain. Potential purchasers should consult their tax advisors as to the proper method of accruing market discount.

In the case of a Bond not issued at an original issue discount, market discount will be *de minimis* if the excess of the Bond's stated redemption price at maturity over the holder's cost of acquiring the Bond is less than 0.25% of the stated redemption price at maturity multiplied by the number of complete years between the date the holder acquires the Bond and its stated maturity date. In the case of a Bond issued with original discount, market discount will be *de minimis* if the excess of the Bond's revised issue price over the holder's cost of acquiring the Bond is less than 0.25% of the revised issue price multiplied by the number of complete years between the date the holder acquires the Bond and its stated maturity date. For this purpose, a Bond's "revised issue price" is the sum of (i) its original issue price and (ii) the aggregate amount of original issue discount that is treated as having accrued with respect to the Bond during the period between its original issue date and the date of acquisition by the holder.

Tax Accounting Treatment of Discount and Premium Bonds

A Bond will be considered to have been purchased at a premium if, and to the extent that, the holder's tax basis in the Bond exceeds the amount payable at maturity (or in the case of a Bond callable prior to maturity, the amount payable on the earlier call date). Under tax regulations applicable to the Bonds, the amount of premium would be determined with reference to the amount payable on that call date (including for this purpose the maturity date), which produces the lowest yield to maturity on the Bond. The holder will be required to reduce his tax basis in the Bond for purposes of determining gain or loss upon disposition of the Bond by the amount of amortizable bond

premium that accrues, determined in the manner prescribed in the regulations. No deduction (or other tax benefit) is allocable in respect of any amount of amortizable bond premium on the Bonds. Purchasers with questions concerning the detailed tax consequences of transactions in Bonds purchased at a premium should consult their tax advisors.

Certain maturities of the Bonds may be issued at an initial public offering price which is less than the amount payable on such Bonds at maturity (the "Discount Bonds"). The difference between the initial offering price (including accrued interest) at which a substantial amount of the Discount Bonds of each maturity was sold and the principal amount of such Discount Bonds payable at maturity constitutes original issue discount. In the case of any holder of Discount Bonds, the amount of such original issue discount which is treated as having accrued with respect to such Discount Bonds is added to the original cost basis of the holder in determining, for federal income tax purposes, gain or loss upon disposition (including sale, early redemption or repayment at maturity). For federal income tax purposes (i) any holder of a Discount Bond will recognize gain or loss upon the disposition of such security (including sale, early redemption or payment at maturity) in an amount equal to the difference between (a) the amount received upon such disposition and (b) the sum of (1) the holder's original cost basis in such Discount Bond, and (2) the amount of original issue discount attributable to the period during which the holder held such Discount Bond, and (ii) the amount of the basis adjustment described in clause (i)(b)(2) will not be included in the gross income of the holder.

Original issue discount on Discount Bonds will be attributed to permissible compounding periods during the life of such Discount Bonds in accordance with a constant rate of interest accrual method. The yield to maturity of the Discount Bonds of each maturity is determined using permissible compounding periods. In general, the length of the permissible compounding period cannot exceed the length of the interval between debt service payments on the Discount Bonds and must begin or end on the date of such payments. Such yield then is used to determine an amount of accrued interest for each permissible compounding period. For this purpose, interest is treated as compounding periodically at the end of each compounding period. The amount of original issue discount which is treated as having accrued in respect of a Discount Bond for any particular compounding period is equal to the excess of (i) the product of (a) the yield for the Discount Bond (adjusted as necessary for an initial short period) divided by the number of compounding periods in a year multiplied by (b) the amount that would be the tax basis of such Discount Bond at the beginning of such period if held by an original purchaser who purchased at the initial public offering price, over (ii) the amount actually payable as interest on such Discount Bond during such period. For purposes of the preceding sentence, the tax basis of a Discount Bond, if held by an original purchaser, can be determined by adding to the initial public offering price of such Discount Bond, the original issue discount that is treated as having accrued during all prior compounding periods. If a Discount Bond is sold or otherwise disposed of between compounding periods, then interest which would have accrued for that compounding period for federal income tax purposes is to be apportioned in equal amounts among the days in such compounding period.

Holders of Discount Bonds should note that, under the tax regulations, the yield and maturity of a Discount Bond is determined without regard to commercially reasonable sinking fund payments and any original issue discount remaining unaccrued at the time that a Discount Bond is redeemed in advance of stated maturity will be treated as taxable gain. Moreover, tax regulations prescribe special conventions for determining the yield and maturity of certain debt instruments that provide for alternate payment schedules applicable upon occurrence of certain contingencies.

The foregoing summarizes certain federal income tax consequences of original issue discount with respect to Discount Bonds but does not purport to deal with all aspects of federal income taxation that may be relevant to particular investors or circumstances, including those set out above. Prospective purchasers of Discount Bonds should consider possible state and local income, excise or franchise tax consequences arising from original issue discount on Discount Bonds. In addition, prospective corporate purchasers should consider possible federal tax consequences arising from original issue discount on such Discount Bonds under the alternative minimum tax or the branch profits tax. The amount of original issue discount considered to have accrued may be reportable in the year of accrual for state and local tax purposes or for purposes of the alternative minimum tax or the branch profits tax without a corresponding receipt of cash with which to pay any tax liability attributable to such discount. Purchasers with questions concerning the detailed tax consequences of transactions in the Discount Bonds should consult their tax advisors.

The prices or yields furnished by the successful bidder for the Bonds and as shown on the cover of this Official Statement may not reflect the initial issue prices for purposes of determining the original issue discount for federal income tax purposes.

Legislative proposals before Congress or that are introduced after issuance and delivery of the Bonds, if enacted into law, could alter or amend one or more of the federal tax matters referred to above and/or adversely affect the market value of the Bonds. It cannot be predicted whether or in what form any such proposal may be enacted, and there can be no assurance that any such proposal would not apply to obligations issued prior to the enactment of such proposal. Accordingly, prospective purchasers of the Bonds should consult with their tax advisors as to the status and potential effect of such proposals.

The foregoing is only a general summary of certain provisions of the Code as enacted and in effect on the date hereof and does not purport to be complete; holders of the Bonds should consult their own tax advisors as to the effects, if any, of the Code (and any proposed or subsequently enacted amendments to the Code) in their particular circumstances.

Ratings

Fitch Ratings, Moody's Investors Service and Standard & Poor's Ratings Services, a division of The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., have given the Bonds the ratings indicated on the cover page of this Official Statement. An explanation of the significance of any rating may be obtained from the appropriate rating agency. The County furnished to each rating agency the information contained in a preliminary Official Statement and other materials and information. Generally, rating agencies base their ratings on such materials and information, as well as their own investigations, studies and assumptions. Each rating may be changed at any time and no assurance can be given that it will not be revised downward or withdrawn by the applicable rating agency if, in the judgment of such rating agency, circumstances should warrant such action. Any such downward revision or withdrawal of any rating could have an adverse effect on the market price of the Bonds.

Litigation

The County is a party to numerous legal proceedings of the type that normally occur in governmental operations. The pending legal proceedings are not, in the opinion of the County Solicitor, likely to have a material adverse impact on the County's financial condition. In addition, the County is currently reviewing and adjusting a number of claims through its self-insurance program. In the opinion of the County Solicitor, none of these pending matters is likely to have a material adverse impact on the County's financial condition the County's financial condition, nor should there be any substantial recovery against the County in amounts in excess of reserved funds.

Independent Auditors

The basic financial statements of Howard County, Maryland for the year ended June 30, 2010 have been included in Appendix A of this Official Statement have been audited by Reznick Group, P.C., independent certified public accountants, to the extent stated in their report appearing herein. The basic financial statements have been included in reliance upon the report of Reznick Group, P.C.

The independent accountants were not requested to review or update such financial statements or their report in connection with the issuance of the Bonds and the County did not request such independent accountants' consent to the inclusion of their report in this Official Statement. Such report speaks only as of its date.

Financial Advisor

Public Financial Management of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, has acted as financial advisor to the County in connection with the issuance of the Bonds. Public Financial Management is not obligated to undertake, and has neither undertaken an independent verification of, or assumed responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or fairness of the information contained in this Official Statement. Public Financial Management is an independent advisory firm and is not engaged in the business of underwriting, trading or distributing municipal securities or other public securities.

Sales at Public Bidding

The Bonds of each series were offered for sale by the County at public bidding on February 15, 2011, in accordance with the official Notice of Sale. Citigroup Global Markets Inc. was awarded the Consolidated Public Improvement Bonds at a price of \$115,719,997.95 and the Metropolitan District Bonds at a price of \$50,431,919.65. The initial public reoffering prices set forth on the inside cover page are based on information furnished to the County by each successful bidder and may be changed by each successful bidder; the successful bidder may reoffer and sell the Bonds that it has purchased to certain dealers (including dealers depositing bonds into investment trusts) and others at prices lower than the reoffering prices set forth on the cover page. Any other information concerning the terms of reoffering of the Bonds of each series, if any, including yields or prices, should be obtained from the successful bidder therefor and not from the County.

Certificate of County Officials

Simultaneously with or before delivery of and payment for the Bonds, the County will furnish to the purchasers of the Bonds a certificate of the County Executive, the Chief Administrative Officer and the Director of Finance that will state that, to the best of their knowledge and belief, this Official Statement (and any amendment or supplement hereto) as of the date of sale and as of the date of delivery of the Bonds does not contain any untrue statement of material fact and does not omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements herein, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading, and that between the date of sale and the date of delivery of the Bonds there has been no material adverse change in the financial position or revenues of the County, except as reflected or contemplated in this Official Statement (and any amendment or supplement hereto).

Miscellaneous

Any statements made in this Official Statement involving matters of opinion or estimates, whether or not expressly so stated, are set forth as such and not as representations of fact, and no representation is made that any estimates will be realized. Neither this Official Statement nor any statement which may have been made verbally or in writing is to be construed as a contract with the holders of any of the Bonds.


The execution of this Official Statement and its delivery have been approved by Howard County, Maryland.

HOWARD COUNTY, MARYLAND

By: /s/ Ken Ulman KEN ULMAN County Executive

and

By: /s/ Sharon F. Greisz SHARON F. GREISZ Director of Finance



Appendix A

Audited Basic Financial Statements

Table of Contents

Independent Auditors' Report A-	1
Management's Discussion and Analysis A-	<u>3</u>
Statement of Net Assets A-1	<u>5</u>
Statement of Activities A-1	<u>6</u>
Balance Sheet of Governmental Funds A-1	<u>7</u>
Reconciliation of the Balance Sheet of Governmental Funds to the Statement of Net Assets A-1	<u>8</u>
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances – Governmental FundsA-1	<u>9</u>
Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances of Governmental Funds to the Statement of <u>Activities</u> A-2	<u>0</u>
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances – Budgetary Basis – General Fund A-2	1

Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance – Budgetary Basis – Environmental Services FundA-22
Statement of Net Assets – Proprietary Funds A-23
Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in <u>Net Assets – Proprietary Funds</u> A-24
Statement of Cash Flows – <u>Proprietary Funds</u> A-25
Statement of Fiduciary Net Assets –Pension Trust Funds and Agency FundsA-26
Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Assets <u>– Pension Trust Funds</u> A-27
Combining Statement of Net Assets – Component Units A-28
Statement of Activities – Component Units A-29
Notes to Basic Financial Statements A-31
Required Supplementary Information A-62

The combining, individual fund, individual account group financial statements and schedules, the supplementary information contained in the statistical section, and the auditors' report dated January 26, 2011 on their consideration of the County's internal control over financial reporting and their tests of the County's compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grants referenced in the Independent Auditors' Report contained in this Appendix A are not included in this Official Statement.

In addition, page number references contained in the Independent Auditors' Report and the Management's Discussion and Analysis and in other text in this Appendix A may not correlate to the actual presentation of the referenced information due to formatting adjustments.



Credit: Art Landerman, local artist, Ellicott City, Maryland



Reznick Group, P.C. 500 East Pratt Street Suite 200 Baltimore, MD 21202-3100 Tel: (410) 783-4900

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

County Council Howard County, Maryland

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of Howard County, Maryland (the County), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2010, which collectively comprise the County's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents. These financial statements are the responsibility of the County's management. Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We did not audit the financial statements of the Howard County Public School System, Howard County Community College or the Howard County Housing Commission, which represent 38 percent, 55 percent, and 47 percent, respectively, of the assets, net assets, and revenues of the total governmental activities, the business-type activities and the aggregate discretely presented component units. Those financial statements were audited by other auditors whose report thereon has been furnished to us, and our opinion, insofar as it relates to the amounts included for those entities, is based on the report of the other auditors.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in <u>Government Auditing Standards</u>, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit and the report of other auditors provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

In our opinion, based on our audit and the report of other auditors, the financial statements referred to previously present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of Howard County, Maryland, as of June 30, 2010, and the respective changes in financial position and, where applicable, cash flows thereof and the respective budgetary comparison for the general fund and the agricultural land preservation fund for the year then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.



In accordance with <u>Government Auditing Standards</u>, we have also issued our report dated January 26, 2011, on our consideration of Howard County, Maryland's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with <u>Government Auditing Standards</u> and should be considered in assessing the results of our audit.

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the management's discussion and analysis and budgetary comparison information on pages 3 through 14 and 65 through 71 be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management regarding the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information or provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise Howard County, Maryland's financial statements as a whole. The introductory section, combining and individual nonmajor fund financial statements and schedules, and statistical section are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the financial statements. The combining and individual nonmajor fund financial statements and schedules are the responsibility of management and were derived from and relate directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied by us and the other auditors in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, based on our audit and the report of other auditors, the information is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statements as a whole. The introductory and statistical sections have not been subjected to the auditing procedures applied by us in the audit of the basic financial statements and, accordingly, we do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on it.

Remaich Group, P.C.

Baltimore, Maryland January 26, 2011

Management's Discussion and Analysis

As management of Howard County, Maryland (the County), we offer readers of the County's financial statements this narrative overview and analysis of the financial activities of the County for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010. We encourage readers to consider the information presented here in conjunction with additional information furnished in our letter of transmittal (found on pages iii to viii of this report.) The discussion focuses on the County's primary government and, unless otherwise noted, does not include component units reported separately from the primary government.

Financial Highlights

- The assets of the County exceeded its liabilities at the close of the most recent fiscal year by \$891.7 million. That amount is net of a \$530.0 million unrestricted deficit. The unrestricted deficit occurs because the County issues debt to fund construction costs for the Public School System and the Community College, two of its component units, but does not own the corresponding assets. Debt outstanding for education projects is \$418.1 million and for college projects is \$63.1 million. Ownership of the buildings owned by the Public School System transfers to the County if the assets are no longer needed for educational purposes. The current net value of the Public School System's buildings and improvements and construction in progress is \$868.9 million.
- The \$7.0 million increase in the government's total net assets is primarily due to the receipt of \$9.7 million in contributed capital and to the accumulation of ad valorem revenue that is budgeted to fund future water/sewer capital expenses.
- The County's local income tax revenues decreased by \$4.6 million or 1.7 percent this year due to lower taxable income. State shared revenues decreased by \$13.8 million.
- At the end of the current fiscal year, the County's Governmental Funds reported combined ending fund balances of \$214.4 million, an increase of about \$18.3 million in comparison with the prior year. Specifically, the fund balance of the General Capital Projects Fund increased by \$12.5 million due to the receipt of a bond premium of \$17 million. Also, there was a restatement of beginning fund balance of the nonmajor governmental funds totaling \$3,547,737 due to changes in prior years' deferred revenue.
- At the end of the current fiscal year, unreserved fund balance for the general fund of \$10.3 million or 1.3 percent of total general fund expenditures (includes fundings to component units) is available for spending at the government's discretion.
- The reserve for the budget stabilization account balance is \$54.7 million, an increase of \$6 million or 6.8 percent of fiscal year 2010 general fund expenditures. That balance meets the target set by the County Charter (7 percent of fiscal year 2008 expenditures). We have reached that target and have designated \$1.6 million for subsequent years' budget stabilization. The County is committed to meeting this mandated target in future years.
- The County's total long-term debt increased by \$49.5 million (5.3 percent) during the current fiscal year. The major factors in this increase was the issuance of \$85.9 million in Consolidated Public Improvement (CPI) Project Refunding Bonds and \$7.3 million in Metropolitan (Metro) District Project Refunding Bonds; the issuance of \$101 million Consolidated Public Improvement Project Bonds, \$5.5 million of Metropolitan District Project Bonds, and a \$402,867 draw on the State Water Quality Revolving Loan Fund. The County refunded \$88 million CPI and \$6.8 million Metro and retired \$49.6, \$6.1, and \$3.8 million of Consolidated Public Improvement, Metropolitan District, and Water Quality Bonds, respectively. The County also retired \$525,000 of Special Facility Revenue Bonds.

Overview of the Financial Statements

This discussion and analysis is intended to serve as an introduction to Howard County, Maryland's basic financial statements that include: 1) government-wide financial statements, 2) fund financial statements, and 3) notes to the financial statements. This report also contains other supplementary information in addition to the basic financial statements themselves.

Government-wide financial statements. The *government-wide financial statements* provide readers with a broad overview of the County's finances, in a manner similar to a private-sector business. The two government-wide statements are on a full accrual accounting basis, including the elimination and/or reclassification of internal activities.

The first of these government-wide statements is the *Statement of Net Assets*. This is the Countywide position presenting information that includes all County assets and liabilities, with the difference reported as *net assets*. Over time, increases or decreases in net assets may serve as a useful indicator of whether the financial position of the County is improving or deteriorating. Evaluations of the overall health of the County would extend to other non-financial factors such as diversification of the taxpayer base or the condition of County infrastructure in addition to the financial information provided in this report.

The second government-wide statement is the *Statement of Activities*, which presents information showing how the government's net assets changed during the current fiscal year. All current year revenues and expenses are included regardless of when cash is received or disbursed. An important purpose of the Statement of Activities is to show the financial reliance of the County's distinct activities or functions on revenues provided by the County's taxpayers.

Both government-wide financial statements distinguish functions of the County that are principally supported by taxes and intergovernmental revenues (*governmental activities*) from other functions that are intended to recover all or a significant portion of their costs through user fees and charges (*business-type activities*). The governmental activities of the County include general government, public safety, public works (roads, trash collection and disposal, planning and zoning, inspections, and permits), legislative and judicial, education, community services (health, housing, aging, library, and consumer protection), recreation and parks, and state highways. The business-type activities of the County include the operations of water and sewer services and a public golf course. Fiduciary activities, such as employee pension plans, are not included in the government-wide statements since these assets are not available to fund County programs.

The government-wide financial statements include not only the County, the *primary government*, but also a legally separate school system, community college, library system, and housing commission for which the County is financially accountable. Financial information for these *component units* is reported separately from the financial information presented for the primary government itself.

The government-wide financial statements can be found on pages 15-16 of this report.

Fund financial statements. A *fund* is an accountability unit used to maintain control over resources that have been segregated for specific activities or objectives. State and local governments use fund accounting to ensure and demonstrate compliance with finance-related legal requirements. All of the funds of the County can be divided into three categories: governmental funds, proprietary funds, and fiduciary funds. Within the basic financial statements, fund financial statements focus on the County's most significant funds rather than the County as a whole. Major funds are separately reported while all others are combined into a single, aggregated presentation. Individual fund data for non-major funds is provided in the form of combining statements in a later section of this report.

Governmental funds are used to account for essentially the same functions reported as governmental activities in the government-wide financial statements. However, the focus is very different with fund statements focusing on near-term inflows and outflows of spendable resources, as well as on balances of

spendable resources available at the end of the fiscal year. Such information may be useful in evaluating a government's near-term financing requirements.

Since the government-wide focus includes the long-term view and the fund focus includes the short-term view, comparisons between these two perspectives may provide insight into the long-term impact of short-term financing decisions. By doing so, readers may better understand the long-term impact of the government's near-term financing decisions. Both the governmental fund balance sheet and the governmental fund statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balance provide a reconciliation to facilitate this comparison between *governmental funds* and *government-wide activities*.

The County maintains 15 individual governmental funds. Information is presented separately in the governmental fund balance sheet and in the governmental fund statements of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balances for the general, agricultural land preservation, highway capital projects and general capital projects funds, all of which are major funds. Data from the other eleven non-major governmental funds are combined into a single, aggregated presentation. Individual fund data for each of these non-major governmental funds is provided in the form of *combining statements* elsewhere in this report.

The County adopts an annual appropriated budget for its non-capital governmental funds. Budgetary comparison statements are provided for the general and agricultural land preservation funds to demonstrate compliance with this budget. Budgetary statements for non-major special revenue funds are provided in the combining section of this report.

The basic governmental fund financial statements can be found on pages 17-22 of this report.

Proprietary funds are reported in the fund financial statements and generally report services for which the County charges customers a fee. The County maintains two different types of proprietary funds. Enterprise funds are used to report the same functions presented as *business-type activities* in the government-wide financial statements. The County uses enterprise funds to account for its water and sewer infrastructure and operations and for the operations of its public golf course. Internal service funds are an accounting structure used to account for its fleet of vehicles, information technology systems, risk management self-insurance program, employee benefits self-insurance program, and central communications system. Because the internal service funds' services predominately benefit governmental rather than business-type functions, they have been included within *governmental activities* in the government-wide financial statements.

Proprietary funds provide both long-term and short-term financial information consistent with the focus provided by the government-wide financial statements, only in more detail. The proprietary fund financial statements provide separate information for the water and sewer operations and for the public golf course, both of which are major funds of the County. Conversely, all internal service funds are combined into a single, aggregated presentation in the proprietary fund financial statements. Individual fund data for the internal service funds is provided in the form of combining statements elsewhere in this report.

The basic proprietary funds financial statements can be found on pages 23-25 of this report.

Fiduciary funds are used to account for resources held for the benefit of parties outside the government. Fiduciary funds are not reflected in the government-wide financial statements because the resources of those funds are not available to support the County's own programs. The accounting used for fiduciary funds is much like that used for proprietary funds.

The basic fiduciary funds financial statements can be found on pages 26-27 of this report.

The Component Unit financial statements can be found on pages 28-29 of this report.

Notes to the financial statements. The notes provide additional information essential to a full understanding of the data provided in the government-wide and fund financial statements. The Notes to the Financial Statements can be found immediately after the Basic Financial Statements on pages 31- 62.

Other information. In addition to the basic financial statements and accompanying notes, this report also presents certain *required supplementary information* concerning management's discussion and analysis and the County's progress in funding its obligation to provide pension and other post employment benefits to its employees. Required supplementary information can be found on page 63-64 of this report.

The combining statements referred to earlier in connection with the general fund, non-major governmental funds, internal service funds, and fiduciary funds are presented immediately following the required supplementary information on pensions and is considered to be supplementary information. Combining and individual fund statements and schedules start on page 65 of this report.

Detailed capital asset schedules for governmental and proprietary funds appear on pages 95-97. Schedules providing additional long-term debt detail start on page 99. The Statistical Section begins on page 109.

Government-Wide Financial Analysis

Over time, changes in net assets serve as a useful indicator of a government's financial position. Howard County's assets exceeded liabilities by \$891.7 million at the close of the most recent fiscal year.

The largest portion of the County's net assets reflects its investment in capital assets (e.g., land, buildings, machinery, and equipment) less any related debt used to acquire or construct those assets that is still outstanding. The County uses these capital assets to provide services to citizens; consequently, these assets are *not* available for future spending.

Although the County's investment in its capital assets is reported net of related debt, it should be noted that the resources needed to repay this debt must be provided from other sources since the capital assets themselves cannot be used to liquidate these liabilities. It is important to note that although counties in the State of Maryland issue debt for the construction of schools, school buildings are owned by each county's Public School System. Ownership reverts to the County if the local board determines a building is no longer needed. The County also funds projects for the Howard Community College and for intersection improvements to State owned roads. As of June 30, 2010, debt outstanding for education projects is \$418.1 million and for college projects is \$63.1 million. Therefore, while the County's financial statements include this outstanding debt, they do not include the capital assets funded by the debt. The negative unrestricted governmental activities net assets of \$530.0 million reflects the imbalance of liabilities without corresponding assets as well as the County's Net OPEB Obligation of \$70.8 million.

An additional portion of the County's net assets (\$283.7 million or 31 percent) represents resources subject to external restrictions on their usage.

Summary of Net Assets

	Governm	ental	Busines	s-type			
	activities		activi	ties	Total		
	2010	2009 *	2010	2009	2010	2009 *	
Current and other assets	\$ 330,901,720	339,630,328	168,989,462	196,741,561	499,891,182	536,371,889	
Capital assets	1,077,979,583	1,007,601,472	557,626,325	507,307,071	1,635,605,908	1,514,908,543	
Total assets	1,408,881,303	1,347,231,800	726,615,787	704,048,632	2,135,497,090	2,051,280,432	
Long-term liabilities							
outstanding	964,141,605	881,116,934	193,234,061	200,178,556	1,157,375,666	1,081,295,490	
Other liabilities	67,390,426	72,901,234	19,034,029	12,435,914	86,424,455	85,337,148	
Total liabilities	1,031,532,031	954,018,168	212,268,090	212,614,470	1,243,800,121	1,166,632,638	
Net assets: Invested in capital assets							
net of related debt	745,888,922	669,410,210	392,097,776	338,277,158	1,137,986,698	1,007,687,368	
Restricted	163,458,570	162,601,148	120,266,910	151,228,040	283,725,480	313,829,188	
Unrestricted	(531,998,220)	(438,797,726)	1,983,011	1,928,964	(530,015,209)	(436,868,762)	
Total net assets	\$ 377,349,272	393,213,632	514,347,697	491,434,162	891,696,969	884,647,794	

* Restated by \$3,547,737 in the governmental funds to correct prior years' deferred revenue plus \$1,229,893 in the internal service funds due to a change in the accounting for inventory, for a total of \$4,777,630.

At the end of the current fiscal year, the County is able to report positive balances in two of the three categories of net assets for the government as a whole, as well as for its separate governmental activities. Business-type activities show positive balances in all three categories.

The County's net assets increased by \$7.0 million during the current fiscal year as the result of a \$22.9 million increase business-type activities offset by a \$15.9 million decrease in governmental activities. The business-type activities increase is due to \$9.7 million in contributed capital and the accumulation of ad valorem revenues to fund future capital projects. The governmental activities decrease is primarily due to recognition of the \$20.2 million net OPEB obligation.

The deficit in unrestricted net assets for governmental activities increased by \$93.2 million this year because of the following factors:

- The outstanding debt for School and Community College capital projects (see discussion above) increased by \$39.0 and \$9.9 million respectively.
- The County's net OPEB obligation increased by \$20.2 million.
- The State reduced the County's share of its Highway User Tax (levied on gasoline purchases) by \$12.6 million and State Aid for Police by \$1.2 million.
- The County's local income tax decreased by \$4.6 million due to an increase in the unemployment rate and lower wages.
- Investment earnings decreased by \$4.8 million due to a decrease in interest rates during the year.

Changes in Net Assets

	Govern		Busines				
	activi	2009 *	activi			al 2009 *	
	2010	2009 *	2010	2009	2010	2009 *	
REVENUES							
Program revenues							
Charges for services	\$ 91,836,614	\$ 83,405,073	46,504,589	45,287,896	138,341,203	128,692,969	
Operating grants and contributions	26,732,848	39,107,366	32,395,714	30,981,090	59,128,562	70,088,456	
Capital grants and contributions	22,998,508	27,160,200	9,666,912	6,959,259	32,665,420	34,119,459	
General revenues							
Property taxes	494,218,364	467,389,345	-	-	494,218,364	467,389,345	
Local Income taxes	266,953,624	271,595,421	-	-	266,953,624	271,595,421	
Other taxes	48,701,823	54,298,728	-	-	48,701,823	54,298,728	
Other	7,342,986	11,137,332	395,605	1,174,356	7,738,591	12,311,688	
Total revenues	958,784,767	954,093,465	88,962,820	84,402,601	1,047,747,587	1,038,496,066	
EXPENSES							
General government	40,551,179	47,785,664	-	-	40,551,179	47,785,664	
Public safety	154,381,502	152,639,155	-	-	154,381,502	152,639,155	
Public works	101,682,165	115,081,550	-	-	101,682,165	115,081,550	
Recreation and parks	26,909,791	28,490,509	-	-	26,909,791	28,490,509	
Legislative and judicial	19,917,865	21,414,495	-	-	19,917,865	21,414,495	
Community services	38,203,641	42,552,461	-	-	38,203,641	42,552,461	
State highways	2,450,937	646,354	-	-	2,450,937	646,354	
Education	557,205,570	560,909,969	-	-	557,205,570	560,909,969	
nterest on long term debt	32,759,477	33,065,408	-	-	32,759,477	33,065,408	
Water & Sewer	-	-	64,845,285	59,226,089	64,845,285	59,226,089	
Golf course	-	-	1,791,000	1,734,769	1,791,000	1,734,769	
Total expenses	974,062,127	1,002,585,565	66,636,285	60,960,858	1,040,698,412	1,063,546,423	
Increase (decrease) in net assets	(15,277,360)	(48,492,100)	22,326,535	23,441,743	7,049,175	(25,050,357)	
Transfers	(587,000)	1,695,504	587,000	(1,695,504)	-	-	
increase (decrease) in net assets	(15,864,360)	(46,796,596)	22,913,535	21,746,239	7,049,175	(25,050,357)	
Net assets beginning	393,213,632	440,010,228	491,434,162	469,687,923	884,647,794	909,698,151	
Net assets ending	\$ 377,349,272	393,213,632	514,347,697	491,434,162	891,696,969	884,647,794	

* Restated by \$3,547,737 in the governmental funds to correct prior years' deferred revenue plus \$1,229,893 in the internal service funds due to a change in the accounting for inventory, for a total of \$4,777,630.

Governmental activities. Governmental activities decreased the County's net assets by \$15.9 million. Key elements of this decrease are as follows:


• Program Revenues decreased overall by \$8.1 million (5.4%). In FY 2009 a one-time return of \$5.8 million surplus fund balance from Internal Service funds was recognized.

General Revenues


- Property tax revenue increased by \$26.8 million (5.7 percent) this year. The taxable assessed value is expected to level off or decrease over the next few years. Taxable residential assessed values are limited to 5% annual increases (Homestead Credit). This limitation resulted in a substantial difference between full assessed values and taxable assessed values on residential properties at the peak real estate market in 2007. The 5% Homestead Credit means the County was not relying on taxes levied against full market values at the peak of the real estate market in 2007. As full assessed values decline they are still, over all, slightly higher than taxable assessed values.
- Local income taxes decreased by \$4.6 million or 1.7 percent this year due to lower taxable income.
- State shared taxes and revenues decreased by \$13.8 million (\$12.6 million in Highway User and \$1.2 million in State Aid for Police)
- Investment earnings decreased for government activities by about \$4.1million, a 3.9 percent decrease over last year. This is because of decreases in cash balances and interest rates during the year.

Expenses increased by \$20.2 million for additional Net OPEB Obligation.






Revenues by Source – Governmental Activities




Business-type activities. Business-type activities increased the County's net assets by \$22.9 million.

- Expenses for business type activities increased by \$5.7 million, a 9.3 percent increase over last year. This was mainly due to an increase of \$2 million in purchased water costs and \$1.3 million increase in depreciation expense.
- Capital contributions continue to be a substantial revenue source for the water and sewer operations during the current fiscal year, producing \$9.7 million in revenue, an increase of \$2.7 million from fiscal year 2009. This revenue includes the value of water and sewer lines constructed by developers and donated at no cost to the County. The County pays for additional water and sewer lines built by developers through a rebate process. Capital contributions also include front foot revenues assessed to properties connected to the water and sewer system. These front foot revenues fund the debt issued to pay developer rebates. Effective July 1, 2004, the County has not entered into any new rebate contracts. Developers pay for those improvements and are still required to donate the assets to the County.
- Operating grants and contributions increased by \$1.4 million. This is an annual ad valorem fee levied on all properties within the water and sewer service district. This charge is primarily used to fund debt service payments and pay-as-you-go funding on capital projects.
- Investment earnings decreased for business type activities by about \$779,000, a 66 percent decrease over last year. This is because of a decrease in interest rates and cash balances during the year.

Business-type activities are shown comparing costs to revenues generated by related services. Both water and sewer and the golf course activities are self-supporting.



Expenses and Program Revenues – Business-type Activities



Financial Analysis of the County's Funds

As noted earlier, the County uses fund accounting to ensure and demonstrate compliance with finance-related legal requirements.

Governmental funds.

The focus of Howard County's governmental funds is to provide information on near-term inflows, outflows, and balances of spendable resources. Such information is useful in assessing the County's financing requirements. In particular, unreserved fund balances may serve as a useful measure of a government's net resources available for spending at the end of the fiscal year.

As of the end of the current fiscal year, the County's governmental funds reported combined ending fund balances of \$214.4 million, an increase of \$18.4 million in comparison with the prior year. Of this fund balance, \$157 million is reserved for the following purposes: to liquidate contracts and purchase orders of the prior period (\$88.8 million), to fund the County's budget stabilization account (\$54.7 million), and for non-current housing loans receivable (\$13.6 million).

The general fund is the chief operating fund of the County. At the end of the current fiscal year, unreserved fund balance of the general fund is \$10.3 million, \$13.6 million less than the prior year, of which, \$3.5 million is designated for OPEB and \$846,997 is undesignated. The total fund balance for the general fund decreased 12 percent to \$71.8 million mainly due to \$11 million less in revenues from other local taxes than expected and budgeted.

The \$72.6 million total fund balance of the Agricultural Land Preservation Fund is a \$4.8 million increase over the prior year. The increase is the result of recognizing an increase in the fair value of the United States Treasury Strips investments and the additional revenues collected. The County expects several preservation purchases to be closed in FY 2011.

The general capital projects fund is used to track the construction of general county buildings. The fund balance is a negative \$40.5 million. This is a timing issue due to capital project expenditures occurring before revenues are recognized.

The highway capital projects fund is used to track the construction of bridges, roads, sidewalks, and intersections. The fund balance is \$54.6 million of which \$39.9 million represents funds reserved for road development.

Proprietary funds. The County's proprietary funds provide the same type of information found in the government-wide financial statements, but in more detail.

All assets in the Water and Sewer Proprietary Fund and the Special Recreation Facility Fund (golf course), except those available to fund current liabilities, are considered restricted because a change in the charter is required to allow these assets to be used for other purposes. Net assets of the water and sewer operations at the end of the year amounted to \$508.5 million, and those for the golf course operation were \$3.8 million. The total increase in net assets in each fund was \$22.5 million and \$383,432, respectively.

Other factors concerning the finances of these two funds have already been addressed in the discussion of the County's business-type activities.

General Fund Budgetary Highlights

The original general fund expenditure and revenue budgets were amended via supplemental appropriations for \$2,000,000 to provide funds to cover the cost of three record snow events and for \$110,000 to increase funding to the Soil Conservation Office. Pay go funding on a capital project was transferred to the general fund (the project is not going forward) for the snow costs and Soil Conservation fees were increased to offset the additional appropriation.

By mid FY 2010 the County was anticipating a current year revenue shortfall as high as \$20 million. The County significantly cut back on operating expenditures and held back on spending of current and prior year pay as you go funding to various capital projects. The actual revenue shortfall was closer to \$12 million and the County was able to offset that by cutting expenditures.

Total debt service final budget amounts compared to expenditures and encumbrances for the period show a favorable variance of \$6.4 million. This budget line is for variable rate debt on the commercial paper and is purposely budgeted high to be able to accommodate any adverse rate movement during the year. Local income taxes are \$6.6 million less than budgeted – however the County was anticipating a \$12 million shortfall. Recordation tax was \$1.3 million over budget. This revenue is from the fees paid to record mortgages in land records. The increase was the result of an increase in the number of properties sold which was driven by the Federal home buying tax credits. Property Taxes were \$2.3 million more than budgeted. Highway user revenue (local share of State gasoline tax) is \$6 million under budget. Interest on investments fell short of budgeted revenue by \$5.9 million. This occurred because interest income and expense on commercial paper proceeds are budgeted high to allow for increases in interest rates during the year.

Capital Assets and Debt Administration

Capital assets. The County's investment in capital assets for its governmental and business type activities as of June 30, 2010 is \$1.64 billion (net of accumulated depreciation). Capital assets includes land, easements, buildings, improvements, machinery and equipment, park facilities, sidewalks, roads, highways, bridges, water and sewer lines, and storm water management systems. The total increase in the County's investment in capital assets for the current fiscal year was 8% (a 7% increase for governmental activities and a 9.9 percent increase for business-type activities).

Major capital asset events during the current fiscal year included the following:

- \$24 million for the first phase of the Water Reclamation Plant expansion and enhancement
- \$24 million on the renovation of the main County office buildings and \$5.5 million on general systemic improvements
- \$14.5 million on the Little Patuxent Parallel Sewer system

- \$13.4 million on various Recreation and Parks facilities including the Robinson Nature Center and the North Laurel Park Community Center
- \$12.6 million in developer donated roads, storm drainage, water and sewer lines and open space land
- \$4 million for land purchases for two fire station sites, two parks and three others for roads
- \$1.7 million for transit buses and \$1.5 million for fire vehicles and equipment

Capital Assets (net of depreciation)

		Governmental Business-type activities activities			Total		
	2010	2009 *	2010	2009 *	2010	2009 *	
Land and land improvements	\$ 443,156,392	431,824,209	10,802,873	10,802,873	453,959,265	442,627,082	
Buildings	136,854,015	139,798,345	76,229,701	78,794,292	213,083,716	218,592,637	
Improvements other than							
buildings	29,042,279	31,599,126	27,525,487	28,423,192	56,567,766	60,022,318	
Equipment	46,894,998	49,286,415	1,221,329	1,278,636	48,116,327	50,565,051	
Infrastructure	160,740,085	161,130,635	262,395,927	262,268,671	423,136,012	423,399,306	
Construction in progress	261,291,814	193,962,742	179,451,008	125,739,407	440,742,822	319,702,149	
Total capital assets	\$1,077,979,583	1,007,601,472	557,626,325	507,307,071	1,635,605,908	1,514,908,543	

* Reclassifications were made amongst the major classes of capital assets.

Additional information on the County's capital assets can be found in Note 7 on pages 45-47 of this report.

Long-term debt. At the end of the current fiscal year, the County had total long-term debt outstanding of \$993 million. Of this amount, \$981.2 million comprises debt backed by the full faith and credit of the government. The remainder of the County's debt represents bonds secured solely by specific revenue sources (i.e., revenue bonds).

Outstanding Debt

	Governmental activities			Busines		Total		
	20		2009	2010	2009	2010	2009	
Consolidated Public								
Improvement bonds	\$ 723	,305,002	671,880,814	110,810,000	110,889,110	834,115,002	782,769,924	
State water quality loan	5	,795,000	7,980,000	43,220,051	46,575,788	49,015,051	54,555,788	
Landfill closure obligation	35	,002,000	34,503,000		-	35,002,000	34,503,000	
Agriculture land preservation								
program	60	,998,200	61,240,200		-	60,998,200	61,240,200	
Special facility revenue bonds		-	-	6,870,000	7,395,000	6,870,000	7,395,000	
Other	4	,888,717	-	2,034,984	2,922,762	6,923,701	2,922,762	
Total outstanding debt	\$ 829.9	988.919	775.604.014	162.935.035	167.782.660	992,923,954	943.386.674	

The County's total long-term debt increased by \$49.5 million (5.3 percent) during the current fiscal year. The major factors in this increase was the issuance of \$85.9 million in Consolidated Public Improvement (CPI) Project Refunding Bonds and \$7.3 million in Metropolitan (Metro) District Project Refunding Bonds; the issuance of \$101 million Consolidated Public Improvement Project Bonds, \$5.5 million of Metropolitan District Project Bonds, a \$402,867 draw on the State Water Quality Revolving Loan Fund. The County entered into a capital lease for energy performance of \$4.9 million. The County refunded \$88 million CPI and \$6.8 million Metro and retired \$49.6, \$6.1, and \$3.8 million of CPI, Metro and Water Quality Bonds, respectively. The County also retired \$525,000 of Special Facility Revenue Bonds. The County maintains an "AAA" rating from both Standard & Poor's and Fitch Ratings, and an "Aaa" rating from Moody's Investors Service for general obligation debt.

Local statutes limit the amount of general obligation debt a governmental entity may issue to 4.8 percent of its total assessed valuation. The current debt limitation for the County is \$2.4 billion, which is significantly in excess of Howard County's outstanding general obligation debt.

Additional information on the County's long-term debt can be found in Note 8 starting on page 47 of this report.

Economic Factors and Next Year's Budgets and Rates

Howard County has a relatively low unemployment rate as evidenced by the following:

- The June 2010 unemployment rate for the County was 5.5 percent (peaked at 6 percent in January 2010 and was 5.2 percent in October 2010). This compares favorably to the state's unemployment rate of 7.3 percent and the national rate of 9.5 percent for the same period. The County's rate was 2.7 percent in November 2007.
- The occupancy rate for office space as of June 2010 was 85.5 percent, up from 84.6 percent a year ago. Howard County had 152,864 SF new office space come on line during this fiscal year.
- Inflationary trends in the region compare favorably to national indices.

All of these factors were considered in preparing the County's budget for the 2011 fiscal year.

The fiscal year 2011 general fund budget is \$2 million or 0.25 percent more than the fiscal year 2010 budget. This increase is the result of an \$11.4 million increase in Education and \$1.4 million increase for Elections funding offset by \$10.8 million of reductions in all other areas.

The County's property tax and income tax rates remained the same for Fiscal Year 2011. The Building Excise Tax (used to fund road expansion) rates were increased 4.2 percent (based on the Baltimore Cost Index). Water charges were increased 9 percent to offset an increase in the cost of water purchased from Baltimore City. No changes were made to the annual refuse and recycling fees and the water and sewer ad valorem or fire tax rates.

Currently Known Facts, Decisions and Conditions

The County is not anticipating a revenue shortfall in FY 2011. FY 2011 income tax received through November 2010 is up 9.9% over FY 2010 and is running ahead of budgeted expectation for that period. All other major revenue sources are on budget for FY 2011.

The County received a \$73 million federal grant to fund One Maryland Broadband Plan. The grant will be used to administer, purchase, and install dark fiber infrastructure in 10 jurisdictions in central Maryland over the next 2.5 years.

Requests for Information

This financial report is designed to provide a general overview of Howard County's finances for all those with an interest in the government's finances. Questions concerning any of the information provided in this report or requests for additional financial information can be addressed to the Department of Finance, 3430 Court House Drive, Maryland 313-2113 Ellicott City, 21043 (410)or e-mailed to sgreisz@howardcountymd.gov. Complete financial reports are also available on our web site www.howardcountymd.gov.

Howard County, Maryland Statement of Net Assets June 30, 2010

		Pri	mary Government		
	(Governmental	Business-type		Component
		Activities	Activities	Total	Units
ASSEIS					
Equity in pooled cash and cash equivalents	\$	102,979,675	13,085,107	116,064,782	39,261,136
Investments		-	-	-	122,153,175
Receivables:					
Property taxes, net		5,242,625	146,132	5,388,757	-
Due from other governments		44,588,393	-	44,588,393	18,999,983
Other		2,111,399	10,709,894	12,821,293	6,065,283
Materials and supplies		1,601,958	847,943	2,449,901	3,326,094
Prepaids		8,491	-	8,491	1,243,539
Other assets		850	-	850	-
Restricted assets:					
Equity in pooled cash and cash equivalents		104,023,908	59,464,000	163,487,908	8,466,062
Investments		41,086,840	-	41,086,840	-
Property taxes		518,280	-	518,280	-
Due from other governments		11,897,071	-	11,897,071	-
Water & sewer assessments receivable		-	82,174,483	82,174,483	-
Other receivables		14,775,333	296,718	15,072,051	3,189,531
Materials and supplies		331,177	-	331,177	-
Internal balances		(1,892,655)	1,892,655	-	-
Other assets		3,628,375	372,530	4,000,905	-
Capital assets:					
Land		443,156,392	10,802,873	453,959,265	46,501,237
Construction in progress		261,291,814	179,451,008	440,742,822	117,720,671
Buildings and improvements, net		165,896,294	366,151,115	532,047,409	928,809,163
Machinery and equipment, net		46,894,998	1,221,329	48,116,327	9,726,895
Infrastructure, net		160,740,085	-	160,740,085	-
Other capital assets		-	-	-	3,039,163
Total assets		1,408,881,303	726,615,787	2,135,497,090	1,308,501,932
LIABILITIES					
Accounts payable and other current liabilities		31,718,515	12,780,423	44,498,938	93,774,167
Accrued interest payable		13,244,950	1,632,892	14,877,842	-
Accrued wages and benefits		14,180,776	610,408	14,791,184	1,634,355
Deposits		370,857	3,646,259	4,017,116	378,660
Due to primary government		-	-	-	5,913,759
Unearned revenues		7,875,328	364,047	8,239,375	28,249,830
Noncurrent liabilities:					
Due within one year		53,664,198	13,576,698	67,240,896	11,831,927
Due in more than one year, net		910,477,407	179,657,363	1,090,134,770	73,617,609
Total liabilities		1,031,532,031	212,268,090	1,243,800,121	215,400,307
NET ASSETS					
Invested in capital assets, net of related debt		745,888,922	392,097,776	1,137,986,698	1,035,223,035
Restricted for:		743,000,722	392,097,770	1,137,300,090	1,035,225,055
Public safety		9 560 933		9 560 922	
		8,569,833 143,031,205	- 110 579 265	8,569,833 262,600,660	-
Public works		143,031,295	119,578,365	262,609,660	-
Recreation and parks		1,262,440	688,545	1,950,985	-
Community services		10,595,002	-	10,595,002	0 2 4 2 0 1 2
Education		-	-	-	8,343,813
Business type operations		-	-	-	6,711,623
Unrestricted	*	(531,998,220)	1,983,011	(530,015,209)	42,823,154
Total net assets	\$	377,349,272	514,347,697	891,696,969	1,093,101,625

0

			Operating	Capital		Primary Government		
Programs	Expenses	Charges for Services	Grants and Contributions	Grants and Contributions	Gov Activities	Bus Type Activities	Total	Component Units
Primary government:								
Governmental activities:								
General government	\$ 40,551,179	29,198,040	3,108,812	5,379,833	(2,864,494)		(2,864,494)	•
Public safety	154,381,502	4,502,716	8,213,958	19,702	(141,645,126)		(141, 645, 126)	•
Public works	101,682,165	42,937,855	3,617,537	13,485,664	(41, 641, 109)		(41, 641, 109)	•
Recreation and parks	26,909,791	12,621,042	7,096	3,862,187	(10, 419, 466)		(10, 419, 466)	•
Legislative and judicial	19,917,865	520,163	637,442	•	(18,760,260)		(18,760,260)	•
Community services	38,203,641	2,056,798	11,148,003	251,122	(24,747,718)		(24,747,718)	•
State highways	2,450,937	•	•	•	(2,450,937)		(2,450,937)	•
Education	557,205,570		•	•	(557,205,570)		(557, 205, 570)	•
Interest on long term debt	32,759,477	•	•	•	(32,759,477)	•	(32,759,477)	•
Total governmental activities	974,062,127	91,836,614	26,732,848	22,998,508	(832,494,157)		(832,494,157)	•
Business tyne activities:								
Water and sewer	64.845.285	44.355.147	32.395.714	9.666.912		21.572.488	21.572.488	
Golf course	1.791.000	2.149.442	-	-		358,442	358.442	
Total business-type activities	66.636.285	46.504.589	32.395.714	9.666.912		21.930.930	21.930.930	•
Total primary government	1,040,698,412	138,341,203	59,128,562	32,665,420	(832,494,157)	21,930,930	(810, 563, 227)	•
Component units:								
Public school system	794,461,502	11,684,875	129,393,151	58,845,268				(294,538,208)
Community college	88,368,512	33,825,402	1,029,793	8,077,641	•			(45,435,676)
Library	18,280,865	729,791		•	•			(17,551,074)
Housing commission	24,885,794	6,162,096		14,306,890		-		(4,416,808)
Total component units	\$ 925,996,673	52,402,164	130,422,944	81,229,799				(661,941,766)
	General revenues:							
	Property taxes				\$ 494,218,364		494,218,364	497,985,673
	Local income taxes				200,953,024		200,953,624	
	Transfer tax				21,276,814		21,276,814	•
	Recordation tax				15,267,362		15,267,362	•
	Building excise tax				5,634,708	1	5,634,708	•
	Hotel / motel tax				2,822,361	1	2,822,361	•
	Admission tax				2,234,959		2,234,959	•
	County development tax				98,306		98,306	•
	MOULE HOLLE LAX Interamental unrestricted	actricted			201/1/C		201/1/C	-
	Threatricted investment income	tincome			6 481 902	395 605	101,071	882 315
	Mis call manue				861.084		100,110,0	5 136 677
	Transfers				(587,000)	587.000	-	-
	Total general rewnues and transfers	and transfers			816.629.797	982.605	817.612.402	697.275.569
	Change in net assets				(15,864,360)	22.913.535	7,049,175	35,333,803
	Net assets - beginning of y	Net assets - beginning of year, as previously reported			388,436,002	491,434,162	879,870,164	1,057,767,822
	Adjustment to restate net assets	et assets			4,777,630		4,777,630	•
	Net assets - beginning of year, as restated	'ear, as restated			393,213,632	491,434,162	884,647,794	1,057,767,822
					0 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			

Howard County, Maryland Balance Sheet Governmental Funds June 30, 2010

	General	Agricultural Land Preservation Fund	General Capital Projects	Highway Capital Projects	Other Governmental Funds	Total Governmental Funds
ASSEIS	General	Fullu	riojecis	Frojects	Fullus	Fullus
Pooled cash and cash equivalents Receivables:	\$ 58,908,968	-	-	-	11,503,429	70,412,397
Property taxes, net	5,242,625	-	-	-	-	5,242,625
Due from other governments	44,588,393	-	-	-	-	44,588,393
Interfund receivable	4,374,744	-	-	-	-	4,374,744
Other	958,609	-	-	-	30,292	988,901
Other assets	850	-	-	-	-	850
Restricted assets:	-					
Pooled cash and cash equivalents	-	30,835,759	539,791	26,764,032	45,884,326	104,023,908
Investments	-	41,086,840	-	-	-	41,086,840
Due from other funds	-	-	-	30,066,981	-	30,066,981
Economic development loans	-	-	-	-	107,585	107,585
Housing loans	-	-	-	-	13,462,875	13,462,875
Property taxes	-	-	-	-	518,280	518,280
Materials and supplies	-	-	-	-	331,177	331,177
Due from other governments	-	731,989	146,792	435,254	10,583,036	11,897,071
Other	-	-	745,739	21,987	437,147	1,204,873
Total assets	114,074,189	72,654,588	1,432,322	57,288,254	82,858,147	328,307,500
LIABILITIES						
Due to other funds	\$ -	-	28,548,747	-	5,802,620	34,351,367
Accounts payable / accrued liabilities	5,342,037	-	13,402,643	2,196,342	4,533,275	25,474,297
Accrued wages and benefits	9,234,318	8,816	24,835	8,309	4,278,338	13,554,616
Deposits and connection fees	99,213	-	-	-	271,644	370,857
Deferred revenue	27,626,272	-	-	436,983	9,655,891	37,719,146
Total liabilities	42,301,840	8,816	41,976,225	2,641,634	24,541,768	111,470,283
ETNID DATANGEC						
FUND BALANCES Reserved for:						
	(7((140		25 874 210	17 (40 422	20 400 245	00 7(1 227
Encumbrances	6,766,440	-	25,874,219	17,640,433	38,480,245	88,761,337
Noncurrent receivables/payables	54 (01 2()	-	-	-	13,578,971	13,578,971
Budget stabilization account	54,681,366	-	-	-	-	54,681,366
Unreserved, reported in:						
General fund:	2 000 000					2 000 000
Designated for subsequent year's expenditures Designated for subsequent years' budget	3,900,000	-	-	-	-	3,900,000
stabilization account	1,577,546	_	_	_	_	1,577,546
Designated for other post-employment benefits	3,500,000	-		-		3,500,000
Designated for salary GAAP accrual conversion	500,000		-	-	-	500,000
Undesignated	846,997	-	-	-	-	846,997
Special Revenue funds:	040,997					040,001
Designated for future balloon payment	_	41,086,840	_	_	_	41,086,840
Designated for subsequent year's expenditures	-	29,074,867	-	-	-	29,074,867
	-		-	-	32,562,285	35,046,350
Undesignated Conital Projects funds	-	2,484,065	-	-	32,302,283	33,040,330
Capital Projects funds:			(66 419 100)	27 007 197	(06 205 100)	(22 919 029)
Undesignated Total for d halanaas	71,772,349	72,645,772	(66,418,122)	37,006,187	(26,305,122)	(55,717,057)
Total fund balances Total liabilities and fund balances		, ,	(40,543,903)	54,646,620	58,316,379	216,837,217
Total madifilies and fund balances	\$ 114,074,189	72,654,588	1,432,322	57,288,254	82,858,147	328,307,500

Howard County, Maryland Reconciliation of the Balance Sheet of Governmental Funds to the Statement of Net Assets June 30, 2010

Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of net assets (page 15) are different because:		
Total fund balances - governmental funds (page 17)		\$ 214,426,531
Internal service funds are used by management to charge the costs of certain activities,		
such as insurance and telecommunications, to individual funds. The assets and		
liabilities of certain internal service funds are included in governmental activities		
in the statement of net assets.		38,912,024
Long-term liabilities, including bonds payable, are not due and payable in the current		
period and therefore are not reported in the funds.		
Bonds and notes payable	\$ (790,098,202)	
Capital lease	(4,888,717)	
Deferred refunding gain/loss and costs	(34,112,976)	
Accrued interest payable	(13,244,950)	
Compensated absences	(19,340,425)	
Net OPEB obligation	(69,881,083)	
Landfill closure & post closure	(35,002,000)	(966,568,353)
Capital assets used in governmental activities are not financial resources and therefore		
are not reported in the funds.		1,058,092,714
Other long-term assets are not available to pay for current-period expenditures and		
therefore are deferred in the funds.		32,486,356
Net assets of governmental activities (page 15)		\$ 377,349,272

Howard County, Maryland Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances Governmental Funds For the Year Ended June 30, 2010

	 General Fund	Agricultural Land Preservation Fund	General Capital Projects	Highway Capital Projects	Other Governmental Funds	Total Governmental Funds
REVENUES						
Property taxes	\$ 425,879,482	-	-	-	68,761,547	494,641,029
Other local taxes	314,944,353	5,417,510	5,319,203	5,634,708	10,638,407	341,954,181
State shared taxes	790,181	-	-	-	-	790,181
Revenues from other governments	5,158,870	-	4,944,579	-	20,762,705	30,866,154
Charges for services	11,136,383	-	-	-	36,016,709	47,153,092
Interest on investments	632,654	5,149,536	137,505	206,585	309,064	6,435,344
Licenses and permits	5,839,006	-	-	-	-	5,839,006
Fines and forfeitures	2,963,214	-	-	-	19,905	2,983,119
Developer fees	-	-	-	888,143	777,686	1,665,829
Rental of property	-	-	-	-	206,754	206,754
Recoveries for interfund services	11,457,728	-	-	-	-	11,457,728
Payments from component units	861,084	-	-	-	-	861,084
Miscellaneous program revenues	1,439,078	8,297	6,528,451	74,008	595,629	8,645,463
Total revenues	781,102,033	10,575,343	16,929,738	6,803,444	138,088,406	953,498,964
EXPENDITURES Current:						
	10 116 556				2 505 952	21 022 440
General government	19,116,576	-	-	-	2,705,873	21,822,449
Legislative & judicial	18,859,779	-	407 102	-	510,691	19,370,470
Public works	64,737,678	946,239	497,183	-	21,372,802	87,553,902
Public safety	88,445,419	-	-	-	60,328,465	148,773,884
Recreation and parks	12,969,853	-	-	-	11,393,250	24,363,103
Community services	14,798,337	-		-	22,298,425	37,096,762
Education	494,085,673	-	48,734,253	-	-	542,819,926
Capital improvements	-	-	44,834,045	12,630,279	21,977,150	79,441,474
Debt service:						
Principal	49,950,000	242,000	-	-	-	50,192,000
Interest	28,860,635	4,604,765	-	-	-	33,465,400
Total expenditures	791,823,950	5,793,004	94,065,481	12,630,279	140,586,656	1,044,899,370
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures	(10,721,917)	4,782,339	(77,135,743)	(5,826,835)	(2,498,250)	(91,400,406)
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)						
Bond premium	-	-	17,022,426	1,107,595	844,032	18,974,053
Capital related debt issued	-	-	90,781,077	4,121,180	6,107,743	101,010,000
Refunding bonds issued	-	-	77,256,312	5,325,381	3,278,307	85,860,000
Capital lease proceeds	-	-	4,909,012	-	-	4,909,012
Capital lease	-	-	(4,909,012)	-	-	(4,909,012)
Payment to bond refunding escrow agent	-	-	(89,150,663)	(6,145,300)	(3,782,933)	(99,078,896)
Transfers in	17,371,330	-	2,641,648	4,443,625	11,249,011	35,705,614
Transfers out	(16,676,284)		(8,896,957)	(2,978,417)	(7,694,398)	(36,246,056)
Total other financing sources and uses	695,046	-	89,653,843	5,874,064	10,001,762	106,224,715
Net change in fund balances	(10,026,871)	4,782,339	12,518,100	47,229	7,503,512	14,824,309
Adjustment to beginning balance	-	-	-	-	3,547,737	3,547,737
Fund balances - beginning, as previously reported	 81,799,220	67,863,433	(53,062,003)	54,599,391	44,854,444	196,054,485
Fund balances-ending	\$ 71,772,349	72,645,772	(40,543,903)	54,646,620	55,905,693	214,426,531

Howard County, Maryland Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances of Governmental Funds to the Statement of Activities For the Year Ended June 30, 2010

Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of activities (page 16) are different because:		
Net change in fund balances - total governmental funds (page 19)	:	\$ 14,824,309
Governmental funds report capital outlays as expenditures. However, in the statement of		
activities the cost of those assets is allocated over their estimated useful lives and		
reported as depreciation expense. The details of the amount by which capital outlays exceeds		
depreciation in the current period is as follows:		
Capital outlays	80,106,917	
Less: Depreciation expense	(19,968,119)	60,138,798
In the statement of activities, only the gain on the sale of land and buildings is reported,		
whereas in the governmental funds, the proceeds from the sales increase financial resources.		
Thus, the change in net assets differs from the change in fund balance by the cost of		
the land and buildings sold.		(5,162)
Donations of capital assets increase net assets in the statement of activities, but do not		
appear in the governmental funds because they are not financial resources.		12,097,846
Revenues to governmental funds that relate to prior periods are not reported in the statement		
of activities. Similarly, revenues in the statement of activities that do not provide current		
financial resources are not reported as revenues in the fund. The details are as follows:		
Revenues related to prior periods	(27, 511, 580)	
Revenues that do not provide current financial resources	5,101,528	(22,410,052)
Bond proceeds provide current financial resources to governmental funds, but issuing		
debt increases long-term liabilities in the statement of net assets. Repayment of bond principal		
is an expenditure in the governmental funds, but the repayment reduces long-term liabilities		
in the statement of net assets. This is the amount by which proceeds exceeded repayments.		(62,295,739)
In the statement of activities, certain operating expenses are measured by the amounts accrued		
during the year. In the governmental funds, however, expenditures for these items are		
measured by the amount of financial resources expended.		(19,869,790)
Internal service funds are used by management to charge the costs of certain activities,		
such as insurance and telecommunications, to individual funds. The new revenue (expense)		
of certain internal service funds is reported with governmental activities.		1,655,430
Change in net assets of governmental activities (page 16).	5	§ (15,864,360)
		<u> </u>

Howard County, Maryland Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances - Budgetary Basis General Fund For the Year Ended June 30, 2010

	Budget A	mounts		Variance with
REVENUES	Original	Final	Actual	Final Budget
Property taxes	\$ 423,603,109	423,603,109	425,879,482	2,276,373
Other local taxes	320,650,000	320,650,000	314,944,353	(5,705,647)
State shared taxes	6,641,944	6,641,944	790,181	(5,851,763)
Revenues from other governments	6,441,500	6,441,500	5,158,870	(1,282,630)
Charges for services	10,165,050	10,275,050	11,136,383	861,333
Interest on investments	6,521,732	6,521,732	632,654	(5,889,078)
Licenses and permits	5,041,700	5,041,700	5,839,006	797,306
Recoveries for interfund services	11,669,881	11,669,881	11,457,728	(212,153)
Fines and forfeitures	3,952,320	3,952,320	2,963,214	(989,106)
Payments from component units	863,706	863,706	4,761,084	3,897,378
Miscellaneous	1,187,591	3,187,591	1,557,009	(1,630,582)
Total revenues	796,738,533	798,848,533	785,119,964	(13,728,569)
EXPENDITURES				
Current:				
General government	21,780,828	20,410,828	19,106,819	1,304,009
Legislative & judicial	20,380,751	20,380,751	18,885,809	1,494,942
Public works	62,560,368	66,040,368	64,407,990	1,632,378
Public safety	95,424,962	95,424,962	88,171,155	7,253,807
Recreation and parks	13,039,053	13,039,053	13,008,131	30,922
Community services	14,924,801	14,924,801	14,560,940	363,861
Education	498,009,248	498,009,248	497,985,673	23,575
Debt service:				
Principal payments on debt	49,950,005	49,950,005	49,950,000	5
Interest payments on debt	35,289,278	35,289,278	28,885,414	6,403,864
Total expenditures	811,359,294	813,469,294	794,961,931	18,507,363
Deficiency of revenues under expenditures	(14,620,761)	(14,620,761)	(9,841,967)	4,778,794
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)				
Appropriation from fund balance	14,197,182	14,197,182	14,197,182	-
Transfers in	15,286,785	15,286,785	17,371,330	2,084,545
Transfers out	(14,863,206)	(14,863,206)	(14,647,636)	215,570
Total other financing sources (uses)	14,620,761	14,620,761	16,920,876	2,300,115
Net change in fund balance	-	-	7,078,909	7,078,909
Less appropriation from fund balance	-	-	(14,197,182)	
Fund balances - beginning	-	-	81,929,879	-
Fund balances - ending	\$ -	-	74,811,606	-

Howard County, Maryland Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance - Budgetary Basis Agricultural Land Preservation Fund For the Year Ended June 30, 2010

	Budget Amo	unte		Variance with
	 Original	Final	Actual	Final Budget
REVENUES	 Original	Filla	Actual	Tina Duuget
Local taxes	\$ 4,625,000	4,625,000	5,417,510	792,510
Investment income	-	-	5,149,536	5,149,536
Miscellaneous program revenue	15.000	15.000	8,297	(6,703)
Total revenues	4,640,000	4,640,000	10,575,343	5,935,343
EXPENDITURES				
Public works:				
Agricultural land preservation program administration	1,536,707	1,500,769	945,982	554,787
Agricultural land preservation board	1,900	1,900	962	938
Contingency	28,963,206	28,963,206	-	28,963,206
Total public works	30,501,813	30,465,875	946,944	29,518,931
Debt service:				
Principal payments on debt	237,000	237,000	242,000	(5,000)
Interest payments on debt	4,573,827	4,609,765	4,604,765	5,000
Total debt service	4,810,827	4,846,765	4,846,765	-
Total expenditures	35,312,640	35,312,640	5,793,709	29,518,931
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over (under) expenditures	(30,672,640)	(30,672,640)	4,781,634	35,454,274
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES				
Appropriation from fund balance	30,672,640	30,672,640	-	30,672,640
Total other financing sources	30,672,640	30,672,640	-	30,672,640
Net change in fund balance	-	-	4,781,634	4,781,634
Fund balances - beginning	-	-	67,871,572	-
Fund balances - ending	\$ -	-	72,653,206	-

Howard County, Maryland Statement of Net Assets Proprietary Funds June 30, 2010

		Enterprise Funds		Gov't Activities-
ASSETS	Water and	Special Recreation	Total	Internal Sorvice Funds
ASSE1S Current assets:	Sewer	Facility	Total	Service Funds
Equity in pooled cash and cash equivalents	\$ 13,085,107	-	13,085,107	32,567,278
Prepaid expenses	-	-	-	8,491
Receivables:				
Service billings	10,689,343	-	10,689,343	
Property taxes	146,132		146,132	
Other receivables	20,551	-	20,551	1,122,493
Materials and supplies	807,431	40,512	847,943	1,601,95
Restricted assets: Equity in pooled cash and cash equivalents	58,700,577	763,423	59,464,000	
Water and sewer assessments	7,294,959	703,425	7,294,959	
Total current assets	90,744,100	803,935	91,548,035	35,300,22
Noncurrent assets:	, ,	,	, ,	
Restricted assets:				
Receivables:				
Water and sewer assessments	74,879,524	-	74,879,524	
Other receivables	16,718	280,000	296,718	
Materials and supplies		-	-	
Capital assets: Land	2,117,977	8,684,896	10,802,873	
Land Construction in progress	2,117,977 179,451,008	0,004,070	10,802,873	
Buildings and improvements, net	365,359,916	791,199	366,151,115	
Machinery and equipment, net	1.089.713	131,616	1,221,329	19,886,86
Other assets	267,961	104,569	372,530	
Total noncurrent assets	623,182,817	9,992,280	633,175,097	19,886,869
Total assets	713,926,917	10,796,215	724,723,132	55,187,094
LIABILITIES				
Current liabilities:		00.254	00.254	
Due to other funds	-	90,356	90,356 12 780 422	792 204
Accounts payable	12,455,234	325,189	12,780,423	783,200
Capital lease	- 610,408	-	- 610,408	626,16
Accrued wages and benefits Compensated absences	19,465	-	19,465	29,01
Unpaid insurance claims		-	-	5,365,14
Deposits and connection fees	<u>-</u>	-	-	75,00
Other accrued expenses	-	-	-	20,87
Current liabilities	13,085,107	415,545	13,500,652	6,899,39.
Current liabilities payable from restricted assets:				
Deposits and connection fees	3,646,259	-	3,646,259	
Developer agreement rebates and deposits Deferred water and sewer assessments	276,702	-	276,702	
Other debt payable	2,283,322 3,867,209	-	2,283,322 3,867,209	
Bonds payable	6,590,000	540,000	7,130,000	
Interest payable	1,548,478	84,414	1,632,892	
Current liabilities payable from restricted assets	18,211,970	624,414	18,836,384	
Total current liabilities	31,297,077	1,039,959	32,337,036	6,899,39
		, ,		
Noncurrent liabilities:				
Developer agreement rebates and deposits	2,058,171	-	2,058,171	
Deferred water and sewer assessments	23,437,314	-	23,437,314	
Net OPEB obligation	933,934	-	933,934	
Compensated absences	754,774	-	754,774	899,232
Unpaid insurance claims Special revenue bonds neveble	-	6 224 245	6 224 245	6,493,434
Special revenue bonds payable Metropolitan district bonds payable	- 107,127,776	6,324,345	6,324,345 107,127,776	
Deferred refunding amount	107,127,778	(409,111)	(331,782)	
Deferred revenue	364,047	(102,111)	(351,782) 364,047	
Other long-term debt	39,352,831	-	39,352,831	
Total noncurrent liabilities	174,106,176	5,915,234	180,021,410	7,392,66
Total liabilities	205,403,253	6,955,193	212,358,446	14,292,059
NET ASSETS	200.045.200	0 120 APP	202 005 554	10 007 07
Invested in capital assets, net of related debt	388,945,299	3,152,477	392,097,776	19,886,869
Restricted: For debt service	74,879,524	763,423	75,642,947	
	74,879,524 44,698,841	(74,878)	75,642,947 44,623,963	21,008,16
			77. U4.7.7U.1	£1.000.10
Unrestricted Total net assets Adjustment to reflect consolidation of internal service fun	\$ 508,523,664	3,841,022	512,364,686 1,983,011	40,895,03

Howard County, Maryland Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Assets Proprietary Funds For the Year Ended June 30, 2010

Operating revenues: User charges Greens and cart fees	\$ Water and Sewer 39,899,112	Business-Type Activities Special Recreation Facility	Total	Activities - Internal Service
User charges Greens and cart fees	\$ Sewer	•	Total	
User charges Greens and cart fees	\$ 	Facility	Total	
User charges Greens and cart fees	\$ 39.899.112			Funds
Greens and cart fees	\$ 39.899.112		20.000.112	(0.0(0.51)
		-	39,899,112	69,262,713
	-	1,606,301	1,606,301	
Range fees	-	96,641	96,641	
Merchandise	-	111,844	111,844	
Food and beverage	-	313,789	313,789	
Insurance recoveries	-	-	-	755,355
Miscellaneous sales and services	538,748	20,867	559,615	1,258,626
Total operating revenues	40,437,860	2,149,442	42,587,302	71,276,694
Operating expenses:				
Salaries and employee benefits	10,365,385		10,365,385	10,115,946
Contractual services	6,714,237	1,460,408	8,174,645	8,605,227
Supplies and materials	1,483,409	1,400,408	1,483,409	2,136,252
		•	, ,	, ,
Business and travel	49,280	-	49,280	31,493
Vehicle fuels and supplies	1,756,365	-	1,756,365	5,004,073
Purchased water and transmission charges	12,546,037	-	12,546,037	
Sewage treatment charges	5,741,621	-	5,741,621	
Share of county administrative expenses	4,018,194	-	4,018,194	733,140
Insurance claims	193,115	-	193,115	37,541,780
Other administrative	500,240	-	500,240	573
Depreciation expense	13,634,123	49,090	13,683,213	5,834,602
Less: house connection and capitalized overhead costs	(49,732)	-	(49,732)	
Total operating expenses	56,952,274	1,509,498	58,461,772	70,003,092
Operating income (loss)	(16,514,414)	639,944	(15,874,470)	1,273,602
Nonoperating revenues (expenses):				
Ad valorem charges	32,395,714		32,395,714	
8	4,082,983	-	4,082,983	
Water and sewer assessment charges	, ,	-	, ,	50.01
Interest on investments	370,615	24,990	395,605	79,913
Interest expense	(7,947,058)	(228,481)	(8,175,539)	
Loss on sale of capital assets	-	-	-	369,163
Other, net	(165,696)	(53,021)	(218,717)	
Total nonoperating revenues (expenses)	28,736,558	(256,512)	28,480,046	449,076
Net income (loss) before contributions and transfers	12,222,144	383,432	12,605,576	1,722,678
Capital contributions	9,666,912	-	9,666,912	
Transfers in (out)	587,000	-	587,000	(5,697,321
Change in net assets	22,476,056	383,432	22,859,488	(3,974,643
Fotal net assets - beginning	486,047,608	3,457,590	, ,	43,639,785
Prior period adjustment to fixed assets		-,		1,229,893
Fotal net assets - beginning (as restated)	486,047,608			44,869,678
Total net assets - beginning (as restated)	\$ 508,523,664	3,841,022		40,895,035
Adjustment to reflect the consolidation of internal service	, ,	, ,	54,047	-10,025,055
Change in net assets of business -type activities	s related to enterp		22,913,535	

Howard County, Maryland Statement of Cash Flows Proprietary Funds For the Year Ended June 30, 2010

	w	ater & Sewer	Special Recreation Facility	Total	Governmental Activities - Internal Service Funds
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES					
Cash received from customers	\$	46,700,272	2,149,442	48,849,714	54,944,217
Cash paid to suppliers		(33,271,684)	(1,449,763)	(34,721,447)	(51,301,930)
Cash paid to / for employees		(9,373,679)	-	(9,373,679)	(8,289,869)
Cash paid for interfund services used		(4,895,844)	-	(4,895,844)	(3,356,904)
Other operating (disbursements) / cash receipts		20,852	-	20,852	15,755,221
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities		(820,083)	699,679	(120,404)	7,750,735
CASH FLOWS FROM NONCAPITAL FINANCING ACTIVITIES					
Operating subsidies and transfers in (out) to other funds		-	64,025	64,025	(46,558)
Net cash provided by (used in) noncapital financing activities	5	-	64,025	64,025	(46,558)
CASH FLOWS FROM CAPITAL AND RELATED FINANCING ACTIVITIES					
Proceeds from sale of county bonds		13,352,202	-	13,352,202	-
Proceeds from state loan		402,867	-	402,867	-
Proceeds from developer contributions		280,725	-	280,725	-
Cash receipts from assessments & connection charges		35,290,981	-	35,290,981	-
Acquisition and construction of capital assets		(46,812,842)	(18,450)	(46,831,292)	(3,609,180)
Capital lease payment		-	(17,204)	(17,204)	-
Payment of long term debt principal		(15,098,119)	(525,000)	(15,623,119)	-
Interest paid on long term debt		(7,985,186)	(228,040)	(8,213,226)	-
Net cash provided by (used in) capital and related					
financing activities		(20,569,372)	(788,694)	(21,358,066)	(3,609,180)
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES					
Interest on investments		370,615	24,990	395,605	79,913
Net cash provided by investing activities		370,615	24,990	395,605	79,913
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents		(21,018,840)	-	(21,018,840)	4,174,910
Balances - beginning of the year		92,804,524	763,423	93,567,947	28,392,369
Balances - end of the year		71,785,684	763,423	72,549,107	32,567,279
Reconciliation of operating (loss) income to net cash provided by operating activities					
Operating (loss) income		(16,514,414)	639,944	(15,874,470)	1,273,602
Adjustments to reconcile operating income to net cash		(10,011,111)	00,011	(10,07 1,170)	1,270,002
Depreciation expense		13,634,123	49,090	13,683,213	5,834,602
Change in assets and liabilities:			,		5,50 1,05
Decrease in accounts and other receivables		6,510,449	-	6,510,449	(259,830)
Decrease (increase) in inventories		116,388	46,651	163,039	(200,000)
Increase in operating accounts payables		(4,837,301)	(36,006)	(4,873,307)	902,361
Decrease in compensated absences and net opeb obligation		270,672	-	270,672	
Total adjustments		15,694,331	59,735	15,754,066	6,477,133
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities	\$	(820,083)	699,679	(120,404)	7,750,735

Noncash investing, capital and financing activities:

In Fiscal Year 2010, water and sewer lines with an estimated market value of \$6,932,847 were contributed to the water and sewer enterprise fund by developers.

All investments mature in one year or less so the change in fair value is not determined.

Howard County, Maryland Statement of Fiduciary Net Assets Pension and Other Post Employment Benefits Trust Funds and Agency Funds June 30, 2010

	Pension and OPEB Trust Funds	Agency Funds
ASSETS		
Equity in pooled cash and cash equivalents	\$ -	4,241,617
Receivables:		
Property tax	-	205,980
Interest and dividends	1,015,867	-
Employer contributions	1,694,778	-
Employee contributions	502,538	-
Sale of investments	22,625,159	-
Other	45,772	-
Investments, at fair value:		
Cash	7,848	-
Common stocks	125,740,674	-
Mutual funds	137,090,009	-
Money mark et funds	11,975,479	-
Fixed income securities	169,991,995	-
Real estate	21,546,949	-
Other	-	-
Prepaid insurance	84,511	-
Total assets	492,321,579	4,447,597
LIABILITIES		
Accounts payable		
Investments purchased	29,327,583	-
Other	1,242,372	1,120,548
Deposits	<u> </u>	3,327,049
Total liabilities	30,569,955	4,447,597
NET ASSETS		
Held in trust for pension and OPEB benefits	\$ 461,751,624	

Howard County, Maryland Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Assets Pension and Other Post Employment Benefits Trust Funds For the Year Ended June 30, 2010

ADDITIONS	
Contributions:	
Employer	\$ 32,748,525
Member	7,548,662
Total contributions	40,297,187
Investment income:	
Net change in fair value of investments	44,862,977
Interest	4,897,429
Dividends	5,072,248
Other	44,432
Investment expense	(1,838,637)
Net investment (loss) income	53,038,449
Total additions	93,335,636
DEDUCTIONS	
Benefits	26,707,601
Administrative expenses	497,301
Total deductions	27,204,902
Change in net assets	66,130,734
Net assets - beginning	395,620,890
Net assets - ending	\$ 461,751,624

Howard County, Maryland Combining Statement of Net Assets Component Units June 30, 2010

	Public	Community		Housing	
	School System	College	Library	Commission	Total
ASSETS	i				
Equity in pooled cash and cash equivalents	\$ 7,379,497	27,644,720	2,116,217	2,120,702	39,261,136
Investments	115,168,548	5,240,991	1,318,636	425,000	122,153,175
Receivables:					
Due from other governments	9,681,279	9,318,704	-	-	18,999,983
Other	2,688,798	1,513,919	22,051	1,840,515	6,065,283
Materials and supplies	2,615,232	710,862	-	-	3,326,094
Prepaids	334,756	751,778	143,783	13,222	1,243,539
Restricted assets:					
Equity in pooled cash and cash equivalents	119,147	-	229,166	8,117,749	8,466,062
Mortgage receivable	-	-		3,189,531	3,189,531
Capital assets:					
Land	12,548,467	2,956,559	164,453	30,831,758	46,501,237
Construction in progress	115,578,967	1,900,929	-	240,775	117,720,671
Buildings and improvements, net	753,348,042	137,697,403	-	37,763,718	928,809,163
Machinery and equipment, net	5,317,524	4,076,315	333,056	-	9,726,895
Other assets	-	344,850	2,694,313	-	3,039,163
Total assets	1,024,780,257	192,157,030	7,021,675	84,542,970	1,308,501,932
LIABILITIES					
Current liabilities:					
Accounts payable and other current liabilities	87,289,780	4,410,192	1,309,043	765,152	93,774,167
Accrued salaries and benefits	-	1,301,526	332,829	-	1,634,355
Deposits	-	378,660	-	-	378,660
Due to primary government	-	5,913,759	-	-	5,913,759
Unearned revenues	23,859,361	2,846,951	304,893	1,238,625	28,249,830
Long term liabilities:					
Due within one year	5,452,036	365,918	-	6,013,973	11,831,927
Due in more than one year, net	13,653,352	11,262,724	888,071	47,813,462	73,617,609
Total liabilities	130,254,529	26,479,730	2,834,836	55,831,212	215,400,307
NET ASSETS					
Invested in capital assets, net of related debt	875,114,194	136,583,636	3,191,822	20,333,383	1,035,223,035
Restricted for:					
Education	1,183,488	6,931,159	229,166	-	8,343,813
Business type operations	3,036,383	754,314	-	2,920,926	6,711,623
Unrestricted	15,191,663	21,408,191	765,851	5,457,449	42,823,154
Total net assets	\$ 894,525,728	165,677,300	4,186,839	28,711,758	1,093,101,625

			Program Revenues			Net (J Chi	Net (Expense) Revenue and Changes in Net Assets	: and ets	
Programs	- sesnervH	Charges for Sorvices	Operating Grants and Contributions	Capital Contributions	Public School System	Community College	I ibrory	Housing	Lot of
11 V51 40110	exercited by	501 100			mmefe	Currer	1400 tr 1		TOT
Component units:									
Public school system	\$ 794,461,502	11,684,875	129,393,151	58,845,268	(594, 538, 208)	•	•	•	(594, 538, 208)
Community college	88,368,512	33,825,402	1,029,793	8,077,641	•	(45,435,676)	•		(45,435,676)
Library	18,280,865	729,791	•	•	•	•	(17, 551, 074)	•	(17, 551, 074)
Housing commission	24,885,794	6,162,096	•	14,306,890	•	•	•	(4,416,808)	(4,416,808)
Total component units	\$ 925,996,673	52,402,164	130,422,944	81,229,799	(594, 538, 208)	(45,435,676)	(17, 551, 074)	(4,416,808)	(661,941,766)
	General revenues:								
	Property taxes				\$ 457,560,424	25,195,470	15,229,779	•	497,985,673
	Intergovernme	Intergovernmental, unrestricted			164,662,789	26,051,745	2,556,370	•	193,270,904
	Investment income	ome			123,559	596,915	4,044	157,797	882,315
	Miscellaneous				1,401,113	•	•	3,735,564	5,136,677
	Total general revenues	l revenues			623,747,885	51,844,130	17,790,193	3,893,361	697,275,569
	Change in	Change in net assets			29,209,677	6,408,454	239,119	(523,447)	35,333,803
	Net assets - beginning of year	ing of year			865,316,051	159,268,846	3,947,720	29,235,205	1,057,767,822
	Nat accate - and of yoar	- Contraction			\$ 804 575 778	165 677 300	4 186 830	28 711 758	1 003 101 625

[THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

Notes to Basic Financial Statements Index

NOTE	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
1.	Summary of Significant Accounting Policies	
	A. The Reporting Entity	
	Discretely Presented Component Units	A-32
	B. Government-Wide and Fund Financial Statements	
	C. Measurement Focus, Basis of Accounting and Financial Statement Presentation	
	D. Budgetary Process	
	E. Deposits and Investments	
	Cash Equivalents	
	Investments	
	F. Loans Receivable	
	G. Inventory	
	H. Capital Assets	
	I. Compensated Absences	
	J. Self-Insurance	
	K. Water and Sewer Assessments	
	L. Reconciliation Between GAAP and Budgetary Basis	
	M. Budget Stabilization Account.	
	N. Net Assets Restricted by Enabling Legislation	A_39
	O. Implementation of New Accounting Principles	
2.	Equity in Pooled Cash and Cash Equivalents and Investments	
2. 3.	Receivables	
3. 4.	Interfund Receivables, Payables and Transfers	
4. 5.	Due from Other Governments	
5. 6.	Property Tax and Transfer Tax	
0. 7.	Capital Assets	
7. 8.		
0.	Long-Term Debt A. Primary Government	
	B. Component Units	
9.	Short-Term Debt	
9. 10.	Conduit Debt	
10. 11.	Lease Obligations	
11.		
	Operating Leases Capital Leases	
12.	Restricted Assets	
12.	Landfill Closure and Post Closure Care Cost	
13. 14.	Retirement Plans	
14. 15.	Other Post-Employment Benefits	
	1 ·	
16.	Deferred Compensation Plan	
17. 18.	Risk Management	
	Prior Period Adjustment and Individual Fund Disclosures	
19.	Commitments and Contingencies	
	Grants	
	Construction	
	Yards Debris Composting Intergovernmental Agreement	
	Litigation	A-01

Notes to Basic Financial Statements

1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

A. The Reporting Entity

Howard County, Maryland (the County), was formed in 1851 under a commission form of government. Under home rule charter since 1968, the County is governed by an elected County Executive and a five member County Council serving separate executive and legislative functions.

The basic financial statements include Howard County, Maryland as the primary government, and its significant component units, entities for which the County is considered to be financially accountable. The component units include the activities of the Howard County Public School System, the Library, the Community College, and the Housing Commission. The Volunteer Fire Districts have not met the established criteria for inclusion in the reporting entity and, accordingly, are excluded from this report. The component units are included in the County's reporting entity because of the significance of their operational or financial relationship with the County in that the County approves budgetary requests and provides a significant amount of funding.

Discretely Presented Component Units

The financial data of the County's component units are discretely presented in a column separate from the financial data of the primary government. They are reported in a separate column to emphasize that they are legally separate from the County. The following are the County's component units that are included in the reporting:

The Howard County Public School System is responsible for the operation of special education, elementary, middle and high schools. The Board is comprised of five members elected by County voters. The County is responsible for levying taxes and has budgetary control over the Board.

The Howard County Library operates various library branches throughout the County. The Library is governed by a seven-member board nominated by the County Executive and approved by the County Council. The County approves the Library's annual budget and provides substantial funding to the Library.

The Howard Community College provides educational services to County citizens by offering two-year associate degrees and a continuing education program. The Community College is governed by a seven-member board appointed by the governor of Maryland. The County approves the College's annual budget and provides substantial funding to the College.

The Howard County Housing Commission is a public corporation established by Maryland and Howard County law to act as builder, developer, owner and manager of housing for eligible participants. The Commission is comprised of seven commissioners appointed by the County Executive and confirmed by the County Council. The County provides substantial funding to the Commission and approves its annual budget.

Financial information regarding the component units is included in the component units combining statements. Annual financial reports for individual component units can be obtained from their respective administrative offices:

Howard County Public School System 10910 Route 108 Ellicott City, Maryland 21042 Howard County Library 10375 Little Patuxent Parkway Columbia, Maryland 21044 Howard Community College 10901 Little Patuxent Parkway Columbia, Maryland 21044 Howard County Housing Commission 6751 Columbia Gateway Drive, 3rd Floor Columbia, Maryland 21046

B. Government-Wide and Fund Financial Statements

The Statement of Net Assets and Statement of Activities present financial information on all the nonfiduciary activities of the primary government and its component units. Generally, the effect of interfund activity has been removed from these statements with the exception of interfund services provided and used. Governmental activities, which primarily are supported by taxes and intergovernmental revenues, are reported separately from business-type activities, which rely to a significant extent on fees and charges for support. Likewise, the primary government is reported separately from certain legally separate component units for which the primary government is financially accountable.

The Statement of Activities displays the extent to which direct expenses are offset by program revenues for each function of governmental activities and for each segment of business-type activities. Direct expenses are those that can be attributed to a specific function or segment. Program revenues include 1) charges to customers or applicants who purchase, use or directly benefit from goods, services, or privileges provided by a given function or segment, and 2) grants and contributions that are restricted to meeting the operational or capital requirements of a particular function or segment. Taxes and other items not properly included among program revenues are reported instead as general revenues.

Separate financial statements are provided for governmental funds, proprietary funds, and fiduciary funds even though the latter are excluded from the government-wide financial statements. Major individual governmental funds and major individual enterprise funds are reported as separate columns in the fund financial statements.

C. Measurement Focus, Basis of Accounting and Financial Statement Presentation

The government-wide financial statements are reported using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting, as are the proprietary fund and pension trust fund financial statements. Agency funds do not have a measurement focus and are reported using the accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized when earned and expenses are recorded when liabilities are incurred, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Property taxes are recognized as revenues in the year for which they are levied. Grants and similar items are recognized as revenue as soon as all eligibility requirements have been met.

Governmental fund financial statements are reported using the current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized when they become both measurable and available. Revenues are considered to be available when they are collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to pay liabilities of the current period. The County considers all revenues, with the exception of income tax revenue, to be available if they are collected within sixty days after the end of the current fiscal period. Income tax revenue is considered to be available if it is collected within thirty days after the end of the current fiscal period. Expenditures generally are recorded when a liability is incurred, as under accrual accounting. However, principal and interest on long-term debt, as well as expenditures related to vacation, sick leave, claims and judgments, are recorded only when payment is due.

Property taxes, other local taxes, state shared taxes, fines and forfeitures, and interest associated with the current fiscal period are all considered to be susceptible to accrual and so have been recognized as revenues of the current fiscal period. Only the portion of special assessments receivable due within the current fiscal period is considered to be susceptible to accrual as revenue of the current period. All other revenue items are considered to be measurable and available only when cash is received by the County.

The County reports the following major governmental funds:

The *General Fund* is the County's primary operating fund. It accounts for all financial resources of the general government, except those required to be accounted for in another fund.

The *Agricultural Land Preservation Fund* accounts for 25% of the local transfer tax and also the County development tax which are dedicated to preserving the agricultural use of land through the purchase of development rights of property owners.

The *General Capital Projects Fund* is used to account for the construction of general capital projects such as senior centers, community centers, and administrative buildings, and also public schools and buildings for the Community College.

The *Highway Capital Projects Fund* accounts for road resurfacing and construction, bridge improvements, sidewalks and curbs, and intersection improvements.

The County reports the following major proprietary funds:

The *Water and Sewer Fund* accounts for the County's water and sewer operations, construction or acquisition of capital assets and related debt service costs. All assets, except those available to fund current liabilities, are considered restricted because a change in the charter is required to allow these assets to be used for other purposes.

The *Special Recreation Facility Fund* accounts for the operations and related debt service costs of a public golf course opened in September 1996. All assets, except those available to fund current liabilities, are considered restricted because a change in the charter is required to allow these assets to be used for other purposes.

Additionally, the County reports the following fund types:

Special Revenue Funds are used to account for the proceeds of specific revenue sources, which by law are designated to finance particular functions or activities of the County. The following revenue sources are included in special revenue funds: local transfer tax, fire and rescue tax, forest conservation developer fees, residential trash collection and disposal fee, grants, registration fees for recreational programs, and fees for health services.

Capital Projects Funds are used to account for all resources for the construction or acquisition of capital assets, except those accounted for in the General Capital Projects fund and proprietary fund types.

Internal Service Funds are funds used to account for goods and services furnished by one County department to another County department on a cost reimbursement basis. Internal Service Funds account for centralized vehicle fleet and mailing services; information systems and communication (telephone) operations; risk management activities for workers' compensation, general liability, environmental, vehicle and property insurance; County employee health benefits costs; and the maintenance and replacement of the County's radio communications systems.

Agency Funds are used to account for resources held in a custodial capacity on behalf of parties outside the government, including money paid by residents of street lights districts for energy costs, State property tax, Bay Restoration Fee, and surety bonds held on construction work.

Pension Trust Funds are used to account for the activities of the County's single-employer public employee retirement plans. These include the Police and Fire Employees' Retirement Plan and the General Employees' Plan. The plans account for employee contributions, County contributions and the earnings and profits from investments. They also account for the disbursements made for employee retirements, withdrawals, disability and death benefits as well as administrative expenses.

Annual Financial Reports for both pension trust funds can be obtained from their administrative office at Howard County, Maryland, Director of Finance, 3430 Court House Drive, Ellicott City, Maryland 21043 or by contacting the County via e-mail at <u>staghavi@howardcountymd.gov</u>.

Other Post Employment Benefits (OPEB) Trust Fund was established to account for the other post employment benefits of the County and its component units. The trust fund will act as a funding mechanism for the employers' cost of retiree benefits.

Private-sector standards of accounting and financial reporting issued prior to December 1, 1989, generally are followed in both the government-wide and proprietary fund financial statements to the extent that those standards do not conflict with or contradict guidance of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board. Governments also have the option of following subsequent private-sector guidance for their business-type activities and enterprise funds, subject to this same limitation. The County has elected not to follow subsequent private-sector guidance.

Generally, the effect of interfund activity has been eliminated from the government-wide financial statements. An exception to this general rule is charges between water and sewer operations and other County departments because the elimination of those charges would distort the direct costs and program revenues reported for the various functions concerned.

Amounts reported as program revenues include 1) charges to customers or applicants for goods, services or privileges provided, 2) operating grants and contributions, and 3) capital grants and contributions, including special assessments. Internally dedicated resources are reported as general revenues rather than as program revenues. Likewise, general revenues include all taxes.

Proprietary funds distinguish operating revenues and expenses from non-operating items. Operating revenues and expenses generally result from providing services and producing and delivering goods in connection with a proprietary fund's principal ongoing operations. The principal operating revenues of the Water and Sewer Fund and of the Special Recreation Facility Fund are charges to customers for sales and services. Operating expenses for Enterprise Funds and Internal Service Funds include the cost of services, administrative expenses and depreciation on capital assets. All revenues and expenses not meeting this definition are reported as non-operating revenues and expenses.

When both restricted and unrestricted resources are available for use, it is the County's policy to use restricted resources first and then unrestricted resources as they are needed.

D. Budgetary Process

Pursuant to County Charter, the County Executive's capital and operating portions of the budget for all County funds are submitted to the County Council by April 1 and 21, respectively. The County Council holds public hearings before passing the annual budget appropriation ordinance. If the County Council does not pass the budget ordinance, the Executive's proposed budget ordinance stands adopted. The adopted budget becomes effective July 1, and provides the spending authority at the individual department level for the operations of the County government with the unexpended or unencumbered appropriation authority of the operating budget expiring the following June 30. Capital unencumbered appropriations continue until the capital project is closed.

During the fiscal year, the County Council, upon the request of the County Executive, may approve transfers between projects in the capital budget but it may not increase the total size of the capital budget. The County Council, at any time during the fiscal year, may approve supplemental operating budget requests from the County Executive. The budgeted contingency reserve, which may not exceed 4 percent of the appropriated budget, is the funding source for supplemental requests. After April 1 of each year, the Council may also at the request of the Executive approve transfers between departments in the operating budgets. The Council may approve supplemental budgets from unappropriated funds only in emergencies affecting "life, health and property." Additionally, the County Executive has the authority to make transfers within a department at any time during the year without approval of the Council. During fiscal year 2010, the Council approved two capital budget transfers, fifteen operating budget supplements and one bill amending the annual budget and appropriation ordinance.

Budgetary data, as revised, is presented in the Basic Financial Statements for the General and Agricultural Land Preservation Funds. Outstanding encumbrances are included in the final budget and actual expenditure amounts in those statements because they remain in force and do not lapse until the end of the subsequent

fiscal year.

Lapsed appropriations are reported as miscellaneous revenue on a budgetary basis. Open encumbrances are treated as reservations of fund balances on a modified accrual (fund) reporting basis.

E. Deposits and Investments

Cash Equivalents

For purposes of the statement of cash flows, the County considers all demand deposits and investments with a maturity of three months or less when purchased to be cash and cash equivalents.

Investments

The County follows Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement 31, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Certain Investments and for External Investment Pools, which requires marketable securities to be carried at fair value. The County currently limits its purchases to maturities of one year or less (except those items described in the next paragraph). The County has an internal investment pool that is available for use by all funds.

The investments of the Pension Trust Funds are reported at fair value. The securities of the Pension Trust Funds traded on a national or international exchange are valued at the last reported sales price at current exchange rates. Investments in the Non-major Governmental Funds represent stripped-coupon U.S. Treasury securities stated at fair value in the Agricultural Land Preservation Fund. They are also reported in aggregate as part of U.S. Government Securities in the Equity in Pooled Cash and Cash Equivalents and Investments note.

Also, in accordance with its investment policy, the Pension Trust Funds may invest in collateralized mortgage obligations (CMO) and putable bonds. These investments are reported as part of U.S. Government Agency notes in the Equity in Pooled Cash and Cash Equivalents note.

F. Loans Receivable

For the purposes of the fund financial statements, Special Revenue Fund expenditures relating to housing loans in the Community Renewal Fund are charged to operations upon funding, and the loans are recorded with an offset to a restricted fund balance account. The restricted fund balance account is analyzed to identify new loans added during the year. For purposes of the Government-wide Financial Statements, housing loans are not offset by restricted fund balance accounts.

G. Inventory

Materials and supplies are valued at cost, using the first-in/first-out (FIFO) method. Materials and supplies are recorded as an asset when purchased, and charged to expenditures/expenses when consumed. This is referred to as the consumption method of inventory accounting.

H. Capital Assets

Capital assets include property, plant, equipment, and infrastructure assets (e.g., roads, bridges, sidewalks, and similar items). They are recorded at historical or at estimated historical cost if actual historical cost is not available. Contributed capital assets are valued at their estimated fair market value at the date contributed. Capital assets are defined by Howard County as assets with an initial, individual cost of \$5,000 or greater and an estimated useful life in excess of one year.

Capital assets are depreciated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives (in years) which were revised in fiscal year 2009 as follows:

Buildings	50	Computer software	5
Infrastructure	15-40	Vehicles	6

Water and sewer lines50Furniture and Equipment5-20The costs of normal maintenance and repairs that do not add to the value of the asset or materially extend
asset lives are not capitalized; they are charged to operations when incurred. Betterments and major
improvements that significantly increase values, change capacities or extend useful lives are capitalized.

I. Compensated Absences

County employees are granted vacation, personal and sick leave in varying amounts. In the event of termination, an employee is reimbursed for accumulated vacation days. Classified employees are limited to an accrual of forty days and executive exempt employees have no leave accrual limit. Employees who terminate employment are not reimbursed for accumulated sick leave. Payments made to terminated employees for accumulated vacation leave are charged as expenditures/expenses, primarily in the General Fund, Special Revenue Funds and Proprietary Funds, when paid. Accumulated vacation benefits at year-end are recorded as obligations in the Statement of Net Assets and Proprietary Fund Statements.

J. Self-Insurance

The County establishes its funding of claims liabilities as they occur. This funding level includes provisions for indemnity, medical losses and allocated loss adjustment expenses which are all classified as incremental claim adjustment expenses. Unpaid claims in the self-insurance funds include liabilities for unpaid claims based upon individual case estimates for claims reported at June 30, 2010. The unpaid claims also include liabilities for incurred but not reported (IBNR) claims as of June 30, 2010.

K. Water and Sewer Assessments

Water and sewer assessments are charged to property owners on a 30-year basis to recover the debt service on bonds used to construct main and lateral water and sewer lines which benefit such properties. A water and sewer assessments receivable is established for the entire uncollected assessed amount. The portion of the receivable relating to bond principal is credited to net assets and the portion representing interest is initially recorded as a deferred liability and then recognized as revenue when billed.

From 1980 to 2004 the deferred liability grew as the water and sewer system was being built. The liability is now declining and will continue to do so as debt is retired and there are minimal new assessments. The Water and Sewer Ad valorem charge (billed annually to all property within the Metropolitan District) is sufficient to fund the debt service related to the cost of infrastructure replacement and repair.

L. Reconciliation Between GAAP and Budgetary Basis

The General and Special Revenue Funds of the primary government have legally adopted annual budgets. The "Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances - Budgetary Basis" - General Fund and Agricultural Land Preservation Fund are prepared on a basis consistent with their budgets. The "Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance – Budgetary Basis" for Non-major Special Revenue Funds are prepared on a basis consistent with those budgets. The budgets are prepared using encumbrance accounting wherein encumbrances are treated as an expenditure of the current period. Also, the budgets include appropriations of prior year fund balances as other sources in the current year and account for payroll expenditures on a cash basis. The "Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances - Governmental Funds" is prepared on a basis consistent with GAAP where encumbrances are treated only as a reservation of fund balance, prior year fund balances are not included as other sources and payroll expenditures, including compensated absences, are recorded on a modified accrual basis. The overall general fund final budget did not change from the original. However, several programs within the general fund were modified during the year by resolution. Appropriations were transferred from the General Fund contingency to cover unanticipated expenditures for snow removal. Several appropriations were transferred from the Grant Contingency Fund to various departments for new grants that were awarded by other governments.

The financial statements are reconciled as follows:

	General Fund	Agricultural Land Pres. Fund
Budgetary basis - revenues and other sources		
over expenditures and other uses	\$ 7,078,909	4,781,634
Adjustments:		
Appropriation of prior year fund balances	(14,197,182)	-
Current year encumbrances outstanding	2,389,778	1,382
Prior year encumbrances expended this year	(5,236,330)	
Prior year encumbrances lapsed during the		
current fiscal year	(117,931)	-
Effect of recording payroll expenditure -		
modified accrual basis	(395,892)	(677)
Other	451,777	-
GAAP basis - net change in fund balances	\$ (10,026,871)	4,782,339

The ending fund balances are reconciled as follows:

	G	eneral Fund	Agricultural Land Pres. Fund
Budgetary basis, June 30, 2010	\$	74,811,606	72,653,206
Adjustments:			
Current year encumbrances outstanding		2,389,778	1,382
FY 2008 and FY 2009 encumbrances outstanding		4,376,662	-
Payroll expenditures recorded on a modified			
accrual basis		(9,321,367)	(8,816)
Other		(484,330)	-
GAAP basis, June 30, 2010	\$	71,772,349	\$ 72,645,772

M. Budget Stabilization Account

The County has established a budget stabilization account (also known as the "rainy day fund") to provide funding in emergency situations or in cases of revenue shortfalls. The County Charter sets a goal of maintaining the account at 7 percent of audited General Fund expenditures for the most recently completed fiscal year at the time the budget is prepared. When the fiscal year 2010 budget was prepared, the fiscal year 2008 financial statements were the most recently completed and audited. Therefore, the charter target is based upon fiscal year 2008 audited expenditures. A contribution of \$5,977,377 was made to the fund in fiscal year 2010, which resulted in achieving the charter target of \$54,681,366. A designation of fund balance has been made in the General Fund in the amount of \$1,577,546 to meet the charter target for fiscal years 2011 and 2012.

The budget stabilization account is calculated as follows:

Budget Fiscal Year	Audited Expenditures from Fiscal Year	Audite	ed Expenditures	Percentage	Ch	arter Target
2010	2008	\$	781,162,373	7%	\$	54,681,366
2011	2009	\$	811,480,156	7%	\$	56,803,611
2012	2010	\$	803,698,741	7%	\$	56,258,912

N. Net Assets Restricted by Enabling Legislation

Net assets restricted by enabling legislation represent accumulated net assets attributed to revenue sources, such as taxes and fees, which are restricted for specified purposes by State enabling legislation in the County Code. These amounts, which are included with restricted net assets in the government-wide Statement of Net Assets, are as follows at year-end:

Governmental activities	\$ 164,798,674
Business-type activities	120,266,910
Total	\$ 285,065,584

O. Implementation of New Accounting Principles

The County adopted the provisions of two Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statements:

Statement No. 49, "Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pollution Remediation Obligations": This statement identifies the circumstances under which a governmental entity would be required to report a liability related to pollution remediation.

Statement No. 52, "Land and Other Real Estate Held as Investments by Endowments": This statement requires endowments to report land and real estate held as an investment at fair value.

Adoption of these standards has no material impact on the County's financial statements.

2. Equity in Pooled Cash and Cash Equivalents and Investments

The County's cash and investments are managed separately from the Pension and Other Post Employment Benefits Trust funds and each will be discussed separately below.

The County's Cash and Investments

The County maintains a cash and investment pool that is available for use by all funds except the Pension and Other Post Employment Benefits trust funds. Each County fund is allocated interest income based on their share of the investment pool. Except as otherwise legislated, interest income earned by Governmental and Internal Service Funds is transferred to the General Fund.

<u>Custodial Credit Risk - Deposits:</u> In the case of deposits, this is the risk that in the event of a bank failure, the government's deposits may not be returned to it. The County's investment policy requires at least 102 % collateralization of deposits. None of the component units have a policy covering deposits. The carrying amount of total deposits, including certificates of deposit, for the County was \$2,084,740 and the bank balance was \$7,509,444 at June 30, 2010. The bank balance was covered by federal depository insurance or by collateral held by the County's agent in the County's name. The component units had a combined bank balance of \$24,939,850. Of that amount, \$1,472,045 was covered by federal depository insurance or by collateral held in the component unit's name; \$23,421,104 was covered by collateral held in the pledging bank's trust department or by the pledging bank's agent in the component unit's name and \$46,701 was uninsured and uncollateralized.

<u>Investments:</u> The County has adopted an investment policy that is designed to provide maximum safety and liquidity of funds while providing a reasonable rate of return. Permissible investments include U.S. Treasury Obligations, U.S. Government Agency and U.S. Government-Sponsored enterprises, repurchase agreements, collateralized certificates of deposit, bankers' acceptances, commercial paper, the Maryland Local Government Investment Pool and mutual funds dealing in government securities. The County's policy and State law require that the underlying collateral for repurchase agreements and certificates of deposit must have a market value at least 102% of the cost plus accrued interest of the investment.

The County's policy is more restrictive than State law, limiting the percentage of the total portfolio that can be invested in certain investment types. These investment types, and the maximum percentage of the portfolio that can be invested in each are: U.S. Government Agency and U.S. Government-Sponsored enterprises, 90%, repurchase agreements, 90%, collateralized certificates of deposit, 5%, bankers' acceptances, 30% and mutual funds, 60%. State law places no limits on these types of investments. Another restriction that is only in the

County's policy limits the maximum amount invested through any broker, dealer or other financial institution to 40% of the portfolio. An additional restriction limits investments in commercial paper to 5%, which is more restrictive than State law.

Of the component units, the Howard County Public School System, the Community College and the Library have investment policies, while the Housing Commission does not.

The table below reconciles the County's deposits (\$3,481,649) and investments (\$787,750,452) to the Government-wide Statement of Net Assets and the Statement of Net Assets – Pension and Other Post Employment Benefits Trust Funds and Agency Funds:

Equity in pooled cash and cash equivalents	\$ 120,304,399
Restricted equity in pooled cash and cash equivalents	163,487,908
Investments	507,439,794
Total	\$ 791,232,101

Investments of the County and its component units as of June 30, 2010:

Investments	 Government	 Units	 Entity
Repurchase agreements	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
U.S. Government securities	41,086,840	-	41,086,840
U.S. Government sponsored enterprises	189,878,142	-	189,878,142
Maryland Local Govt. Investment Pool	84,657,626	141,428,538	226,086,164
Money market funds	5,774,890	1,324,481	7,099,371
Mutual funds	-	5,240,991	5,240,991
Equity in properties under home ownership	-	4,983,380	4,983,380
Total	\$ 321,397,498	\$ 152,977,390	\$ 474,374,888

<u>Interest Rate Risk:</u> The County's investment policy requires that the majority of investments will have a maturity of one year or less, except for U.S. Treasury stripped coupon securities purchased as part of the Agricultural Land Preservation Program (see Note 8). These securities have no coupon and have long-term maturity lengths; therefore, they are very interest rate sensitive. If market interest rates were to rise, the market value of these securities would decline further than a similar coupon-paying Treasury security. Conversely, if market interest rates were to fall, the market value of these securities would rise further than a similar coupon-paying Treasury security. The County plans to hold these securities to their maturity.

At June 30, 2010, the County had \$85,000,000 of callable investments. All of the call dates were within 9 months of final maturity. In calculating weighted average maturity, we made the assumption that all of these investments would be called.

The following is a list of County investments and their weighted average maturities.

	Fair	Weighted Average
Investment Type	Value	Maturity (in years)
U.S. Government securities	41,086,840	10.87
U.S. Government-		
Sponsored Enterprises	189,878,142	0.45
Total	\$ 230,964,982	
Portfolio weighted average maturity		2.30

The Maryland Local Government Investment Pool and the money market funds used by the County are operated in accordance with Rule 2a7 of the Investment Company Act of 1940. The County's investments in these pools are not included in the computation of weighted average maturity.

<u>Credit Risk:</u> State law limits investments in bankers' acceptances and commercial paper to the highest short-term debt letter and numerical rating by at least one nationally recognized statistical rating organization. All investments in U.S. Government Sponsored Enterprises are rated AAA by Standard & Poor's. The Maryland Local Government Investment Pool and the money market fund are both rated AAAm by Standard & Poor's.

<u>Concentration of Credit Risk:</u> The County places no limit on the amount the County may invest in any one issuer. More than 5% of the County's investments are in the Federal Home Loan Bank, the Federal Agricultural Mortgage Corporation, Federal Farm Credit Bank, Federal National Mortgage Association and the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation. At June 30, 2010, investments in these U.S. Government Sponsored Enterprises represent 47.40%, 7.90%, 7.90%, 23.65% and 13.15% respectively, of the County's total investments.

Pension Trust Funds' Cash and Investments

The County's Pension Trust funds, the Howard County Police & Fire Employees Retirement Plan and the Howard County Retirement Plan (the Plans), share commingled funds that are allocated based on each Plan's percentage of ownership. The Plans have an investment policy that is designed to provide benefits as anticipated through a carefully planned and executed investment program that achieves a reasonable long term total return consistent with the level of risk assumed. To help achieve this return, professional investment managers are employed by the Plans to manage the Plans' assets. The Plans employ State Street Bank as trustee for their assets.

<u>Investments:</u> The Plans' investment policy includes an asset allocation plan for investments. The target allocation is 60% equities and 40% fixed income. The minimum and maximum percentages for equities are 50% and 70%, respectively, and for fixed income are 30% and 50%, respectively.

Investments	 Fair value
Common stocks	\$ 125,740,674
Mutual funds	117,161,512
Money market funds	11,271,060
Fixed income	169,991,995
Real estate	21,546,949
Total	\$ 445,712,190

Investments of the Plans as of June 30, 2010:

<u>Interest rate risk:</u> The Plans' investment policy does not place any limits on the investment managers with respect to the duration of their investments. The list below shows Plans' investments and their related weighted-average maturities:

Investment Type	Fair Value	Weighted Average Maturity (in years)
Corporate bonds	40,803,171	9.27
Government sponsored enterprises	28,177,997	27.97
Municipal securities	3,018,485	22.24
U.S. Treasury	17,483,971	0.98
Total	\$ 89,483,624	
Portfolio weighted average maturity		16.6

<u>Credit Risk:</u> The money market fund used by State Street Bank is unrated, as are the mutual funds used by the Plans. As of June 30, 2010, the Plans' fixed income investments had the following risk characteristics:

Standard & Poor's Rating or Comparable	Fair Value
AAA to A	\$ 54,736,326
BBB to B	29,489,003
CCC to C	197,000
Total	\$ 84,422,329

<u>Custodial Credit Risk:</u> State Street invests in a money market fund on behalf of the Plans. At June 30, 2010, the amount in the money market fund was \$11,271,060 of which \$7,770,222 was committed to the prospective settlement of various securities. This fund is uninsured and uncollateralized. All other investments of the fund are held by State Street Bank as trustee in the Plans' names.

Credit Risk - Currency Forward Contract

One of the Plans' investment objectives is to diversify assets in accordance with the Modern Portfolio Theory (MPT) in order to reduce overall risk. Consistent with this objective, the Plans have participated in a mutual fund that holds 3-month currency forward contracts. This strategy is undertaken to protect the dollar value of underlying international investments. The hedging is restricted to 100% of the underlying asset value and 50% of the total Fund value. As of June 2010, the Plans had no exposure to currency hedging.

<u>Foreign Currency Risk:</u> The Plans' exposure to foreign currency risk derives from its investments in foreign currency or instruments denominated in foreign currency. Investments in such securities are limited to a maximum net currency exposure of 20% at any given time. As of June 30, 2009, the Plans' exposure to foreign currency risk was equal to an immaterial amount.

Other Post Employment Benefits Trust Funds' Cash and Investments

The County's OPEB Trust funds have an investment policy that is designed to provide benefits as anticipated through a carefully planned and executed investment program that achieves a reasonable long term total return consistent with the level of risk assumed. To help achieve this return, professional investment managers are employed by the Plans to manage the Plans' assets. The Trust employs US Bank as custodian for their assets.

<u>Investments:</u> The Plans' investment policy includes an asset allocation plan for investments. The target allocation is 65% equity mutual funds and 35% fixed income mutual funds. The minimum and maximum percentages for equities are 40% and 80%, respectively, and for fixed income are 20% and 60%, respectively.

Investments of the Plans as of June 30, 2010:

Investments	Fair value
Mutual funds	19,928,497
Money market funds	526
Total	\$ 19,929,023

Credit Risk: The money market fund used by US Bank is unrated, as are the mutual funds used by the Plans.

3. Receivables

Receivables at year-end of the County's major individual Governmental Funds, Enterprise Funds and Non-major Governmental Funds and other funds (including Internal Service Funds and Fiduciary Funds) in the aggregate,

including the applicable allowances for uncollectible accounts are as follows:

	General	Agricultural Land Pres.	General County	Highway Capital	Enterprise	Nonmajor and Other	
Receivables:	Fund	Fund	Capital Proj.	Projects	Funds	Funds	Total
Property taxes	\$ 5,681,490	-	-	-	146,132	739,804	6,567,426
Service billings	-	-	-	-	10,689,343	1,122,498	11,811,841
Water and sewer assessments	-	-	-	-	82,174,483	-	82,174,483
Due from other governments	44,588,393	731,989	146,792	435,254	-	11,001,885	56,904,313
Other	958,609	-	745,739	21,987	296,718	39,884,482	41,907,535
Gross receivables	51,228,492	731,989	892,531	457,241	93,306,676	52,748,669	199,365,598
Less: Allowance for uncollectables	(438,865)	-	-	-	-	-	(438,865)
Total Receivable	\$ 50,789,627	731,989	892,531	457,241	93,306,676	52,748,669	198,926,733

Governmental funds report deferred revenues in connection with receivables for revenues not considered available to liquidate liabilities of the current period. Governmental and enterprise funds also defer revenue recognition in connection with resources that have been received, but not yet earned. Most of the receivables in the Enterprise Funds are liens on real property that will be sold via the annual tax sale process if not paid. Therefore, no allowance is established. At June 30, 2010, the various components of deferred revenue and unearned revenue reported were as follows:

	t	U navailable	Unearned
Governmental funds:			
General Fund:			
Private roads	\$	-	4,210
Income tax		23,256,589	-
Property tax		4,017,383	-
Escrow		-	578,504
General Capital Projects Fund			
Due from governmental agencies		-	-
Highway Capital Projects Fund		-	1,729
Non-major funds:			
Due from governmental agencies		-	6,551,651
Recreation program fees		-	3,096,631
Total governmental funds	\$	27,273,972	10,232,725

4. Interfund Receivables, Payables and Transfers

The composition of interfund balances as of June 30, 2010 is as follows:

Due to/from other funds:

Receivable Fund	Payable Fund		Amount
General Fund	Nonmajor Special Revenue Funds - Grants Fund	\$	4,284,388
	Enterprise Funds - Special Recreation Facility		90,356
Highway Capital Projects	General Capital Projects Fund		28,548,747
	Nonmajor Capital Projects Funds - Public Libraries,		
	Storm Drainage Funds		1,518,232
		\$	34,441,723

The balances due to the General and Highway Capital Projects Funds are the result of loans made to cover operating cash deficits.

Transfers:

Interfund transfers for the year ended June 30, 2010 consisted of the following:

		С	apital Projects		Non-major Special	Internal	
Fund	General	General	Highway	Non-major	Revenue	Service	Total In
General	\$ -	8,896,957	2,978,417	4,269,799	1,179,599	46,558	17,371,330
Capital Projects:							
General	2,641,648	-	-	-	-	-	2,641,648
Highway	4,443,625	-	-	-	-	-	4,443,625
Non-major	-	-	-	-	2,245,000	-	2,245,000
Non-major Special							
Revenue	9,004,011	-	-	-	-	-	9,004,011
Enterprise	587,000	-	-	-	-	-	587,000
Total Out	\$ 16.676.284	8,896,957	2,978,417	4.269,799	3,424,599	46,558	36,292,614

The transfers out from the General Fund are operating funding to a State Agency and the disbursement of pay-asyou-go monies to various capital projects. Transfer tax revenue is dedicated to various functions in the capital projects and non-major special revenue funds. Part of that revenue is then transferred to the General Fund to cover each function's share of debt service costs for the year.

5. Due From Other Governments

The amounts due from other governments are primarily tax and grant revenues due from the Federal and State governments.

6. Property Tax and Transfer Tax

The County's real property tax is levied each July 1 on the assessed values certified as of that date for all taxable real property located in the County. Assessed values are established by the State Department of Assessments and Taxation at 100 percent of estimated market value. The State uses January 1 as the date of finality and processes additions, deletions and corrections throughout the year. A revaluation of all property is required to be completed every three years. County taxes are due and payable, and become a lien on the property, on July 1 of each fiscal year. A discount of ½ percent is allowed if payment is made in July. Property taxes are billed and payable semi-annually on properties designated as "principal residence" unless a taxpayer makes an election to pay annually. The first installment is due by September 30 while the second installment is due by December 31. If delinquent, taxes are charged penalty and interest (1.5 percent) each month that taxes remain unpaid. Tax lien certificates are sold at a public auction in May or June for properties with delinquent taxes. Property taxes levied during the current year are recorded as receivables and revenue, net of estimated uncollectible amounts of personal property tax. The net receivables uncollected 60 days after year-end are recorded as deferred revenues.

The Howard County Code imposes a transfer tax upon every instrument of writing conveying title to real or leasehold property offered for sale or lease and recorded in Howard County. The Code specifies that the proceeds shall be distributed to the School Construction Fund (25 percent), the Recreation and Parks Fund (25 percent), the Agricultural Land Preservation Fund (25 percent), the Community Renewal Fund (12-1/2 percent) and the Fire Service Building and Equipment Fund (12-1/2 percent).

7. Capital Assets

Capital asset activity for governmental activities for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010 was as follows:

	Beginning			Ending
	Balance	Increases	Decreases	Balance
Governmental activities:	 			
Capital assets not being depreciated:				
Land and land improvements	\$ 431,824,209	11,332,183	-	443,156,392
Construction in progress	 193,962,742	81,495,861	9,884,800	265,573,803
Total capital assets, not being depreciated	 625,786,951	92,828,044	9,884,800	708,730,195
Capital assets being depreciated:				
Buildings	200,229,492	1,022,787	-	201,252,279
Improvements other than buildings	65,035,908 *	339,269	-	65,375,177
Equipment	113,834,485	17,668,463	11,619,342	119,883,606
Infrastructure	 286,381,056 *	8,175,466	407,296	294,149,226
Total capital assets, being depreciated	 665,480,941	27,205,985	12,026,638	680,660,288
Less accumulated depreciation for:				
Buildings	60,431,147	3,967,117	-	64,398,264
Improvements other than buildings	33,436,782 *	2,896,116	-	36,332,898
Equipment	64,548,070 *	12,409,233	3,968,696	72,988,607
Infrastructure	 125,250,421 *	8,204,430	45,710	133,409,141
Total accumulated depreciation	 283,666,420	27,476,896	4,014,406	307,128,910
Fotal capital assets, being depreciated, net	 381,814,521	(270,911)	8,012,232	373,531,378
Governmental activities capital assets, net	\$ 1,007,601,472	92,557,133	17,897,032	1,082,261,573

* restated

Depreciation expense was charged to functions / programs of governmental activities as follows:

Governmental activities:	Amount
General government	\$ 3,968,245
Public safety	2,915,796
Public facilities	12,109,967
Legislative and judicial	18,862
Community services	808,238
Recreation and parks	1,791,391
Capital assets held by the government's internal service funds	
are charged to the various functions based on usage of assets	 5,864,397
Total depreciation expense - governmental activities	\$ 27,476,896

Capital asset activity for business-type activities for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010 was as follows:

	Beginning Balance	Increases	Decreases	Ending Balance
Business-type activities				
Capital assets not being depreciated:				
Land and Land Improvements	10,802,873	-	-	10,802,873
Construction in progress	125,739,407 *	57,578,843	3,867,242	179,451,008
Total capital assets, not being depreciated	136,542,280	57,578,843	3,867,242	190,253,881
Capital assets being depreciated:				
Buildings	129,185,533 *	-	-	129,185,533
Improvements other than buildings	54,527,557 *	1,077,364	-	55,604,921
Infrastructure	465,269,125 *	9,087,405	-	474,356,530
Equipment	3,539,481 *	107,649	-	3,647,130
	652,521,696	10,272,418	0	662,794,114
Less accumulated depreciation for:				
Buildings	50,391,241 *	2,564,591	-	52,955,832
Imp other than Buildings	26,104,365 *	1,975,068	-	28,079,433
Dep Infrastructure	203,000,454 *	8,960,149	-	211,960,603
Equipment	2,260,845 *	182,893	-	2,443,738
	281,756,905	13,682,701	0	295,439,606
Total capital assets, being depreciated, net	370,764,791	(3,410,283)	0	367,354,508
Business-type activities capital assets, net	507,307,071	54,168,560	3,867,242	557,608,389

* Restated

Depreciation expense was charged to functions / programs of business-type activities as follows:

Business-type activities:	Amount
Water and Sewer system	\$ 13,634,124
Golf course	48,577
Total depreciation expense - business-type activities	\$ 13,682,701

Construction Commitments

Howard County government total encumbrances outstanding as of June 30, 2010 were \$182,998,865.

8. Long-Term Debt

A. Primary Government

A summary of long-term debt outstanding for the primary government at June 30, 2010 is as follows:

	Due Dates	Interest Rates	Amount Outstanding
Governmental Activities:			
Consolidated public improvement bonds	2010-2030	2.00% to 5.55%	\$ 723,305,002
State Water Quality Revolving Loan	2010-2016	2.41%	5,795,000
Total debt subject to statutory limit			729,100,002
Compensated Absences	various	not applicable	20,268,672
Capital Leases	various	not applicable	4,888,717
Net OPEB Obligation	various	not applicable	69,881,083
Landfill closure obligation	various	not applicable	35,002,000
Agricultural Land Preservation Program	2010-2028	6.00% to 8.60%	60,998,200
Total Governmental Activities			(1) 920,138,674
Business Type Activities:			
Metropolitan district bonds	2010-2038	1.00% to 5.25%	\$ 110,810,000
State water quality revolving loan	2010-2031	1.00% to 4.33%	43,220,051
Special facility revenue bonds	2010-2021	2.00% to 3.800%	6,870,000
Compensated Absences	various	not applicable	774,239
Net OPEB Obligation	various	not applicable	933,934
Major water and sewer agreements	various	not applicable	2,034,984
Total Business Type Activities			(2) 164,643,208
T ot al Debt			\$1,084,781,882

Note (1): Does not include deferred refunding premium liability of \$48,401,211, unamortized discount of (\$5,655), economic refunding loss of (\$10,891,714), and unpaid insurance claims of \$6,493,434 shown in the Statement of Net Assets.

Note (2): Does not include deferred refunding premium of \$2,907,777, and economic refunding loss of (\$331,782) shown in the Statement of Net Assets. Also, does not include developer agreement rebates of \$299,889, (a contractual obligation to reimburse a developer for a portion of the cost of constructing water/sewer lines donated to the County) or deferred water and sewer assessments of \$25,720,636.

The County is subject to State and County law which limits the amount of applicable General County debt (including Consolidated Public Improvement Bonds, State Water Quality Loan, Local Government Insurance Trust, and Maryland State Retirement) outstanding to 4.8 percent of the assessed value of real property and personal property located in the County. At June 30, 2010 the statutory debt limit was \$2,412,151,204 providing a debt margin of \$1,683,051,202. The authorized, unissued General County Bonds, Metropolitan District Bonds and Water Quality Bonds at June 30, 2010 were \$376,737,385, \$230,039,891, and \$102,611,925 respectively. It is the County's intent to use such unissued bonds to fund future capital projects. There is no overlapping municipal bonded debt in the County and the County is in compliance with its debt agreement provisions.

The changes in long-term debt for the primary government for the year ended June 30, 2010 are as follows:

	Balance June 30, 2009	Additions	Retirements	Balance June 30, 2010	Amounts Due Within One Year
Consolidated public improvement bonds	\$ 671,880,814	186,870,000	135,445,812	723,305,002	50,565,000
State Water Quality Revolving Loan	7,980,000	180,870,000	2,185,000	5,795,000	1,745,000
Compensated absences	19,046,886	2,312,852	1,091,066	20,268,672	1,073,025
Capital Leases	-	4,909,012	20,295	4,888,717	130,173
Landfill closure obligation	34,503,000	499,000	-	35,002,000	-
Agricultural Land Preservation Program	61,240,200		242,000	60,998,200	151,000
Total long-term debt	794,650,900	194,590,864	138,984,173	(1) 850,257,591	53,664,198
Metropolitan district bonds	110,889,110	12,775,000	12,854,110	110,810,000	6,590,000
State Water Quality Revolving Loan	46,575,788	402,867	3,758,604	43,220,051	3,867,209
Special facility revenue bonds	7,395,000	-	525,000	6,870,000	540,000
Compensated absences	696,052	145,976	67,789	774,239	20,371
Major water and sewer agreements	2,922,762	-	887,778	2,034,984	-
Total enterprise fund	168,478,712	13,323,843	18,093,281	(2) 163,709,274	11,017,580
Fotal	\$ 963,129,612	207,914,707	157,077,454	1,013,966,865	64,681,778

Note (1): Does not include deferred refunding premium liability of \$48,401,211, unamortized discount of (\$5,655), economic refunding loss of (\$10,891,714), unpaid insurance claims of \$6,493,434 and net OPEB obligation of \$69,881,083 shown in the Statement of Net Assets.

Note (2): Does not include deferred refunding premium of \$2,907,777 and economic refunding loss of (\$331,782) shown in the Statement of Net Assets. Also, does not include net OPEB obligation of \$933,934, developer agreement rebates of \$299,889, or deferred water and sewer assessments of \$25,720,636.

The full faith and credit and unlimited taxing power of the County are irrevocably pledged to the payment of the principal and interest of General County bonds and other long-term debt. Metropolitan District bonds and their related interest charges are being financed from front foot benefit assessment charges, ad valorem taxes and in-aid-of-construction charges. In the event such revenues and charges are insufficient to finance the debt service, the full faith and credit and unlimited taxing power of the County are irrevocably pledged to the payment of the principal and interest of these bonds.

On December 8, 2009, the County issued \$85,860,000 in **Consolidated Public Improvement Refunding Bonds** with an effective interest rate of 2.851 percent, \$7,255,000 in **Metropolitan District Refunding Bonds** with an effective interest rate of 3.720 percent. The County issued these bonds to refund \$88,030,000 and \$6,755,000 of General Obligation and Metropolitan District Bonds, respectively. The average interest rates on the refunded General Obligation and Metropolitan District Bonds was 4.848 percent, respectively. The savings or aggregate difference in debt service, from refunding Consolidated Public Improvement Project Bonds was \$6,371,280 and from refunding Metropolitan District Project Bonds was \$905,908. The economic gain or net present value of savings, from refunding Consolidated Public Improvement Project Bonds and Metropolitan District Project Bonds was \$4,393,541 and \$694,187, respectively.

On March 16, 2010, the County issued \$49,015,000 in **Consolidated Public Improvement Project Tax Exempt Bonds** with an effective interest rate of 2.761 percent, \$12,590,000 in **Consolidated Public Improvement Project Taxable Bonds** with an effective interest rate of 2.827 percent, \$39,405,000 in **Consolidated Public Improvement Project Build America Bonds** with an effective interest rate of 3.447 percent, \$5,520,000 in **Metropolitan District Project Bonds** with an effective interest rate of 1.271 percent. The County issued these bonds to pay off \$12,600,000 of the 2006 commercial paper bond anticipation notes (BANs); to provide funding for certain capital projects and to pay bond issuance costs.

Since 1993, the County has participated in the **State Water Quality Revolving Loan Program**. Under this program, the State makes loans to local governments with interest rates that are below the market rate for tax-exempt financing. As of June 30, 2010, the County has borrowed \$88,385,085; of which \$74,175,085 was borrowed by the Water and Sewer Enterprise Fund to expand the County's water reclamation plant and

\$14,210,000 was used to refund Consolidated Public Improvement Bonds. The outstanding balances of these loans are \$43,220,051 and \$5,795,000, respectively.

Industrial revenue bonds issued by the County for the benefit of private businesses in the County are neither debt of nor charges against the general credit or taxing power of the County. These amounts are not included in general long-term debt (see Note 10).

During fiscal year 1995, the County issued **Special Facility Revenue Bonds** in the amount of \$10,675,000. On June 1, 2003, the County refunded the balance of the **Special Facility Revenue Bonds**, which was \$9,220,000, and issued **Golf Course Refunding Bonds** in the amount of \$9,880,000. The original bonds were issued for the purpose of constructing a public golf course with related facilities. Income derived from the golf course facility is pledged to pay debt service on these bonds and to establish a debt service reserve fund equal to the greatest amount of debt service payable in a fiscal year. The balance in the debt service reserve fund at June 30, 2010 is \$763,423. If a deficiency exists in the debt service reserve fund securing these bonds, the County is obligated to restore the amount in the debt service reserve fund to the maximum annual debt service on these bonds not later than 30 days after the beginning of the first fiscal year beginning after such deficiency is determined, until these bonds have been paid in full. These bonds do not constitute a pledge of the County's full faith and credit or taxing power, but the County's covenant to restore the amount in the debt service reserve fund is a general contractual obligation of the County.

Under its **Agricultural Land Preservation Program**, the County acquires development rights on a parcel of agricultural property by entering into an installment purchase agreement with the property owner. Under the terms of the agreement, the County pays the property owner semiannual interest payments for 30 years and minimal portions of the installment purchase price for 29 years. The remaining amount of the purchase price is paid at the end of 30 years with a balloon payment. Upon execution of an agreement, the County purchases stripped-coupon U.S. Treasury obligations in amounts sufficient to equal the balloon payment in 30 years (See Note 2). The County acquires the development rights to the land in perpetuity.

In prior years, the County defeased certain Consolidated Public Improvement and Metropolitan District bonds by placing the proceeds of new bonds in an irrevocable trust to provide for all future debt service payments on the old bonds. Accordingly, the trust account assets and the liability for the defeased bonds are not included as long-term obligations of the County or Enterprise Fund. At June 30, 2010, \$140,380,000 of Consolidated Public Improvement bonds and \$7,900,000 of Metropolitan District bonds are considered defeased.

A summary of debt service requirements to maturity, including principal and interest, for certain long-term debt as of June 30, 2010 is as follows:

Year ending	General County	y Bonds *	Agricultural Land Program		
June 30:	 Principal	Interest	Principal	Interest	
2011	\$ 52,310,000	31,969,575	151,000	4,603,863	
2012	52,835,000	30,295,545	242,000	4,591,498	
2013	51,435,000	28,236,658	151,000	4,573,444	
2014	48,920,000	26,003,164	242,000	4,561,079	
2015	46,215,000	23,729,584	151,000	4,543,025	
2016-2020	204,485,000	87,396,099	23,516,200	20,740,176	
2021-2025	183,525,000	44,491,217	28,561,000	7,175,885	
2026-2030	 89,375,000	10,213,329	7,984,000	979,531	
	\$ 729,100,000	282,335,171	60,998,200	51,768,501	

Debt service requirements of governmental activities:

Debt service	requirements	of business-type	activities:
	requirements	or ousiness type	uctivities.

					Special H	Facility	
Year ending	Metro Distr	ict Bonds	Water Q	uality	Revenue Bonds		
June 30:	Principal	Principal Interest		Interest	Principal	Interest	
2011	\$ 6,590,000	4,496,499	3,867,209	1,267,313	540,000	219,822	
2012	6,685,000	4,256,406	4,138,266	1,164,102	555,000	204,747	
2013	6,320,000	4,024,247	4,229,173	1,080,454	575,000	187,797	
2014	6,605,000	3,784,417	3,017,153	871,677	590,000	170,322	
2015	6,775,000	3,504,142	3,087,934	800,897	605,000	152,019	
2016-2020	29,050,000	13,682,874	15,719,405	2,912,438	3,360,000	434,162	
2021-2025	21,990,000	8,337,370	8,914,980	864,168	645,000	12,255	
2026-2030	18,205,000	3,924,863	245,931	27,357	-	-	
2031-2035	6,820,000	1,157,046	-	-	-	-	
2036-2038	1,770,000	134,601	-		-	_	
	\$110,810,000	47,302,465	43,220,051	8,988,406	6,870,000	1,381,124	

B. Component Units

The changes in long-term obligations for the component units for the year ended June 30, 2010 are as follows:

	Balance			Balance
	July 1, 2009	Additions	Retirements	June 30, 2010
Public School System:				
Capital leases and				
compensated absences	\$ 24,997,169	89,273	5,981,054	19,105,388
Community College:				
Loans and				
compensated absences	12,232,026	119,565	722,949	11,628,642
Library:				
Compensated absences	849,526	38,545	-	888,071
Housing:				
Loans and				
compensated absences	51,956,949	33,971,764	32,101,278	53,827,435
Total	\$ 51,397,883	34,219,147	38,805,281	85,449,536

9. Short-Term Debt

The changes in short-term debt for the primary government for the year ended June 30, 2010 are as follows:

Туре	Balance July 1, 2009	Issued	Retired	Balance June 30, 2010
Bond Anticipation Note	\$ 12,600,000	-	12,600,000	-

10. Conduit Debt

From time to time, the County has issued Industrial Revenue Bonds, Economic Revenue Development Bonds and Multi-family Rental Housing Revenue Bonds to provide financial assistance to private-sector entities for the acquisition and construction of industrial and commercial facilities deemed to be in the public interest. The bonds are secured by the property financed and are payable solely from payments received on the underlying mortgage loans. Upon repayment of the bonds, ownership of the acquired facilities transfers to the private-sector entity served by the bond issuance. Neither the County, the State, nor any political subdivision thereof is obligated in any manner for repayment of the bonds. Accordingly, the bonds are not reported as liabilities in the accompanying financial statements.

As of June 30, 2010, there were 58 series of conduit debt outstanding. The aggregate principal amount payable for the 15 series issued after July 1, 1996 was \$122,512,899. The aggregate principal amount payable for the 43 series issued prior to June 30, 1996 could not be determined; however, their original issue amounts totaled \$195,699,329.

11. Lease Obligations

Operating Leases – Primary Government

The County is committed under various long-term operating lease agreements for office space as lessee. Lease expenditures for the year ended June 30, 2010 amounted to \$4,239,810. Future lease payments including any agreed upon percentage increases are as follows:

 Fiscal Year	
2011	\$ 5,706,024
2012	1,727,451
2013	478,203
2014	458,203
2015	458,203
2016-2018	452,852

The County also leases County-owned tower space under various long-term operating lease agreements as lessor. Lease revenues for the year ended June 30, 2010 amounted to \$848,884. Future lease revenues are as follows:

Fiscal Year	
2011	\$ 1,068,730
2012	1,005,920
2013	1,003,019
2014	937,385
2015	965,823
2016-2018	2,780,889

Capital Leases

The County entered into two lease agreements as lessee with Grant Capital Management for financing the acquisition of equipment for the energy performance contract. These lease agreements qualify as capital leases for accounting purposes, and must be recorded at the lesser of their fair value or the present value of their future minimum lease payments. Therefore, they have been recorded at the present value of their future minimum lease payments as of the inception date.

The future minimum lease obligations and the net present value of these minimum lease payments as of June 30, 2010 are as follows:

	Governmental Activities
Year Ending June 30, 2010	
2011	\$ 408,362
2012	476,869
2013	491,175
2014	505,908
2015	521,086
2016-2020	2,849,510
2021-2024	1,988,203
Total minimum lease payments	7,241,113
Less: amount representing interest	(2,352,396)
Present value of minimum lease payments	\$ 4,888,717

12. Restricted Assets

Federal and State grants, golf course receivables, water and sewer assessments, user charges, in-aid-ofconstruction charges, developer contributions and bond and loan proceeds for purposes of construction of the water and sewer system are restricted. Developer contributions primarily represent water and sewer projects constructed by developers on behalf of the County in connection with the development of privately owned property. The agreements between the developers and the County relating to such projects provide for specific rebates of construction costs to the developer from bond proceeds based upon house connections within a tenyear period. Any amounts not rebated at the end of ten years are recognized as contributed revenue. The cumulative amounts of other nonoperating revenues are available for construction activities and reflected as restricted net assets. Assets and liabilities arising from the construction and operation of the County's publicly owned golf course are restricted in the Special Recreation Facility Fund. Restricted assets also include funds reserved for capital projects, funds that are legally restricted for special purposes such as public housing projects, investments in strip treasuries held to maturity for the final payment on the purchase of land development rights and funds reserved for payment of zero coupon bonds.

13. Landfill Closure and Post-Closure Care Cost

State and federal laws and regulations require the County to place a final cover cap on closed cells at the currently operating Alpha Ridge Landfill and to perform certain maintenance and monitoring functions at the landfill site for a minimum period of 30 years after closure. The County recognizes a portion of these costs in each operating period based on landfill capacity used as of each balance sheet date. Closure and post-closure care costs are paid after each cell is filled to capacity. The closure cap for a 70-acre inactive landfill cell was completed in 2001. A separate active lined landfill cell is projected to close no earlier than 2050 if current operating conditions continue and will be capped at that time. In addition, the County has constructed closure caps and groundwater treatment systems at two older closed landfills, and the post-closure operating costs are included in the Environmental Services Fund budget. The long-term liability for these older landfills has been removed from long-term debt. Future total closure and post-closure care costs for the Alpha Ridge Landfill as determined through engineering studies will approximate \$41,929,400. Actual cost may differ due to inflation or future design changes. The County ceased using the Alpha Ridge Landfill as its primary disposal site as of

March 1997 and, thus, it is not expected to use the landfill to its full capacity in the foreseeable future. The County is exporting waste to a regional landfill in Virginia. The remaining capacity at the landfill will be held for backup or future use. As of June 30, 2010, the County has recognized \$35,002,000 of these costs. This cumulative amount reported to date is based on the use of 70.65 percent of the existing cell and 100 percent of the closed cell. The total current cost of closure and post-closure care to be recognized in future years is \$6,927,400. The County intends to finance these costs through the proceeds of bonds and through its annual operating budget. The General Fund has been used in prior years to liquidate the liability.

14. Retirement Plans

Generally, the majority of employees of the primary government, except certain police and fire officers, participate in the Howard County Retirement Plan ("Retirement Plan") which was established July 1, 1995. As of that date, approximately 73 percent of the County employees participating in the Maryland State Retirement Systems, described below, transferred to the Retirement Plan. Certain police and fire personnel participate in the Howard County Police and Fire Employees' Retirement Plan ("Police and Fire Plan") which was established July 1, 1990. The Retirement Plan and the Police and Fire Plan are single-employer defined benefit public employee retirement plans administered by Howard County, Maryland. The financial statements of the Plans were prepared using the accrual basis of accounting. Plan member contributions are recognized in the period in which the contributions are due. Benefits and refunds are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the terms of the plan. The remaining employees of the primary government participate in the State Employees Retirement System ("Retirement System") established October 1, 1941, and the State Employees Pension System ("Pension System") established January 1, 1980. These cost-sharing multipleemployer defined benefit systems administered by the Maryland State Retirement Systems were established under the provisions of Article 73B of the Annotated Code of Maryland. Responsibility for administration and operation of the systems vests in a 14-member Board of Trustees ("Trustees"). The Retirement Plan and the Police and Fire Plan were established and operate under the provisions of the Howard County Code, Sections 1.400, 1.401 to 1.478 and 1.401A to 1.478A. Substantially all of the County's full-time benefited and part-time benefited employees are eligible to participate in the Retirement Plan, except for certain exceptions provided for in Howard County Code Section 1.406. Responsibility for administration and operation of the Retirement Plan and the Police and Fire Plan vests in 7-member Retirement Committees ("Committees"). The Committees and the Trustees have authority to establish and amend the respective benefit and contribution provisions. All fulltime and permanent part-time employees of the County must be members of one of the plans. Police and fire officers hired on or after July 1, 1990 must enroll in the Police and Fire Plan. All other employees hired after July 1, 1995 must enroll in the Retirement Plan.

The payroll for employees covered by the Retirement Plan for the year ended June 30, 2010 was \$84,801,991. The payroll for police and fire employees covered by the Police and Fire Plan for the year ended June 30, 2010 was \$53,945,476. The payroll for employees covered by the State Retirement System and State Pension System for the year ended June 30, 2010 was \$2,297,548 and \$5,215,986 respectively. The County's total payroll was approximately \$172,035,497. Both the Retirement Plan and the Police and Fire Plan issue separate audited financial reports which may be obtained by writing to: Howard County, Maryland, Director of Finance, 3430 Court House Drive, Ellicott City, Maryland 21043, or by contacting the County via e-mail at staghavi@howardcountymd.gov.

The Retirement Plan and the Police and Fire Plan provide retirement benefits as well as death and disability benefits and cost-of-living adjustments. Under the conditions of the Retirement Plan, participants attaining the age of 62 who have completed two years of eligibility service and the sum of attained age in whole years and years of eligibility service equal at least 67 or participants who have completed 30 years eligibility service, are entitled to a normal retirement benefit. After July 1, 2005, normal retirement is also defined as 20 years of service for participating Corrections employees. For non-Corrections participants, the benefit is 1.55% of the participants average compensation times the participant's creditable service. The Plan permits early retirement for participants who attain the age of 55 and have completed 15 years of eligibility service. The benefit is reduced ½ percent for each month the early retirement date precedes the participant attaining age 62. For participants who reach a termination after August 31, 2002, early retirement is also provided to participants who achieve 25 years of eligibility service. This benefit is reduced by ½ percent each month the benefit commencement date precedes 30 years of eligibility service, or ½ percent for each month the tretiree's age precedes age 62, if less. Participanting Corrections employees who retire on or after July 1, 2005 are entitled to receive a normal retirement benefit of 2.5 percent of average compensation multiplied by years of creditable service (up to 20 years) plus 1 percent of average compensation multiplied by service greater than 20 years but

less than 30 years (excluding sick leave, which is always credited at 1 percent of average compensation.) Prior to July 1, 2005, other rules applied. The benefit was 2.0 percent of average compensation multiplied by post-1997 creditable service. The benefit for pre-1998 creditable service is 1.55 percent or 2 percent, depending on phase-in rules. Participants become vested after 5 years of eligibility service and are entitled to a benefit beginning at age 62. If an employee leaves employment or dies before 5 years of eligibility service, prior to July 1, 2006, accumulated employee contributions plus 5 percent interest are refunded to the employee or the designated beneficiary. If an employee leaves employment or dies before 5 years of eligibility service after July 1, 2006, accumulated employee contributions plus 2 percent interest are refunded to the employee or designated beneficiary. A participant who becomes totally and permanently disabled may retire prior to normal retirement and receive a benefit. Both disability and death benefits vary if incurred in the line of duty. Participant contributions are 8.5 percent of base pay for participants with greater than 20 years of creditable service and 2 percent of base pay for other participants. The County funds the remainder of the cost of its employees' participation in the Retirement Plan.

All of the County's full-time career police and fire officers are eligible to participate in the Police and Fire Plan. Effective January 1, 2003, employees attaining the age of 62 who have completed 5 years of eligibility service and employees who have completed 20 years of eligibility service are entitled to a normal retirement benefit. The amount will vary, based on the number of years of creditable service, from 50 percent (with 20 years of service) to 80 percent (with 30 years of service) of average compensation for police and from 50 percent (with 20 years of service) to 70 percent (with 30 years of service) of average compensation for fire fighters. Participants become vested after 5 years of eligibility service and are entitled to a benefit beginning at age 62. Terminated vested employees with less than 20 years of service will receive 2.5 percent of average compensation times the number of years of creditable service at age 62. If an employee leaves employment or dies before 5 years of eligibility service, prior to July 1, 2006, accumulated employee contributions plus 5 percent interest are refunded to the employee or the designated beneficiary. If an employee leaves employment or dies before 5 years of eligibility service after July 1, 2006, accumulated employee contributions plus 2 percent interest are refunded to the employee or designated beneficiary. A participant who becomes totally and permanently disabled may retire prior to normal retirement and receive a benefit. Both disability and death benefits vary if incurred in the line of duty. Participant contributions are 11.6 percent of pay for participating Police Department Employees and 7.7 percent of pay for participating Fire Department Employees. The County funds the remainder of the cost of its employees' participation in the Police and Fire Plan.

Employee and employer contributions are recognized as revenues in the period in which employee services are performed and expenses, benefits, and refunds are recorded when the corresponding liabilities are incurred, regardless of when payment is made.

The Retirement Plan and the Police and Fire Plan's funding policies provide for periodic employer contributions at actuarially determined rates that, expressed as percentage of annual covered payroll, are sufficient to accumulate sufficient assets to pay benefits when due. During the year ended June 30, 2010 contributions to each plan were made in excess of actuarially determined contribution requirements determined through an actuarial valuation performed at July 1, 2009. This increase in contribution was necessary in order to spread significant increases recommended by the actuary for the coming years. Significant actuarial assumptions used to compute contribution requirements are the same as those used to compute the accrued actuarial liability.

	Howard County Retirement Plan	Police and Fire Retirement Plan
Contribution rates:		
County	11.3%	26.9%
Plan members	2.0-8.5%	7.7-11.6%
Annual pension cost (thousands)	\$9,758	\$14,881
Contributions made (thousands)	\$9,758	\$14,881
Actuarial valuation date	7/1/09	7/1/09
Actuarial methods and significant assumptions: Actuarial cost method Amortization method	Projected Unit Credit Level percentage of pay, increases 3.0% annually	Projected Unit Credit Level percentage of pay, increases 3.0% annually
Remaining amortization period**	15-30 years, except actuarial gains and losses which are amortized over 15 years	
Asset valuation method	5-year smoothed market	5-year smoothed market
Investment rate of return	8% compounded annually, gross of investment expenses	8% compounded annually, gross of investment expenses
Projected salary increases*	4.0-7.0%	4.0-8.5%
Cost-of-living adjustments	3%, compounded	2%, compounded

* Includes inflation at 3%** Closed amortization period

Required six year trend information for the Retirement Plan and the Police and Fire Plan is as follows	s:
--	----

		Annual	Percentage	Net
	Year	Pension Cost	of ARC	Pension
	Ending	(000)	Contributed	Obligation
Retirement Plan	6/30/05	7,163	100	0
	6/30/06	9,253	100	0
	6/30/07	9,695	100	0
	6/30/08	10,022	100	0
	6/30/09	9,745	100	0
	6/30/10	9,758	102	0
Police and Fire Plan	6/30/05	9,401	100	0
	6/30/06	12,217	100	0
	6/30/07	13,549	100	0
	6/30/08	14,717	100	0
	6/30/09	14,426	100	0
	6/30/10	14,881	105	0

The funded status of both plans as of the most recent valuation date is presented below (dollar amounts in millions.)

						Unf	unded			
			A	ctuarial		Act	uarial			UAAL as
Actuarial	Ac	tuarial	Α	ccrued		Ac	crued	A	nnual	a% of
Valuation Date	Va	lue of	Li	ability	Percentage	Lia	bility	Co	vered	Covered
of July 1	Plan	Assets	(.	AAL)	Funded	(Uz	AAL)	Pa	ayroll	Payroll
								+		
2009	\$	228.1	S	245.2	93.0%	\$	17.1	\$	85.2	20.1%

Schedule of Funding Progress - Howard County Retirement Plan

Schedule of Funding Progress - Howard County Fire and Police Employees' Retirement Plan

			Ac	ctuarial		-	funded tuarial			UAAL as
Actuarial	Ac	tuarial	A	ccrued		Ac	ccrued	Α	nnual	a % of
Valuation Date	Va	lue of	Li	ability	Percentage	Lia	ability	Co	vered	Covered
of July 1	Plan	Assets	(.	AAL)	Funded	(U	IAAL)	Р	ayroll	Payroll
2009	\$	253.6	\$	322.5	78.6%	\$	68.9	\$	52.2	132.1%

The multiyear schedule of funding progress for both plans can be found in the required supplementary information section (RSI) and presents multiyear trend information about whether the actuarial values of plan assets are increasing or decreasing overtime relative to the AALs for benefits.

The Plan's investments are reported at fair market value. Short-term investments consisting of money market funds are reported at cost which approximates fair value. Securities traded on a national or international exchange are valued at the last reported sales price at current exchange rates. The fair value of real estate investments is approximated by the net asset value of the Plan's share of ownership of the co-mingled real estate investment funds. Fair value of other securities is determined by the mean of the most recent bid and asked prices as obtained from dealers that make markets in such securities.

Generally, all employees of the component units participate in The State of Maryland Employees' Retirement and Pension Systems ("Employees' Retirement and Pension Systems") and the Teachers' Retirement and Pension Systems ("Teachers' Retirement and Pension Systems"). The component units' employer contributions to the Employees' Retirement and Pension Systems were \$3,799,270, \$3,466,173 and \$3,694,713 for the years ended June 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008 respectively. Contributions to the Teachers' Retirement and Pension Systems are made directly by the State of Maryland according to State statute on behalf of the component units and amounted to \$55,529,077,\$44,755,291 and \$41,099,879 in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

The State Retirement Agency issues a publicly available Comprehensive Annual Financial Report that includes the Systems' financial statements and required supplementary information. That report may be obtained by writing to the State Retirement and Pension System of Maryland, State Retirement Agency, 120 East Baltimore Street, 14th Floor, Baltimore, Maryland 21202-6700 or by calling (800) 492-5909.

15. Other Post-Employment Benefits

In the financial statements for the fiscal year ending 2008, the County implemented the provisions of Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement 43, Financial Reporting for Post-Employment Benefit Plans Other than Pension Plans and GASB 45, Accounting and Financial Reporting by Employers for Post-Employment Benefits Other Than Pensions.

Plan Description

The County's OPEB plan is a Cost-Sharing Multiple Employer Defined Benefit Healthcare Plan. Per Section 1.406B of Howard County Bill No. 14-2008, the County established an irrevocable trust for administering the plan assets and paying healthcare costs on behalf of the participants. The Plan includes the County (consisting of the County government, Howard County Library, Mental Health Authority and Economic Development Authority), and its component units, Howard County Community College, and Howard County Public School System.

The County provides a post-employment health insurance program in addition to the pension benefits described in Note 14. These post-employment benefits are subject to change at any time. All employees who retire from the County may participate in the program. In order to be eligible, the retiree must have a minimum of ten years of County service, and immediately preceding retirement, been enrolled in a medical, vision or prescription drug insurance plan offered to active employees of the County. The County will pay a percentage of the retiree's health insurance premium based upon these criteria. This percentage varies with the number of years of service attained by the employee. Other retirees who do not meet the eligibility criteria are permitted to participate in the retirees' health insurance program by paying the full premium at the group rate.

The component units provide medical benefits to eligible employees who retire from employment with the entity. The eligibility requirements vary among different entities. Each entity pays a percentage of the health insurance premium based on certain criteria. In addition to medical benefits, the school system offers life insurance benefits to the eligible retirees who must have provided ten years of service with the school system and have retired at the Howard County Public School System.

As of 12/1/2008 for Board of Education, 2/1/2009 for General Government and 3/1/2009 for College, 9,413 employees of the County and its component units met the eligibility requirements set by each entity. Separate financial statements for the OPEB Plan are not available.

Basis of Accounting

The Plan's financial information is prepared based on the accrual accounting. Expenditures are recognized on the accrual basis as retirees' insurance costs are incurred. For FY 2010, the Plan's insurance costs are \$13.6 million; \$5.5 million is paid by the retirees and the balance that is paid by the County and its component units through the County's self insurance fund (internal service fund) is reimbursed by the trust.

Funding Policies and Funded Progress

The Plan's funding policy provides for the County to contribute to the trust the actuarially determined annual required contribution (ARC). In FY 2010, the County made no contributions to the trust. The County's eight year phase-in funding policy has been deferred due to the extraordinary economic downturn. Fiscal Year 2010 includes funding for pay-as-you go OPEB costs only. Effective July 1, 2009 (for the County and Library) and July 1, 2010 (Public Schools) the benefit has been reduced. Those reductions are estimated to reduce the ARC by \$10.7 million. The County expects to return to its eight year phase in of the ARC in Fiscal Year 2012.

The required contribution amount and OPEB expense per the most recent actuarial valuation report with valuation date of 12/1/2008 for Board of Education, 2/1/2009 for General Government and 3/1/2009 for College are presented below:

	Amortization of				Annual		Net OPEB
	Actuarial Unfunded Ac		Actuarial Unfunded	Normal	Normal Required		Obligation
	Ac	crued Liability	Accrued Liability	iability Cost		Funding	(NOO)
		1	2	3	2 + 3	4	2 + 3 - 4
Schools	\$	565,951,000	23,877,000	29,680,000	53,557,000	4,871,068	48,685,932
College		14,872,000	627,000	1,042,000	1,669,000	368,205	1,300,795
County (1)		247,014,000	10,422,000	13,277,000	23,699,000	2,870,710	20,828,290
	\$	827,837,000	34,926,000	43,999,000	78,925,000	8,109,983	70,815,017

(1) Enterprise Fund share of PAYGO funding and net OPEB obligation is \$142,593 and \$933,934 respectively.

Actuarial Assumptions

The actuarial methods and significant assumptions used by the actuary are summarized in this note to conform to the disclosure requirements for GASB statements 43 and 45.

Actuarial valuation date	12/1/08 for Board of Ed, 2/1/09 for General Gov't and 3/1/09 for College
Actuarial cost method	Projected Unit Credit
Amortization method	28 years using level percentage of pay
Asset valuation method	Fair Value
Actuarial trend assumption:	Medical and prescription drug trend rate applied to FY 2010 is 8.00%. This rate decreases by .5% for FY 2011, then decreases by 1.70% in FY2012. The ultimate rate is 4.20%. Dental trend to be applied is 5.00% for all years.
Interest assumption	Discount rate of 4.00%
Salary increases	3.00%

Statement of Net Assets

Assets	
Receivables:	
Interest and dividends	120
Investments, at fair value:	
Cash	7,848
Mutual funds	19,928,497
Money market funds	704,419
Total assets	20,640,884
<u>Liabilities</u>	
Accounts payable	
Other	820,785
Total liabilities	820,785
Net assets held in trust for	
other post employment benefits	19,820,099

Statement of Changes in Net Assets

Additions	
Contributions:	
Employer	8,109,983
Total contributions	8,109,983
Investment income:	
Net change in fair value of investments	(410,089)
Interest	22,309
Dividends	338,055
Investment expense	(16,300)
Net investment (loss) income	(66,025)
Total additions	8,043,958
Deductions	
Benefits	8,470,904
Administrative expenses	26,472
Total deductions	8,497,376
Change in net assets	(453,418)
Net assets - beginning of year	20,273,517
Net assets - end of year	19,820,099

16. Deferred Compensation Plan

Deferred compensation plans are available to all county employees. The plans were established in accordance with Internal Revenue Code Section 457. A deferred compensation plan offers employees an opportunity to defer a portion of their salary along with the related Federal and State income tax, until future years. The deferred compensation funds are not available to employees until termination, retirement, death, or unforeseeable emergency. The assets of this plan were transferred to custodial accounts and the County no longer reports those assets and liabilities in the Agency Funds of the County.

17. Risk Management

The County is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts; theft of, damage to, and destruction of assets; errors and omissions; injuries to employees; and natural disasters,. The reporting entity, excluding the Howard County Public School System, has established two internal service funds to account for and finance its uninsured risks of loss. The reporting entity's risk financing techniques include a combination of risk retention through self-insurance and risk transfer using a risk pool. The Employee Benefits Fund provides full coverage for employee benefits and long-term disability claims. The Risk Management Fund provides coverage up to a maximum of \$1,000,000 for each automobile liability claim, \$1,000,000 for each general liability claim, \$100,000 for each property damage claim, and unlimited on each workers' compensation claim.

The reporting entity belongs to the Maryland Local Government Insurance Trust ("LGIT"), which provides insurance for claims in excess of coverage provided by the reporting entity's Risk Management Fund. The County pays an annual premium to LGIT for this coverage. The reporting entity participates in LGIT in the areas of excess property, general and automobile liability coverages. LGIT consists of various counties and local municipalities. LGIT was created to provide broader insurance coverages than those available from commercial insurers, coverages which would otherwise be unavailable, and loss control and risk management services. Settled claims have not exceeded this coverage in any of the past five fiscal years.

All funds and component units of the reporting entity, excluding the Howard County Public School System, participate in the risk management program and make payments to the Internal Service Funds based on a combination of actuarial estimates and historical cost information. These amounts are needed to pay prior and

current year claims and to establish a reserve for future claims and/or catastrophic losses. The Howard County Public School System has its own risk management program.

As of June 30, 2010, the combined net assets for the two Internal Service Funds are \$9,614,755 and are reported as reserved for insurance claims in the Internal Service Funds. The combined claims liability of the two funds, \$11,858,574 is based on generally accepted accounting principles which require that a liability for claims be reported if information prior to the issuance of the Financial Statements indicates that it is probable that a liability has been incurred at the date of the financial statements and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated.

The changes in the combined self-insurance funds unpaid claims liability in fiscal 2009 and 2010 are presented in the schedule below.

Current Year Claims and						Expected Amount
	Beginning of Year		Beginning of Year Changes in Estimates Cla		End of Year	Due Within One Year
2010	\$	11,487,988	38,051,541	(37,680,955)	11,858,574	5,365,140
2009	\$	9,972,713	39,784,845	(38,269,570)	11,487,988	-

The current portion is included under accounts payable and other current liabilities and the non current portion is included under Noncurrent liabilities – due in more than one year on the Government Wide Statement of Net Assets.

18. Prior Period Adjustment and Individual Fund Disclosure

The General, Public Libraries, Storm Drainage Capital Projects Funds will eliminate their deficits of (\$40,543,903), (\$569,195) and (1,221,604), respectively, through future bond sales.

The deficit of (\$222,948) in the Risk Management Self Insurance Internal Service Fund will be eliminated via increased funding in FY 2012.

The adjustment to the beginning balance of the Technology and Communication Internal Service Fund is the result of a change in accounting for inventory. Previously, inventory was expensed at the time of purchase.

The adjustments to the beginning balance of the Grant Fund and Health Department Fund are for correcting prior years' deferred revenues.

The loss on sale of assets of (\$5,650,763) in the Technology and communications fund is the result of the transfer of assets from Technology and Communications Fund to General Capital Projects Fund.

19. Commitments and Contingencies

Grants

The County receives grant funds, principally from the U.S. Government, for various County programs. Expenditures from certain of these funds are subject to audit by the grantor, and the County is contingently liable to refund amounts received in excess of allowable expenditures. In the opinion of the management of the County, no material refunds will be required as a result of expenditures disallowed by the grantors.

Construction

The County had \$692,576,791 authorized but unobligated capital project appropriations at June 30, 2009.

Yard Debris Composting Intergovernmental Agreement

The County participates in a regional yard waste composting program under the terms of a June 1995 Intergovernmental agreement with Baltimore and Anne Arundel Counties, and Maryland Environmental Services ("MES").

Pursuant to the agreement, the County agrees to deliver a minimum of 8,000 tons of yard debris per year for composting to the site located in Howard County. The \$54.28 per ton processing fee covers the County's share

of the operating cost. In lieu of delivering the required 8,000 tons of yard debris, the County has an option under the agreement to pay for its proportionate share of the program's operating costs. The agreement expires in 2015; however, due to the high cost of the Intergovernmental Agreement, it may be terminated earlier.

Composting operations ceased at the composting site due to odor problems. Yard debris is currently delivered to the facility and then exported for composting. The parties modified the inter-governmental agreement to provide for the sale of the property and to use the proceeds of the sale to defease the bonds. The property sale was completed in September 1999. The bonds were defeased in September 2005.

Litigation

The County is a defendant in lawsuits and other claims that occur in the ordinary course of County operations. It is the opinion of the County Solicitor that such lawsuits and claims will not have a material adverse impact on the County's financial condition.

Required supplementary information (unaudited) for the Howard County Retirement Plan for the years ended June 30, 2008 2009, and 2010 respectively, is as follows:

						(6)
						Unfunded
						Actuarial Accrued
				(4)		Liability
	(1)		(3)	Unfunded		as a Percentage
	Actuarial	(2)	Percentage	Actuarial Accrued	(5)	of Covered
Valuation	Value of	Actuarial Accrued	Funded	Liability	Annual	Payroll
Date	Plan Assets	Liability	(1)/(2)	(2)-(1)	Covered Payroll	(4)/(5)
7/1/07	192,985,856	199,722,676	96.6%	6,736,820	72,454,154	9.3%
7/1/08	217,212,899	225,594,376	96.3%	8,381,477	81,475,327	10.3%
7/1/09	228,132,672	245,225,658	93.0%	17,092,986	85,231,182	20.1%

Required supplementary information (unaudited) for the Howard County Police and Fire Employees' Retirement Plan for the years ended June 30, 2008, 2009, and 2010 respectively, is as follows:


						(6)
						Unfunded
						Actuarial Accrued
				(4)		Liability
	(1)		(3)	Unfunded		as a Percentage
	Actuarial	(2)	Percentage	Actuarial Accrued	(5)	of Covered
Valuation	Value of	Actuarial Accrued	Funded	Liability	Annual	Payroll
Date	Plan Assets	Liability	(1)/(2)	(2)-(1)	Covered Payroll	(4)/(5)
7/1/07	210,784,674	270,497,729	77.9%	59,713,055	43,604,715	136.9%
7/1/08	238,417,336	300,686,389	79.3%	62,269,053	46,863,025	132.9%
7/1/09	253,566,998	322,469,583	78.6%	68,902,585	52,145,928	132.1%

Schedule of funding progress (unaudited) for the Howard County Post Employment Benefits Plan for the year ended June 30, 2010 is as follows:

						(6)
						Unfunded
						Actuarial Accrued
				(4)		Liability
	(1)		(3)	Unfunded		as a Percentage
	Actuarial	(2)	Percentage	Actuarial Accrued	(5)	of Covered
Valuation	Value of	Actuarial Accrued	Funded	Liability	Annual	Payroll
Date	Plan Assets	Liability	(1)/(2)	(2)-(1)	Covered Payroll	(4)/(5)
7/1/07		707,256,000	0.0%	707,256,000	486,385,877	145.4%
		· · ·		, ,	, ,	
7/1/08	14,000,000	687,464,000	2.0%	673,464,000	627,617,736	107.3%

Schedule of employer contribution (unaudited) for the Howard County Post Employment Benefits Plan for the year ended June 30, 2010 is as follows:

Date	ARC	Contribution	Percentage of ARC Contributed	Net OPEB Obligations
6/30/08	73,601,000	21,977,714	30%	51,623,286
6/30/09	65,168,000	14,732,748	23%	50,435,252
6/30/10	78,925,000	8,109,983	10%	70,815,017



The Donut Factory

Painting by local artist Alice Webb, Ellicott City, Maryland.

Appendix B

Form of Bond Counsel Opinions

[Closing Date]

County Executive and County Council of Howard County, Maryland 3430 Court House Drive Ellicott City, Maryland 21043

County Executive and Council Members:

We have examined a record of proceedings relating to the issuance by Howard County, Maryland (the "County") of its Consolidated Public Improvement Bonds, 2011 Series A (the "Bonds").

The Bonds are dated March 9, 2011, and are issued in fully registered in form in the denomination of \$5,000 each or any integral multiple thereof. The Bonds are consolidated and issued pursuant to Article 25A of the Annotated Code of Maryland (2005 Replacement Volume and 2010 Supplement) (the "Enabling Law"), the Howard County Charter (the "Charter"), Section 2C of Article 31 of the Annotated Code of Maryland (2010 Replacement Volume) (the "Consolidating Act"), certain bond enabling ordinances of the County Council of Howard County, specifically Council Bill Nos. 22, 24, and 35, enacted during the 2009 Legislative Session and Council Bill Nos. 25 and 27 enacted during the 2010 Legislative Session (collectively, the "Enabling Ordinances"), Council Bill No. 59-2008 enacted and effective on December 3, 2008 and Council Bill No. 48-2010 enacted and effective on October 6, 2010 (collectively, the "Ordinance"), and Executive Order No. 2011-03, executed and delivered on February 14, 2011 (the "Executive Order").

In rendering this opinion, we have relied without investigation on the County's Tax and Section 148 Certificate dated this date made on behalf of the County by officers thereof with respect to certain material facts within the knowledge of the County relevant to the tax-exempt status of interest on the Bonds.

With respect to the executed and authenticated Bond that we have examined, and the Bonds similarly executed and identical thereto in form except for numbers, interest rates, denominations, and maturities, we are of the opinion that:

(a) The County is a validly created and existing body politic and corporate and political subdivision of the State of Maryland, possessing authority under the Enabling Law, the Charter, the Consolidating Act, the Enabling Ordinances, the Ordinance and the Executive Order to issue the Bonds.

(b) The Bonds are valid and legally binding obligations of the County to which its full faith and credit and taxing power are pledged, and for the payment of which the County is empowered and directed to levy ad valorem taxes unlimited as to rate and amount upon all real and tangible personal property and certain intangible personal property subject to assessment for unlimited County taxation.

(c) To provide for the payment of the principal of and interest on the Bonds, the County has covenanted to levy or cause to be levied ad valorem taxes upon all the assessable property within the corporate limits of the County, in rate and amount sufficient for that purpose in each fiscal year in which provision must be made for the payment of such principal and interest.

(d) Under existing law, the Bonds, their transfer, the interest payable on them and any income derived from them, including any profit realized in their sale or exchange, shall be exempt at all times from every kind and nature of taxation by the State of Maryland or by any of its political subdivisions, municipal corporations or public agencies of any kind; no opinion is expressed as to estate or inheritance taxes, or any other taxes not levied or assessed directly on the Bonds or the interest thereon.

Assuming compliance with certain covenants referred to herein, interest on the (e) Bonds will be excludable from gross income for federal income tax purposes under existing statutes, regulations and decisions. It is noted that under the provisions of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"), there are certain restrictions that must be met subsequent to the delivery of the Bonds, including restrictions that must be complied with throughout the term of the bonds of the issue of bonds of which the Bonds are a part, in order that the interest thereon be excludable from gross income. These include (i) a requirement that certain investment earnings received from the investment of the proceeds of the bonds of the issue of bonds of which the Bonds are a part be rebated (or that certain payments in lieu of rebate be made) to the United States of America under certain circumstances; (ii) other requirements applicable to the investment of the proceeds of the bonds of the issue of bonds of which the Bonds are a part; and (iii) requirements applicable to the use of the proceeds of the bonds of the issue of bonds of which the Bonds are a part and the use of the facilities financed with such proceeds. Failure to comply with one or more of these requirements could result in the inclusion of the interest payable on the Bonds in gross income for federal income tax purposes, effective from the date of their issuance. The County has covenanted to regulate the investment of the proceeds of the Bonds and to take such other actions as may be required to maintain the excludability of interest on the Bonds from gross income for federal income tax purposes. It is our opinion that, assuming compliance with such covenants, the interest on the Bonds will remain excludable from gross income for federal income tax purposes under the provisions of the Code.

(f) Interest on the Bonds is not includable in the alternative minimum taxable income of individuals, corporations or other taxpayers as an enumerated item of tax preference or other specific adjustment. However, for purposes of calculating the corporate alternative minimum tax, a corporation subject to such tax will be required to increase its alternative minimum taxable income by 75% of the amount by which its "adjusted current earnings" exceed its alternative minimum taxable income (computed without regard to this current earnings adjustment and the alternative tax net operating loss deduction). For such purposes, "adjusted current earnings" would include, among other items, interest income from the Bonds. In addition, interest income on the Bonds will be subject to the branch profits tax imposed by the Code on foreign corporations engaged in a trade or business in the United States.

The opinions expressed above are limited to the matters set forth above, and no other opinions should be inferred beyond the matters expressly stated. We assume no obligation to supplement this opinion if any applicable laws or interpretations thereof change after the date hereof or if we become aware of any facts or circumstances that might change the opinions expressed herein after the date hereof.

Very truly yours,

[Closing Date]

County Executive and County Council of Howard County, Maryland 3430 Court House Drive Ellicott City, Maryland 21043

County Executive and Council Members:

We have examined a record of proceedings relating to the issuance by Howard County, Maryland (the "County") of its Metropolitan District Bonds, 2011 Series A (Tax-Exempt Bonds) (the "Bonds").

The Bonds are dated March 9, 2011, and are issued in fully registered in form in the denomination of \$5,000 each or any integral multiple thereof. The Bonds are consolidated and issued pursuant to Article 25A of the Annotated Code of Maryland (2005 Replacement Volume and 2009 Supplement) (the "Enabling Law"), the Howard County Charter (the "Charter"), Chapter 991 of the Acts of the General Assembly of Maryland of 1943, as amended, Chapter 609 of the Acts of the General Assembly of Maryland of 1943, as amended, Chapter 609 of the Acts of the General Assembly of Maryland of 1945, as amended, Chapter 369 of the Acts of the General Assembly of Maryland of 1963, as amended and Chapter 356 of the Acts of the General Assembly of Maryland of 1965, as amended (collectively, the "Acts"), certain bond enabling ordinances of the County Council of Howard County, specifically Council Bill No. 23 enacted during the 2009 Legislative Session and Council Bill No. 26, enacted during the 2010 Legislative Session (collectively, the "Enabling Ordinances"), and in accordance with Council Bill No. 59-2008 enacted and effective on December 3, 2008 and Council Bill No. 48-2010 enacted and effective on October 6, 2010 (collectively, the "Ordinance") and Executive Order No. 2011-03, executed and delivered on February 14, 2011 (the "Executive Order").

In rendering this opinion, we have relied without investigation on the County's Tax and Section 148 Certificate dated this date made on behalf of the County by officers thereof with respect to certain material facts within the knowledge of the County relevant to the tax-exempt status of interest on the Bonds.

With respect to the executed and authenticated Bond that we have examined, and the Bonds similarly executed and identical thereto in form except for numbers, interest rates, denominations, and maturities, we are of the opinion that:

(a) The County is a validly created and existing body politic and corporate and political subdivision of the State of Maryland, possessing authority under the Enabling Law, the Charter, the Acts, the Enabling Ordinances, the Ordinance and the Executive Order to issue the Bonds.

(b) The Bonds are valid and legally binding obligations of the County to which its full faith and credit and taxing power are pledged, payable primarily from ad valorem taxes, unlimited in rate and amount, which the County is empowered to levy upon all taxable property within the Metropolitan District of the County, together with benefit assessments and other available funds, but if not so paid, are payable as to both principal and interest, as general obligations, ultimately from ad valorem taxes, unlimited in rate and amount, which the County is empowered to levy upon all real and tangible personal property and certain intangible personal property subject to assessment for unlimited County taxation.

(c) Under existing law, the Bonds, their transfer, the interest payable on them and any income derived from them, including any profit realized in their sale or exchange, shall be exempt at all times

from every kind and nature of taxation by the State of Maryland or by any of its political subdivisions, municipal corporations or public agencies of any kind; no opinion is expressed as to estate or inheritance taxes, or any other taxes not levied or assessed directly on the Bonds or the interest thereon.

Assuming compliance with certain covenants referred to herein, interest on the (d) Bonds will be excludable from gross income for federal income tax purposes under existing statutes, regulations and decisions. It is noted that under the provisions of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"), there are certain restrictions that must be met subsequent to the delivery of the Bonds, including restrictions that must be complied with throughout the term of the bonds of the issue of bonds of which the Bonds are a part, in order that the interest thereon be excludable from gross income. These include (i) a requirement that certain investment earnings received from the investment of the proceeds of the bonds of the issue of bonds of which the Bonds are a part be rebated (or that certain payments in lieu of rebate be made) to the United States of America under certain circumstances; (ii) other requirements applicable to the investment of the proceeds of the bonds of the issue of bonds of which the Bonds are a part; and (iii) requirements applicable to the use of the proceeds of the bonds of the issue of bonds of which the Bonds are a part and the use of the facilities financed with such proceeds. Failure to comply with one or more of these requirements could result in the inclusion of the interest payable on the Bonds in gross income for federal income tax purposes, effective from the date of their issuance. The County has covenanted to regulate the investment of the proceeds of the Bonds and to take such other actions as may be required to maintain the excludability of interest on the Bonds from gross income for federal income tax purposes. It is our opinion that, assuming compliance with such covenants, the interest on the Bonds will remain excludable from gross income for federal income tax purposes under the provisions of the Code.

(e) Interest on the Bonds is not includable in the alternative minimum taxable income of individuals, corporations or other taxpayers as an enumerated item of tax preference or other specific adjustment. However, for purposes of calculating the corporate alternative minimum tax, a corporation subject to such tax will be required to increase its alternative minimum taxable income by 75% of the amount by which its "adjusted current earnings" exceed its alternative minimum taxable income (computed without regard to this current earnings adjustment and the alternative tax net operating loss deduction). For such purposes, "adjusted current earnings" would include, among other items, interest income from the Bonds. In addition, interest income on the Bonds will be subject to the branch profits tax imposed by the Code on foreign corporations engaged in a trade or business in the United States.

The opinions expressed above are limited to the matters set forth above, and no other opinions should be inferred beyond the matters expressly stated. We assume no obligation to supplement this opinion if any applicable laws or interpretations thereof change after the date hereof or if we become aware of any facts or circumstances that might change the opinions expressed herein after the date hereof.

Very truly yours,

Continuing Disclosure Agreement

This **CONTINUING DISCLOSURE AGREEMENT** (the "Disclosure Agreement) is executed and delivered by Howard County, Maryland (the "County") in connection with the issuance of its \$111,115,000 Consolidated Public Improvement Bonds, 2011 Series A and \$49,990,000 Metropolitan District Bonds, 2011 Series A (collectively, the "Bonds"). The County, intending to be legally bound hereby and in consideration of good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which is hereby acknowledged, does hereby covenant and agree as follows:

Section 1. Purpose of the Disclosure Agreement.

This Disclosure Agreement is being executed and delivered by the County for the benefit of the beneficial owners of the Bonds and in order to assist the Participating Underwriters in complying with Securities and Exchange Commission Rule $15c_{2-12}(b)(5)$.

Section 2. Definitions.

In addition to the definitions set forth above, which apply to any capitalized term used in this Disclosure Agreement unless otherwise defined in this Section, the following capitalized terms shall have the following meanings:

"<u>EMMA</u>" shall mean Electronic Municipal Market Access System maintained by the MSRB. For more information on EMMA, see <u>www.emma.msrb.org</u>.

"Listed Events" shall mean any of the events listed in Section 4(a) of this Disclosure Agreement.

"<u>MSRB</u>" shall mean the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board, established pursuant to Section 15B(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

"<u>Participating Underwriter</u>" shall mean any of the original underwriters of the Bonds required to comply with the Rule in connection with offering of the Bonds.

"<u>Rule</u>" shall mean Rule 15c2-12(b)(5) adopted by the Securities and Exchange Commission under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as the same may be amended from time to time.

"State" shall mean the State of Maryland.

Section 3. Provision of Annual Financial Information, Operating Data and Audited Information.

(a) The County shall provide to the MSRB annual financial information and operating data to be updated as of the end of the preceding fiscal year and made available within 275 days after the end of the County's fiscal year.

(b) The County shall provide to the MSRB annual audited financial statements for the County, such information to be made available within 275 days after the end of the County's fiscal year, unless the audited financial statements are not available on or before such date, in which event said financial statements will be provided promptly when and if available. In the event that audited financial statements are not available within 275 days after the end of the County's fiscal year, the county will provide unaudited financial statements within said time period.

(c) The presentation of the financial information referred to in paragraph (a) and in paragraph (b) shall be made in accordance with the same accounting principles as utilized in connection with the presentation of applicable comparable financial information included in the final official statement for the Bonds, provided that the County may modify the accounting principles utilized in the presentation of financial information by amending this Disclosure Agreement pursuant to the provisions of Section 7 hereof. Changes in Generally Accepted Accounting Principles, where applicable to financial information to be provided by the County, shall not require the County to amend this Disclosure Agreement. (d) If the County is unable to provide the annual financial information and operating data within the applicable time periods specified in (a) and (b) above, the County shall send in a timely manner a notice of such failure to the MSRB.

Section 4. Reporting of Significant Events.

(a) This Section 4 shall govern the giving of notices of the occurrence of any of the following events with respect to the Bonds:

- (1) principal and interest payment delinquencies;
- (2) non-payment related defaults, if material;
- (3) unscheduled draws on debt service reserves reflecting financial difficulties;
- (4) unscheduled draws on credit enhancements reflecting financial difficulties;
- (5) substitution of credit or liquidity providers, or their failure to perform;
- (6) adverse tax opinions or events affecting the tax-exempt status of the Bonds;
- (7) modifications to rights of Bondholders, if material;
- (8) bond calls;
- (9) defeasances;
- (10) release, substitution or sale of property securing repayment of the Bonds, if material;
- (11) rating changes;

(12) the issuance by the Internal Revenue Service of proposed or final determinations of taxability, Notices of Proposed Issue (IRS Form 5701-TEB) or other material notices or determinations with respect to the tax status of the Bonds;

- (13) tender offers;
- (14) bankruptcy, insolvency, receivership or similar event of the County; and

(15) appointment of a successor or additional trustee or the change of name of a trustee, if material.

(b) In a timely manner, not in excess of ten business days after the occurrence of an event listed in Section 4(a) above, the County shall file a notice of such occurrence with the MSRB.

Section 5. *Filing with EMMA*. Unless otherwise required by the MSRB, all filings with the MSRB shall be made with EMMA and shall be accompanied by indentifying information as prescribed by the MSRB.

Section 6. Termination of Reporting Obligation.

The County obligations under this Disclosure Agreement shall terminate upon the payment in full of all of the Bonds either at their maturity or by early redemption. In addition, the County may terminate its obligations under this Disclosure Agreement if and when the County no longer remains an obligated person with respect to the Bonds within the meaning of Securities and Exchange Commission Rule 15c2-12.

Section 7. Amendment.

The County may provide further or additional assurances that will become part of the County's obligations under the Disclosure Agreement. In addition, this Disclosure Agreement may be amended by the County in its discretion provided that (i) the amendment may only be made in connection with a change in circumstances that arises from a

change in legal requirements, change in law, or change in the identity, nature, or status of the County as the obligated person with respect to the Bonds, or type of business conducted; (ii) the Disclosure Agreement, as amended, would have complied with the requirements of the Rule at the time of the issuance of the Bonds, after taking into account any amendments or interpretations of the Rule, as well as any change in circumstances; and (iii) the amendment does not materially impair the interests of holders of the Bonds, as determined either by counsel selected by the County that is expert in federal securities law matters, or by an approving vote of the holders of 25% of the outstanding aggregate principal amount of Bonds. The reasons for the County agreeing to provide any further or additional assurances or for any amendment and the impact of the change in the type of operating data or financial information being provided will be explained in information provided with the annual financial information containing the additional or amended operating data or financial information.

Section 8. Additional Information.

Nothing in this Disclosure Agreement shall be deemed to prevent the County from disseminating any other information, using the means of dissemination set forth in this Disclosure Agreement or any other means of communication, or including any other information in any disclosure made pursuant to Section 4(a) or (b) hereof or notice of occurrence of a Listed Event in addition to that which is required by this Disclosure Agreement. If the County chooses to include any information in any disclosure made pursuant to Section 4(a) or (b) hereof or notice of occurrence of a Listed Event in addition to that which is specifically required by this Disclosure Agreement, the County shall have no obligation under this Disclosure Agreement to update such information or include it in any future disclosure made pursuant to Section 4(a) or (b) hereof.

Section 9. Law of Maryland.

This Disclosure Agreement, and any claim made with respect to the performance by the County of its obligations hereunder, shall be governed by, subject to, and construed according to the laws of the State.

Section 10. Limitation of Forum.

Any suit or other proceeding seeking redress with regard to any claimed failure by the County to perform its obligations under this Disclosure Agreement must be filed in the Circuit Court of Howard County, Maryland.

Section 11. Limitation on Remedies.

The County shall be given written notice at the address set forth below of any claimed failure by the County to perform its obligations under the Disclosure Agreement, and the County shall be given 15 days to remedy any such claimed failure. Any suit or other proceeding seeking further redress with regard to any such claimed failure by the County shall be limited to specific performance as the adequate and exclusive remedy available in connection with such action. Written notice to the County shall be given to the Director of Finance at 3540 Court House Drive, Ellicott City, Maryland 21043 or at such alternate address as shall be specified by the County with disclosures made pursuant to Section 4(a) or 4(b) hereof or a notice of occurrence of a Listed Event.

Section 12. Relationship to Bonds.

This Disclosure Agreement constitutes an undertaking by the County that is independent of the County's obligations with respect to the Bonds; any breach or default by the County under this Disclosure Agreement shall not constitute or give rise to a breach or default under the Bonds.

Section 13. Beneficiaries.

This Disclosure Agreement shall inure solely to the benefit of the beneficial owners from time to time of the Bonds, and shall create no rights in any other person or entity.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF this Continuing Disclosure Agreement is being executed on behalf of Howard County, Maryland by the Director of Finance, as of this _____ day of _____, 2011.

HOWARD COUNTY, MARYLAND

By:___

Sharon F. Greisz Director of Finance

Appendix D

Bond Amortization Tables

Date 8/15/2011 2/15/2012 8/15/2013 8/15/2013 2/15/2014 8/15/2014 2/15/2015	Principal Maturity \$ - 3,795,000 - 3,660,000	Interest Rate 5.000% 5.000% 5.000%	Semiannual Interest \$ 2,132,466 2,460,538	Semiannual Debt Service \$ 2,132,466	Annual Debt Service
8/15/2011 2/15/2012 8/15/2012 2/15/2013 8/15/2013 2/15/2014	\$ - 3,795,000	5.000% 5.000% 5.000%	\$ 2,132,466 2,460,538		Service
2/15/2012 8/15/2012 2/15/2013 8/15/2013 2/15/2014 8/15/2014	3,795,000	5.000% 5.000%	2,460,538	\$ 2,132,466	
8/15/2012 2/15/2013 8/15/2013 2/15/2014 8/15/2014	-	5.000%			
2/15/2013 8/15/2013 2/15/2014 8/15/2014	3,660,000			6,255,538	\$ 8,388,003
8/15/2013 2/15/2014 8/15/2014	3,660,000		2,365,663	2,365,663	
2/15/2014 8/15/2014		5.000%	2,365,663	6,025,663	8,391,325
8/15/2014	-	5.000%	2,274,163	2,274,163	
	3,840,000	5.000%	2,274,163	6,114,163	8,388,325
2/15/2015	-	5.000%	2,178,163	2,178,163	
	4,030,000	5.000%	2,178,163	6,208,163	8,386,325
8/15/2015	-	5.000%	2,077,413	2,077,413	
2/15/2016	4,235,000	5.000%	2,077,413	6,312,413	8,389,825
8/15/2016		5.000%	1,971,538	1,971,538	, - ,
2/15/2017	4,445,000	5.000%	1,971,538	6,416,538	8,388,075
8/15/2017	,,	5.000%	1,860,413	1,860,413	-,,
2/15/2018	4,670,000	5.000%	1,860,413	6,530,413	8,390,825
8/15/2018		5.000%	1,743,663	1,743,663	0,000,000
2/15/2019	4,900,000	5.000%	1,743,663	6,643,663	8,387,325
8/15/2019		4.000%	1,621,163	1,621,163	-,,
2/15/2020	5,145,000	4.000%	1,621,163	6,766,163	8,387,325
8/15/2020		4.000%	1,518,263	1,518,263	0,007,020
2/15/2020	5,350,000	4.000%	1,518,263	6,868,263	8,386,525
8/15/2021	5,550,000	4.000%	1,411,263	1,411,263	0,500,525
2/15/2022	5,565,000	4.000%	1,411,263	6,976,263	8,387,525
2/13/2022 8/15/2022	5,505,000	4.000%	1,299,963	1,299,963	8,387,323
2/15/2022	5,790,000	4.000%	1,299,903	7,089,963	8,389,925
2/15/2023 8/15/2023	3,790,000	4.000%	1,184,163	1,184,163	8,389,923
2/15/2023	6,020,000	4.000%	1,184,163	7,204,163	8,388,325
	0,020,000				0,300,323
8/15/2024	-	4.000%	1,063,763	1,063,763	0 207 575
2/15/2025	6,260,000	4.000%	1,063,763	7,323,763	8,387,525
8/15/2025	-	4.000%	938,563	938,563	0 207 125
2/15/2026	6,510,000	4.000%	938,563	7,448,563	8,387,125
8/15/2026	-	4.250%	808,363	808,363	0 204 725
2/15/2027	6,770,000	4.250%	808,363	7,578,363	8,386,725
8/15/2027	-	4.250%	664,500	664,500	0.000.000
2/15/2028	7,060,000	4.250%	664,500	7,724,500	8,389,000
8/15/2028	-	4.375%	514,475	514,475	0.000.050
2/15/2029	7,360,000	4.375%	514,475	7,874,475	8,388,950
8/15/2029	-	4.500%	353,475	353,475	
2/15/2030	7,680,000	4.500%	353,475	8,033,475	8,386,950
8/15/2030	-	4.500%	180,675	180,675	
2/15/2031	8,030,000	4.500%	180,675	8,210,675	8,391,350
OTAL	\$111,115,000		\$56,652,278	\$167,767,278	\$167,767,278

-	\$49,990,000 Metropolitan District Bonds, 2011 Series A						
	Principal	Interest	Semiannual	Semiannual	Annual Debt		
Date	Maturity	Rate	Interest	Debt Service	Service		
8/15/2011	\$ -	4.000%	\$ 957,214	\$ 957,214			
2/15/2012	1,010,000	4.000%	1,104,478	2,114,478	\$ 3,071,693		
8/15/2012	-	4.000%	1,084,278	1,084,278			
2/15/2013	900,000	4.000%	1,084,278	1,984,278	3,068,556		
8/15/2013	-	4.000%	1,066,278	1,066,278			
2/15/2014	935,000	4.000%	1,066,278	2,001,278	3,067,556		
8/15/2014	- -	4.000%	1,047,578	1,047,578			
2/15/2015	975,000	4.000%	1,047,578	2,022,578	3,070,156		
8/15/2015	-	4.000%	1,028,078	1,028,078	- , ,		
2/15/2016	1,015,000	4.000%	1,028,078	2,043,078	3,071,156		
8/15/2016		4.000%	1,007,778	1,007,778	-,		
2/15/2017	1,055,000	4.000%	1,007,778	2,062,778	3,070,556		
8/15/2017	-	4.000%	986,678	986,678	2,070,220		
2/15/2018	1,095,000	4.000%	986,678	2,081,678	3,068,356		
8/15/2018	1,095,000	4.000%	964,778	964,778	5,008,550		
2/15/2019	1,140,000	4.000%	964,778	2,104,778	3,069,556		
8/15/2019	1,140,000	4.000%	941,978	941,978	3,009,330		
	-			,	2 068 056		
2/15/2020	1,185,000	4.000% 4.000%	941,978	2,126,978	3,068,956		
8/15/2020	-		918,278	918,278	2 071 556		
2/15/2021	1,235,000	4.000%	918,278	2,153,278	3,071,556		
8/15/2021	-	4.000%	893,578	893,578	2017 151		
2/15/2022	1,280,000	4.000%	893,578	2,173,578	3,067,156		
8/15/2022	-	4.000%	867,978	867,978			
2/15/2023	1,335,000	4.000%	867,978	2,202,978	3,070,956		
8/15/2023	-	4.000%	841,278	841,278			
2/15/2024	1,385,000	4.000%	841,278	2,226,278	3,067,556		
8/15/2024	-	4.000%	813,578	813,578			
2/15/2025	1,440,000	4.000%	813,578	2,253,578	3,067,156		
8/15/2025	-	4.125%	784,778	784,778			
2/15/2026	1,500,000	4.125%	784,778	2,284,778	3,069,556		
8/15/2026	-	4.250%	753,841	753,841			
2/15/2027	1,560,000	4.250%	753,841	2,313,841	3,067,681		
8/15/2027	-	4.250%	720,691	720,691			
2/15/2028	1,630,000	4.250%	720,691	2,350,691	3,071,381		
8/15/2028	-	4.375%	686,053	686,053			
2/15/2029	1,695,000	4.375%	686,053	2,381,053	3,067,106		
8/15/2029	-	4.500%	648,975	648,975			
2/15/2030	1,770,000	4.500%	648,975	2,418,975	3,067,950		
8/15/2030	-	4.500%	609,150	609,150			
2/15/2031	1,850,000	4.500%	609,150	2,459,150	3,068,300		
8/15/2031	-	4.625%	567,525	567,525			
2/15/2032	1,935,000	4.625%	567,525	2,502,525	3,070,050		
8/15/2032	-	4.625%	522,778	522,778			
2/15/2033	2,025,000	4.625%	522,778	2,547,778	3,070,556		
8/15/2033	-	4.750%	475,950	475,950	, ,		
2/15/2034	2,115,000	4.750%	475,950	2,590,950	3,066,900		
8/15/2034	-	4.750%	425,719	425,719	, ,		
2/15/2035	2,220,000	4.750%	425,719	2,645,719	3,071,438		
8/15/2035		4.750%	372,994	372,994	.,,		
2/15/2036	2,325,000	4.750%	372,994	2,697,994	3,070,988		
8/15/2036	,=,000	4.750%	317,775	317,775	-,,-00		
2/15/2037	2,435,000	4.750%	317,775	2,752,775	3,070,550		
8/15/2037	_, 155,000	4.750%	259,944	259,944	5,070,550		
2/15/2038	2,550,000	4.750%	259,944	2,809,944	3,069,888		
8/15/2038	2,550,000	4.750%	199,381	199,381	5,007,000		
8/15/2038 2/15/2039	- 2,670,000	4.750% 4.750%	199,381	2,869,381	3,068,763		
2/15/2039 8/15/2039	2,070,000				3,000,703		
	2 705 000	4.750%	135,969	135,969	2 066 020		
2/15/2040	2,795,000	4.750%	135,969	2,930,969	3,066,938		
8/15/2040	-	4.750%	69,588	69,588	2 060 175		
2/15/2041	2,930,000	4.750%	69,588	2,999,588	3,069,175		
TOTAL	\$49,990,000		\$42,088,143	\$92,078,143	\$92,078,143		
IUIAL	φ -1 2,220,000		φ = 2,000,143	φ 74,070,14 3	φ7 2, 070,143		

[THIS PAGE LEFT INTENTIONALLY BLANK]

Official Statement

\$111,115,000 Consolidated Public Improvement Bonds, 2011 Series A \$49,990,000 Metropolitan District Bonds, 2011 Series A

