2016

Case Study: Ellicott City Flood Event



Case Study-2016 Ellicott City Flood Event Smith Planning and Design, LLC 4/15/2017

Section 1: Overview

1.1 Purpose

Historic downtown Ellicott City and some surrounding areas experienced a devastating flood event on July 30, 2016 that affected nearly every resident, property owner, business owner, tenant, and visitor present during the flood event. Torrential precipitation occurred on the evening of Saturday, July 30, 2016 resulting in a flash flood scenario that caused significant damage. The purpose of this Case Study is to collect information and documentation specific to properties that were impacted by the flood waters along the Tiber-Hudson Branch in historic downtown Ellicott City. Additionally, this Case Study was prepared to provide a descriptive narrative of the flood occurrences based on first-hand witness accounts.

Information/Documentation presented within the Case Study includes:

- Section 1: Timeline

Provides a sequence of events during the flood incident;

- Section 2: Interviews

Includes Property Owner and/or Occupants mapping of properties in the study area that experienced flood damage during the July 30 flood, including property owner contact information and a description of the extent and value of the damage, as available;

- Section 3: Results

Includes approximate source of the flood waters, such as, stream overflowing its banks, backup of flood water behind a culvert or other channel restriction, street flooding, etc.

- Appendix A: Flood Event Log
- Appendix B: ArcGIS Map Exhibits & Attribute Table
- Appendix C: Description of Damages and/or Flood Conditions
- Appendix D: Repository

1.2 July 30, 2016 Flood Event

On Saturday, July 30, 2016, torrential rains passed through Howard County, Maryland resulting in severe flash flooding through historic downtown Ellicott City. The National Weather Service (NWS) reported that 5.96 inches of rainfall occurred within a two hour period. According to the Ellicott City rain gauge (ELYM2), 5.48 inches of that rainfall occurred in the first 90 minutes or less. The total rainfall for the storm event recorded by the rain gauge was 6.60 inches. Due to the city's proximity to waterways, this historic flood event caused extensive property and infrastructure damage, significant erosion, and loss of life.

1.3 Data Collection

In order to obtain the necessary data needed to complete this Case Study, property owner (residential and commercial) interviews were conducted. A total of fifty two (52) interviews were conducted, a majority of which, were in-person. Data collection occurred during November and December of 2016.

Additional sources of data collected included photographs and video taken during the flood event, as well as, various incident reports and files collected by County staff.



Image Source Page: http://www.weather.gov/lwx/EllicottCityFlood2016

Section 2: Timeline

2.1 Data Gathering

During the course of the flood event and the weeks following, various sources of information regarding the flood event were collected and cataloged in Appendix D: Repository. Types of information included:

- WebEOC Log data;
- Briefing Reports;
- Stream Gage data;
- Pictures; and,
- Video Footage.

In order to provide an overview of the flood event, a timeline was developed. In addition to the timeline, a flood event log containing more detailed information has been included in Appendix A.



6:45 p.m. Rain begins to fall in Ellicott City.

7:18 p.m. The National Weather Service (NWS) issues a flash flood warning in Howard County, southern Baltimore County, and Baltimore City.

7:45- 8:00 p.m. Heavy precipitation moves into Ellicott City. According to the Ellicott City (ELYM2) rain gauge, 4.56 inches of rain falls within the next hour and a total of 6.60 inches of rain falls within 2 hours.

8:01 p.m. The first reports of flooding near Ellicott City occur near the Chatham area west of downtown Ellicott City.

8:05 p.m. Reports come in that flow within the Hudson Branch has overflowed its banks near the intersection with Rogers Avenue. Farther downstream, flood flow jumps the channel near the Ellicott Mills Brewing Company and water begins to flow down Main Street. Tiber creek jumps its channel and floods Lot D where many vehicles are located.

8:15 p.m. The Patapsco River continues to rise as tributaries within the Patapsco River drainage area convey runoff to the river. According to the U.S. Geologic Survey (USGS) Hollowfield gage, the Patapsco River rises 6 feet in an hour. At its peak, the gage records a flow volume of over 28,500 cubic feet per second.

8:30 p.m. Flooding continues down Main Street impacting properties in the 8100 through 8300 address zones. Vehicles are swept into the channel and down Main Street; some cars carry passengers and pedestrians are also swept into the water. In some locations, flood water is being conveyed to Main Street through buildings. A stormwater management pond near Burgess Mills experiences dam failure resulting in a surge of water down Ellicott Mills Drive. Diners, shoppers, business owners, and residents begin to evacuate to higher floors within buildings or to higher ground if possible.

8:40- 8:45 p.m. In a five-minute period, the Patapsco River rises over two feet. By 9:00 p.m. the Patapsco River rises over 13 feet in 100 minutes.

8:45 p.m. The National Weather Service receives significant reports of flooding. Although precipitation begins to taper, flooding continues down Main Street and within the channel.

8:55 p.m. A woman trapped in a car being carried down Main Street is rescued via a human chain.

9:00 p.m. Flash flooding begins to recede on Main Street; however, the Patapsco River crests, rising a total of 14 feet in just an hour and half. Stores closest to the Patapsco register 6 to 8 feet of water.

11:59 p.m. County Executive Kittleman calls for a State of Emergency.

Sunday July 31

Governor Larry Hogan visits Main Street and issues the official State of Emergency.

Section 3: Interviews

3.1 Data Gathering

The first step in the process of gathering individual property information specific to the flood event was the development of an interview form, as shown on Figure 2. S&S Planning and Design staff went door-to-door throughout the Study Area conducting in-person interviews. For those properties that were not captured during the field interview process, email and phone interviews were conducted. The Study Area is approximately 2.1 miles in length, beginning at the Tiber-Hudson Branch confluence with the Patapsco River upstream approximately to the overpass of U.S. Route 29.

3.2 Data Compilation

The second step in the process included compiling the data into a usable format. In order to compile the data, property information gathered during the interview process was entered into ArcGIS and Excel software and plotted on various maps, found in Appendix B. Figure 3 is an example of the mapping product as seen utilizing ArcGIS software.

	FIGURE 2
5 & 5 Flamming and Design, LLC	S&S Planning and Design Howard County Case Study 76 Baltimore Street 2016 Ellicott City Cumberland, MD 21502 2016 Ellicott City 301-724-7611 Flood Event
Residential/C	ommercial Property Owner Interview Form
Please take a mo event specific to	ment to provide S&S Planning and Design information regarding the July 30, 2016 flood your property.
Residential Property Owner Name Business Name (if applicable)	
Address	
Phone	
Email	
Rental Unit:	Van ONa # of units:
Basement:	Yes No Finished Unfinished
Basement: C	Yes No Finished Unfinished
Basement: Structural Floo Flooding Type: Parameter	Yes No Finished Unfinished
Basement: C Structural Floc Flooding Type: Baseme First Flo	Pres No Finished Unfinished
Basement: C Structural Floo Flooding Type: Baseme First Flo Flood Elevation (Yes No Finished Unfinished
Basement: Contract Structural Flood Flooding Type: Baseme First Floo Flood Elevation (Pres No Finished Unfinished
Basement: C Structural Floc Flooding Type: Baseme First Flo Flood Elevation (Do you plan on p	Yes No Finished Unfinished oding Flood Insurance: Yes ent Yes No oor No Estimated Damage or Claim Amount: urchasing flood insurance in the future: Yes No
Basement: C Structural Floc Flooding Type: Baseme First Fic Flood Elevation (Do you plan on p	Yes No Finished Unfinished oding Flood Insurance: ent Yes No or Similar Yes if known): Estimated Damage or Claim Amount: urchasing flood insurance in the future: Yes Yes No
Basement: Structural Floc Flooding Type: Baseme First Flo Flood Elevation (Do you plan on p Description of	Yes No Finished Unfinished oding Flood Insurance: Yes ent Yes No if known): Estimated Damage or Claim Amount: urchasing flood insurance in the future: Yes Problem/Damage
Basement: Structural Floc Flooding Type: Baseme First Floc Flood Elevation (Do you plan on p Description of	Yes No Finished Unfinished oding Flood Insurance: Yes ent Yes No ff known): Estimated Damage or Claim Amount: urchasing flood insurance in the future: Yes Problem/Damage
Basement: Structural Floc Flooding Type: Baseme First Fic Flood Elevation (Do you plan on p Description of	Yes No Finished Unfinished oding Flood Insurance: ent Yes No or Sor No if known): Estimated Damage or Claim Amount: urchasing flood insurance in the future: Yes Problem/Damage No
Basement: Structural Floc Flooding Type: Baseme First Flo Flood Elevation (Do you plan on p Description of	Yes No Finished Unfinished oding Flood Insurance: Yes ent Yes No or No Estimated Damage or Claim Amount:
Basement: Structural Floc Flooding Type: Baseme First Floc Flood Elevation (Do you plan on p Description of	Yes No Finished Unfinished oding Flood Insurance: Yes ent Yes No if known): Estimated Damage or Claim Amount: urchasing flood insurance in the future: Yes Problem/Damage
Basement: Structural Floc Flooding Type: Baseme First Floc Flood Elevation (Do you plan on p Description of	Yes No Finished Outnins.
Basement: Structural Floc Flooding Type: Baseme First Fic Flood Elevation (Do you plan on p Description of	Yes No Finished Unfinished pding Flood Insurance: Yes ent Yes No if known): Estimated Damage or Claim Amount: urchasing flood insurance in the future: Yes Problem/Damage
Basement: Structural Floc Flooding Type: Baseme First Fic Flood Elevation (Do you plan on p Description of	Yes No Finished Unfinished vding Flood Insurance: Yes oor Yes No if known): Estimated Damage or Claim Amount: urchasing flood insurance in the future: Yes Problem/Damage
Basement: Structural Floc Flooding Type: Baseme First Fic Flood Elevation (Do you plan on p Description of	Yes No Finished Outnins. Yes or Yes fr No if known): Estimated Damage or Claim Amount: urchasing flood insurance in the future: Yes Problem/Damage No

Each data point, shown as yellow dots on the map in Figure 3, has an associated identifier, and may be accessed in ArcGIS as a clickable point. Data captured on the interview form has been incorporated into ArcGIS and appears in a data box for each property associated with an identifier.



3.3 Results

Information extracted from the Interview Forms is compiled and presented in Table 1.

TABLE 1

Total # of Prope	rties Interviewed	Total # of Prop by Floo	erties Impacted d Event	Total # of Properties Reporting Monetary Flood Damage					
5	2	**!	36						
Residential	Commercial	Residential	Commercial	Residential Commerc			nercial		
19	33	18	32	1	8	3	32		
		Note: To provide additio the flood's financia estimated that this resulted in \$10.8 m infrastructure dam approximately \$67 economic loss for described in the J Institute report con November 2016.	nal perspective on al impact, it is flood event million in public nage and 7.2 million in Ellicott City, as acob France mpleted in	Owner Occupied 5 Flood Insurance Yes No *Total D	Renter Occupied 13 Flood Insurance Yes No Damages R	Owner Occupied 13 Flood Insurance Yes No eported: \$	Renter Occupied 19 Flood Insurance Yes No 3,431,840		

*Notes: Total damages reported is estimated based on the responses received from the survey. Some property owners and/or tenants could not provide an accurate estimate at the time of the survey.

**The total number of properties impacted by the flood event was much greater. This number represents the number of properties impacted that were interviewed or surveyed.



Many of the properties impacted by the flood event are renter occupied. Note: the loss of rental income experienced by property owners was not calculated in the *Total Damages Reported* in Table 1. Additionally, many property owners and/or tenants did not know the full monetary value of their losses.

Many property owners interviewed within the study area do not participate in the National Flood Insurance Program. Many of those interviewed indicated that since they were not located within the FEMA Mapped 100year Floodplain, they were not eligible to purchase flood insurance. This is a common myth that may be debunked with a public information campaign regarding the purchase of flood insurance.

Narrative Descriptions of Flood Flow by Address Zone

Information extracted from the *Description of Property Damages* Interview Form, as well as interviewer notes acquired during property owner interviews are compiled in narrative format and mapped to illustrate the approximate flow of flood waters by address zones.

8800 Address Zone

The 8800 Address Zone, for the purposes of this study, is described as the linear area with the upper limit at the intersection of Frederick and Toll House Roads, and the lower limit as the structure located at 8799 Frederick Road. Only one (1) residential structure was impacted by flooding within the 8800 Address Zone. Witnesses at this residence described three (3) rivers of water converging in their backyard. Two "rivers" of water were coming down the powerline right-of-way across the creek from their residence. It is very likely that one flow feature (river 1) was being conveyed down the steep hill of the powerline right-of-way while the other flow feature (river 2) was coming from the Toll House Road corridor. An existing topographic depression is present that would allow flow to divert from Toll House Road and be conveyed down the right-of-way. The resident also indicated that channel flow (river 3) was elevated significantly as well from the contributing drainage area upstream of U.S. Route 29. Flood water damaged the foundation of the home and was the highest flood elevation they have witnessed. The flood waters were then backed up by the Frederick Road Bridge due to conveyance capacity and debris jams, resulting in the water breaching the road crest and bridge and sending flood flow down Frederick Road. Some flood water redirected from Frederick Road back towards the channel and floodplain, resulting in road embankment washouts along the north side of Frederick Road. Due to the channel and floodplain being completely inundated with flood flow, Frederick Road also served as a flood conveyance leading into the 8700 Address Zone.

8700 Address Zone

The 8700 Address Zone is described as the linear area with the upper limit at approximately 8799 Frederick Road to the intersection of Frederick Road and Rogers Avenue. Nearly all of the structures within the 8700 Address Zone were impacted by the flood due to flood flow that escaped the channel upstream of this zone and continued to utilize Frederick Road as a flood conveyance. All of the structures within this zone are located on the south side of Frederick Road. Flood waters jumped the crossing at the Frederick Road Bridge No. 1 as indicated on the 8700 Address Zone Map. Debris accumulation at this location may have occurred, thereby resulting in or exacerbating the flood waters leaving the channel and flowing east along Frederick Road. With the channel and floodplain located to the south of the road, some flood flow attempted to migrate toward the actual floodplain and creek channel, however, witnesses reported that although the entire valley was under water, Frederick Road was the shallow section. Additionally, witnesses indicated that a large quantity of water was being conveyed down Rogers Avenue. One resident described a very large sycamore tree (2-3' DBH) that had been deposited within the floodplain of this zone, near his structure at 8777 Frederick Road.

8600 Address Zone

The 8600 Address Zone is described with the upper limit at the Frederick Road and Rogers Avenue intersection and the lower limit at the upper end of the West End Service facility. The majority of the structures within the 8600 Address Zone experienced flooding; however, several structures escaped flood damage. Some observers opinioned that the concrete channel section located immediately across from the Rogers Avenue intersection, along with the two bridges (1 foot; 1 vehicular) resulted in flood flow being

directed towards Frederick Road. The basis of these opinions was due to the channel size and the height of the lowest bridge chord relative to the channel. Additionally, the volume of water generated by the Rogers Avenue corridor was reiterated by several observers.

The combined flows from the creek channel/floodplain, Frederick Road, and Rogers Avenue, in conjunction with the low, flat topography of the area, created a large area for floodwater to accumulate. Immediately downstream of the intersection, the topography constricts the valley again and the gradient gets steeper. The valley section within this reach is relatively confined. With the quantities of water described from both the Frederick Road and Rogers Avenue corridors, and the geomorphic valley condition, it is not surprising that flood flow through this section extended from valley wall to valley wall, had a higher concentrated depth, and increased velocity, creating a chute of deep, fast moving water. At approximately the middle of this zone, it was reported that the flow depth over the road was estimated at 3 feet. Due to the flood water elevations through this reach, structures located between the creek channel and the road experienced flooding from both sides and included basement and first floor flooding. The rears of many of these structures terminate at the stacked stone flood wall along the creek, with some structures overhanging the creek, or completely bridging the creek to the far bank. The stacked stone flood wall failed in several areas through this zone.

This address zone extends downstream to just beyond the inlet of the large culvert that conveys flow under Frederick Road and several commercial properties. Witnesses reported that floodwaters were overtopping the culvert inlet and continuing down Frederick Road. Debris accumulation or blockage at this culvert inlet may have exacerbated the flood waters overtopping the culvert headwall and continuing down Frederick Road; however, it is also possible that the sheer volume of water generated by the flood event was significantly greater than the hydraulic conveyance designed for the culvert inlet.

The valley begins to widen at the structure located at 8624 Frederick Road, just upstream of the West End Service facility. Witnesses stated that a hydraulic vortex (whirlpool) occurred just upstream of the facility, generating enough force to rip up and transport macadam asphalt chunks downstream into the West End Service parking lot. This occurrence will be further discussed in the 8500 Address Zone section that follows.

8500 Address Zone

The 8500 Address Zone is defined at the upper limit as the West End Service facility and the lower limit at approximately 8500 Frederick Road. The majority of the structures within this address zone were affected by the flood. Flooding within the 8500 Address Zone was a combination of both flood waters from the creek and roadway. As previously described, witnesses reported significant flood flow down Frederick Road; at one location the water depth on the road was reported to be approximately 3.5 to 4 feet deep. As the valley opens up and widens at this location, flood waters spilled into the West End facility and continued along Frederick Road. The previously mentioned macadam asphalt debris generated by the vortex settled and blocked an at-grade inlet drain that drains the parking lot into the large culvert beneath the facility, thereby exacerbating the flooding through this reach. The property owners utilized heavy equipment to remove the drain impediment. They described a whirlpool effect as the water was drained and pulled into the underlying culvert that runs beneath the property.

As the flood flow continued downstream, a slight rise in the roadway elevation resulted in some of the flow along Frederick Road to be directed back towards the creek and floodplain, albeit having to flow around several structures in the process. Several rock retaining walls were destroyed in the process. Another hydraulic vortex occurred immediately behind the structure at 8580 Frederick Road and excavated enough material that witnesses described it as a sink hole.

In the lower reaches of this address zone, another large culvert conveys flow between and under two structures. The channel approaching the culvert inlet is armored with gabions in a trapezoidal shape. A preponderance of Japanese Knotweed is located along both banks. Within the floodplain adjacent and upstream of this culvert, a berm has been installed. The presence and orientation of this berm has the capacity to redirect flood flow back onto Frederick Road, thereby preventing flow from returning to the channel.

8400 Address Zone

The 8400 Address Zone is described with the upper limit at approximately 8500 Frederick Road and the lower limit at approximately the Frederick Road and Ellicott Mills Drive intersection. Flooding within the 8400 zone occurred from both Frederick Road and the creek/floodplain conveyance. The channel and floodplain through the upper section of this address zone is located to the north of the majority of structures within this reach. Therefore, these structures experienced some basement flooding from the channel side of their property. Flood flow was still being conveyed down Frederick Road and resulted in some first floor flooding. Several witnesses reported a large amount of water flow coming down the hill from the south in the approximate area of 8435 Frederick Road. It was described as "two large waterfalls coming off the hill and crashing into Frederick Road." These same witnesses described how the basement and first floor of their structure were filled will floodwater from both the front and rear of the structure in a matter of minutes, with them retreating to the upper levels.

A large culvert inlet is located just upstream of Ellicott Mills Drive and conveys flow to an outfall located just below Parking Lot F. the culvert inlet is located at the downstream end of a particularly steep and narrow valley section, with several cascading rock waterfalls leading down to the inlet invert. Witnesses stated that at least one car blocked the inlet of this culvert during the flood, contributing to its inability to convey the flood waters. Another swirling eddy-type vortex was created, resulting in severe erosion behind the headwall of the culvert, although it did not appear to structurally impact the headwall. However, sufficient backwater was generated to overtop Ellicott Mills Drive and continue the flooding down Main Street. Additionally, witnesses described significant flood waters coming from both Ellicott Mills Drive and Fels Lane, both of which flooded Parking Lot F, inundating and stranding cars within the parking lot. One account described a man at the intersection of Ellicott Mills Drive and Fels Lane having to climb a tree to avoid being swept away by a "wall of water".

8300 Address Zone

The 8300 Address Zone is delineated in the upper portion by the Ellicott Mills Drive and Main Street intersection to approximately the Main Street and Church Road intersection. The 8300 Address Zone demarcates the beginning of the historic downtown Ellicott City section and consists predominantly of commercial properties on the first floor levels, with some residential apartments located in upper floors. Some properties are owner occupied, however many are tenant occupied. Based on the interviews conducted, nearly every property was impacted by the flood event, ranging from mild to severe.

At upper end of the zone, the stream outfalls from a large, approximately 400 foot-long culvert that conveys flow under Ellicott Mills Road and Parking Lot F. As previously described, this culvert failed, resulting in flood waters overtopping Ellicott Mills Drive and sending flood water down Main Street and into Parking Lot F. The flood water that did not get conveyed by Main Street inundated the structures north of Main Street and west of Court Avenue as the water attempted to get back into the channel. Both basement and first floor flooding were prominent. At Court Avenue, the stream channel enters into a reach with stacked stone flood walls along both banks. This condition is, for the most part, standard practice for the remaining downstream sections, with some single-bank exceptions.

Downstream of the Court Avenue crossing and immediately south of Parking Lot E, the channel is forced into a ninety degree turn in order to be conveyed under Main Street and several buildings via a large rectangular culvert that outfalls at the upper end of Parking Lot D. Although the hydraulic conveyance of this culvert appears large, a constriction in the form of an archway is located approximately under Main Street that greatly reduces flow capacity. This archway is likely the original historic bridge structure for Main Street. Witnesses reported that both a car and a dumpster were in the channel during the flood just upstream of this location. It was not clear whether either impediment reached the archway or not. Regardless, this location functioned as an additional flood "bottleneck", with flood water backing up sufficient to spill out to Main Street to join the flood flow already directed down Main. Additionally, an erosive eddy or maelstrom was created that scoured material and damaged the structural integrity of the adjacent buildings. Flood water flooded the basement of a local pub with sufficient force to blow outward one of the basement windows to add additional flood flow to Main Street.

Flood flow continued downstream through Parking Lot D, completely removing a foot bridge from its foundations, and flooding adjacent buildings.

8200 to 8000 Address Zones

Address Zones 8200 to 8000 are combined within this narrative. The upper reach of this zone is defined as the region approximately between Church Road and Old Columbia Pike intersections with Main Street. At this point, the stream valley again becomes topographically constricted with steep side valley slopes and the overall gradient of the valley steepens. Additionally, the Tiber River confluences with the Hudson Branch at approximately the 8150 Main Street address at the rear of the buildings. From this confluence downstream, the channel is predominantly bridged over with buildings and roads with stone flood walls on each side of the channel. Nearly all the structures within these zones experienced flood damage, ranging from minor to catastrophic.

The flood flow conveyed by Main Street was described by witnesses as a wall of water several feet deep moving rapidly. Cars and other debris were mobilized. The combination of water and debris smashed several storefronts. Entryways and at-grade windows failed due to the force of the water. The erosional force of the flood flow was powerful enough to rip a channel through the pavement along the southern side of Main Street, damaging utilities, sidewalks, and building facades.

The flood flow was severe within the channel behind and under the buildings as well. Nearly every structure along the south side of Main Street experienced severe basement flooding. Several of the rock flood walls through these sections drastically failed. Several of the buildings that bridge the channel experienced severe structural damage; the first floors of several buildings were completely gutted by the flood waters, leaving only debris and steel beams.

Several accounts described the flood through this zone as several "waves" or "walls" of water coming down Main Street. One property owner in the 8000 zone indicated that the Main Street flooding preceded the channel flooding, with flood waters smashing through the front of the building prior to the basement level getting flooded by the channel under the building. Many of the buildings in this downtown section were boarded up and closed; many of the business owners interviewed during the study were unsure if those businesses were planning to rebuild. However, in the months following the flood, many of the businesses had rebuilt and reopened, or were still in the process of rebuilding.

















3.4 Conclusions

The July 30, 2016 flood in Ellicott City was a catastrophe in terms of loss of life and property/infrastructure damage. This was a record flood that devastated businesses, property owners, and residents. Information obtained during the data collection, compilation, and analysis of the Case Study data indicate that flood mitigation activities and projects should be undertaken. Public outreach activities regarding flood insurance and flood proofing are highly recommended.

Examples of flood mitigation could include flood proofing exterior building facades along Main Street. Flood proofing actions could include installing stronger watertight windows and doors, and elevating thresholds. One business owner described how the heavy double doors to his business were able to withstand the force of the flood waters. Building utilities should be elevated where possible to rooftops or upper floors. Hard surface building materials could be utilized for floors and first floor walls to reduce building-to-building flood conveyance due to blown out walls, and to reduce the potential for black mold growth post flood.

Many property owners had flood insurance; however, many did not if it was not required. Some property owners described attempting to buy third party flood insurance and were subsequently denied coverage. Many of the properties were occupied by tenants and were not eligible for flood insurance; furthermore, many tenants with renter's insurance stated that their contents were not covered by the insurance based on the losses due to flooding.

Based on pictures, videos, and first-hand accounts, much of the damage incurred to properties along Main Street was due to vehicles mobilized by the flood waters. Therefore, preventative actions or alternatives should be evaluated and considered to minimize the potential for this type of damage to recur, at least until other mitigating actions or projects are completed that may reduce the possibility of Main Street becoming a significant floodway.

The Stream Corridor Assessment (SCA) completed in conjunction with this Case Study, details specific locations within the study area that are problematic and contribute to flooding. Problems such as: blockages resulting in impediment to flow within the stream channel, deteriorated flood walls, various sized culverts within the same stream reach, and overall maintenance issues. Recommendations regarding flood mitigation activities related to the stream are detailed within the SCA.

APPENDIX A: FLOOD EVENT LOG

6:45 p.m. Rain begins to fall in Ellicott City.

7:18 p.m. The National Weather Service (NWS) issues a flash flood warning in Howard County, southern Baltimore County, and Baltimore City.

7:45- 8:00 p.m. Heavy precipitation moves into Ellicott City. According to the Ellicott City (ELYM2) rain gauge, 4.56 inches of rain falls within the next hour and a total of 6.60 inches of rain falls within 2 hours.

8:01 p.m. The first reports of flooding near Ellicott City occur near the Chatham area west of downtown Ellicott City.

8:05 p.m. Reports come in that flow within the Hudson Branch overflowed its banks near the intersection with Rogers Avenue. Farther downstream, flood flow jumps the channel near the Ellicott Mills Brewing Company and water begins to flow down Main Street. Tiber creek jumps its channel and floods Lot D where many vehicles are located.

8:15 p.m. The Patapsco River continues to rise as tributaries within the Patapsco River drainage area convey runoff to the river. According to the U.S. Geologic Survey (USGS) Hollowfield gage, the Patapsco River rises 6 feet in an hour. At its peak, the gage recorded a flow volume of over 28,500 cubic feet per second.

8:30 p.m. Flooding continues down Main Street impacting properties in the 8100 through 8300 address zones. Vehicles are swept into the channel and down Main Street; some cars carry passengers and pedestrians are also swept into the water. In some locations, flood water is being conveyed to Main Street through buildings. A stormwater management pond near Burgess Mills experiences dam failure resulting in a surge of water down Ellicott Mills Drive. Diners, shoppers, business owners, and residents begin to evacuate to higher floors within buildings or to higher ground if possible.

8:40- 8:45 p.m. In a five-minute period, the Patapsco River rises over two feet. By 9:00 p.m. the Patapsco River will have risen over 13 feet in 100 minutes.

8:45 p.m. The National Weather Service receives significant reports of flooding. Although precipitation begins to taper, flooding continues down Main Street and within the channel.

8:55 p.m. A woman trapped in a car being carried down Main Street is rescued via a human chain.

9:00 p.m. Flash flooding begins to recede on Main Street; however, the Patapsco River crests, rising a total of 14 feet in just an hour and half. Stores closest to the Patapsco register 6 to 8 feet of water.

11:59 p.m. County Executive Kittleman calls for a State of Emergency.

Sunday July 31

Governor Larry Hogan visits Main Street and issues the official State of Emergency.

APPENDIX B: ArcGIS & ATTRIBUTE TABLE

	Impacted Properties Attributes Table											
ADDRESSNO	PREMSNAM	PREMSTYP	Resid_Comm	Rental	Basement	Base_F_U	FloodType	FloodElev	Est_Damage	Pre_Insur	Post_Insur	Descript
												Two properties with this identifier experienced 1-2" of
8388	COURT	AVE	Commercial	Yes	-	-	1st Floor	2"	1000.00	-	-	flooding due to their location and elevation.
2615	5516		Desidential	N.c.	Vez				0.00	Ne	Ne	Property experienced minimal flooding. Reports described a wall of water coming down street resulting in one resident climbing a tree to escape. Two smaller tributories to Tiber Hudgen constituted to flooding
3615	FELS	LANE	Residential	NO	Yes	Unfinished	-	0	0.00	NO	No	tributaries to Tiber-Hudson contributed to flooding.
8444	FREDERICK	RD	Residential	Yes	Yes	Finished	Basement	7'	-	-	-	flooding, primarily from channel, however, reports of significant water coming from the opposite hillside were reported at this location. Witnesses reported water coming from all sides. A basement door was torn in half at this property.
8512	FREDERICK	RD	Residential	No	Yes	Finished	Basement/1st	F-	60000.00	Yes	-	Property experienced extensive flooding to first floor and flood walls from both channel and street flooding.
8637	FREDERICK	RD	Residential	Yes	No	N/A	Crawl Space/1	s -	75000.00	No	Yes	Property experienced first floor flooding; water was approximately 54" deep in front of the property, resulting in approximately 20" of water inside structure. Damage to flooring, drywall, insulation, appliances, heat systems, parking lot, and 3 vehicles.
												Property experienced basement flooding. Witness
8879	EREDERICK	RD	Residential	No	No	Ν/Δ	Crawl Space		5000.00	Ves	Ves	powerline
	THEDENICK		Residentia						5000.00	103		Property experienced more than 5' of first floor flooding; the façade of the building was completely damaged by a
8044	MAIN	51	Commercial	No	No	N/A	1st Floor	5	32000.00	-	-	Troating vehicle down Main Street. Property experienced flooding from basement from channel and street flooding. An interior wall failed from the adjoining next door neighbor, bringing lots of mud and debring. The initial flooding to the business upon from
POEE	MAIN	ст	Commorcial	No	Voc	Unfinished	Pacamont/1ct			No	No	the street
8033		51	commercial	NO	Tes	ommisneu	basement/1st	ц- 			NO	Property experienced first floor flooding. All utilities were
8080	MAIN	ST	Commercial	Yes	Yes	Finished	1st Floor	3"	65000.00	Yes	-	impacted. First floor had to be stripped down to the studs.
8086	MAIN	ST	Commercial	-	-	-	1st Floor	6'	-	-	-	Property experienced approximately 6' of flooding.
8090	MAIN	ST	Commercial	Yes	No	N/A	1st Floor	52"	82000.00	Yes	-	Property experienced first floor flooding, approximately 52". Much of the contents were damaged.
8095	MAIN	ST	Commercial	Yes	-	-	1st Floor	5'	-	-	-	Property experienced approximately 5 of first floor flooding predominantly from the street flooding; flood waters broke through the front door.
8125	MAIN	ST	Commercial	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	Property was extensively damaged by street flooding and channel flooding. Building was extensively structurally damaged.

ADDRESSNO	PREMSNAM	PREMSTYP	Resid_Comm	Rental	Basement	Base_F_U	FloodType	FloodElev	Est_Damage	Pre_Insur	Post_Insur	Descript
												Flood water damaged stone walls in front of two patios,
												stone steps, sidewalk in front of building, and stonework
												along bottom of building. Water ran into building off the
												hill behind building. Several inches of flood water in first
8134	MAIN	ST	Commercial	Yes	No	Unfinished	1st Floor	6"	14000.00	No	No	floor.
												Property experienced approximately 1' basement
												flooding and 2' first floor flooding that came into building
8143	MAIN	ST	Commercial	Yes	Yes	Unfinished	Basement/1st	1-2'	-	-	-	from both front and back.
												Property experienced flood damage to exterior features.
0150		CT.	Commencial	Vee					2000.00	No.		atreat level
8150	MAIN	51	Commercial	res	NO	N/A	Exterior Dama	8-	3000.00	res	-	Dreparty experienced enprovimetaly 1" of first floor
												flooding: street debris and sediment prevented flood
												water from entering front doors: floors were damaged
8180	MAIN	ST	Commercial	Yes	No	N/A	-	1"	3000.00	No	Yes	and had to be refinished
								-				
												Property experienced basement flooding to within 1" of
												first floor. Flood water broke through windows in back of
8181	MAIN	ST	Commercial	Yes	Yes	Finished	Basement/1st	F1"	-	Yes	-	building, a sheetrock wall, and through the front door.
												Property experienced some flooding from the hillside
8186	MAIN	ST	Commercial	Yes	Yes	-	Basement	-	-	No	-	behind building.
												Flood water rose to floor joists of the first floor,
												approximately 14'. Electrical, boiler, hot water heater,
8191	MAIN	ST	Commercial	Yes	Yes	Unfinished	Basement	1.5"	-	No	No	etc., all systems damaged. Basement had to be gutted.
												Descent a second states in flooding. Otherst flooding
												Property experienced extensive flooding. Street flooding
												Water damage to upper floor upits Exterior brick walls
8202	MAIN	ст	Commorcial	Voc	Voc	Unfinished	Pacamont/Ero	nt Dorch	28000 00	No	Voc	damaged as well as sink hole in back vard
8202	MAIN	ST	Commercial	No	-	onninsneu	Basement/FIU		28000.00	-	-	Property experienced flooding
0210		51	commercial	NO	-	-	-	-				Flood water came into back of building at second floor
												and then entered first floor. Much of the sheetrock and
8290	MAIN	ST	Commercial	Yes	No	N/A	1st Floor	-	-	Yes	-	ceilings had to be replaced.
		-				,						This basement is connected with 8334, therefore both
												structures experienced extensive flooding.
												Approximately 8-9 feet of water was in the basement
8307	MAIN	ST	Commercial	Yes	No	N/A	1st Floor	5'	-	Yes	-	and rose to the first floor.
												Property was flooded due to water backup at a Main
												Street conveyance. A conduit between the buildings
												resulted in second building basement getting fully
												flooded and water exiting basement window to Main
8308	MAIN	ST	Commercial	No	Yes	Finished	Basement	3'	400000.00	Yes	-	Street.
												Property was extensively damaged and experienced 24"
												of first floor flooding and complete basement flooding.
0004		CT	C			et al de la d	D		200000 00			Owner had to completely renovate first floor down to the
8334	IVIAIN	51	Commercial	NO	res	Finished	Basement/1st	12	200000.00	NO	res	nour juists.
0205	MAIN	ст	Commorcial	No	No	NI / A	1st Elger		12840.00	Voc	1	Property experienced first floor flooding that resulted in a
2020	IVIAIIN	51	commerciai	INO	NO	IN/A	15t F100F	-	12840.00	res	-	Property experienced approximately 8' of bacement
8300	MAIN	ST	Commercial	Voc	Vac	Finished	Basement	<u>8'</u>				flooding
0000	140.3118		connercial	103	103	maneu	busement	I ^N	1	1	1	

ADDRESSNO	PREMSNAM	PREMSTYP	Resid_Comm	Rental	Basement	Base_F_U	FloodType	FloodElev	Est_Damage	Pre_Insur	Post_Insur	Descript
8398	MAIN	ST	Commercial	No	Yes	Finished	Basement/1st	F 1.5'	5000.00	-	-	Property experienced extensive flood damage from both the street flooding and channel flooding. Flood waters significantly damaged a foundation wall that supports the upper floors. Extensive repairs to the bearing wall were required. Two furnaces and 2 water heaters damaged, as well as electrical systems. Property experienced extensive basement and first floor flooding, primarily from channel, however, reports of significant water coming from the opposite hillside were
8444	MAIN	ST	Residential	Yes		-	-	-	-	-	-	reported at this location. Witnesses reported water coming from all sides. A basement door was torn in hall at this property.
9573	MALINI	ст	Pasidantial	No	Voc	Unfinished	Pacamant/1ct		2000.00	No	Voc	Property experienced extensive basement flooding and
8600	MAIN	ST	Commercial	No	No	N/A	1st Floor		300000 00	No	No	Property experienced extensive flooding and equipment damage. Asphalt from upstream was deposited on this property and plugged drains installed to mitigate flooding. Several rental properties on parcel were severely damaged as well
8624	MAIN	ST	Commercial	No	No	-	-	-	-	-	-	Property experienced minimal flooding due to its location and elevation. All the surrounding properties were flooded.
8247/ 8249	MAIN	ST	Commercial	Yes	Yes	Partial	Basement/1st	F3"	-	No	-	Property experienced flooding through front door and back side door resulting in approximately 1' of basement flooding and a few inches of first floor flooding. Water also seeped through windows. Street front and sidewalk were damaged.
8329/ 8333	MAIN	ST	Commercial	Yes	Yes	Unfinished	1st Floor	-	50000.00	Yes	-	Property experienced approximately complete basement flooding and approximately 4' of water on the first floor. All systems such as boiler, electrical, and water heaters were damaged. Property requires complete renovation.
8578 C	MAIN	ST	Residential	Yes	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Property is a 3-unit building where the first floor was damaged when flood water came in through the door. Repairs included cleaning, power-washing, painting, and rug replacement.
8436/8438/8440	MERRYMAN	ST	Residential	Yes	Yes	Unfinished	Basement	-	1000.00	No	Yes	Property experienced basement/crawspace flooding resulting in damages to floor, subfloor, drywall, water heater, and insulation.
3732	OLD COLUMBIA	PIKE	Commercial	No	-	-	-	-	-	No	-	Property experienced first floor flooding coming from parking lot and damaged a stone retaining wall.

APPENDIX C

Property ID No.	Description of Damages and/or Flood Conditions	Estimated Damage
3615	Property experienced minimal flooding. Reports described a wall of water coming down street resulting in one resident climbing a tree to escape. Two smaller tributaries to Tiber-Hudson contributed to flooding.	unknown
3732	Property experienced first floor flooding coming from parking lot and damaged a stone retaining wall.	unknown
8004	Property boarded up.	N/A
8016	Property boarded up.	N/A
8018	Property boarded up.	N/A
8026	Property boarded up.	N/A
8030	Property boarded up.	N/A
8032	Property boarded up.	N/A

Property ID No.	Description of Damages and/or Flood Conditions	Estimated Damage
	Property boarded up.	
8034		N/A
8044	Property experienced more than 5' of first floor flooding; the façade of the building was completely damaged by a floating vehicle down Main Street.	\$32,000
8050	Property boarded up.	
8052	Property boarded up.	N/A
8054	Property boarded up.	
8055	Property experienced flooding from basement from channel and street flooding. An interior wall failed from the adjoining next door neighbor, bringing lots of mud and debris. The initial flooding to the business was from the street.	\$10,000
8081	Property boarded up – Tiber Alley- Tea on the Tiber – down to studs.	N/A
8086	Property experienced approximately 6' of flooding.	unknown

Property ID No.	Description of Damages and/or Flood Conditions	Estimated Damage
8090	Property experienced first floor flooding, approximately 52". Much of the contents were damaged.	\$82,000
8095	Property experienced approximately 5' of first floor flooding predominantly from the street flooding; flood waters broke through the front door.	unknown
8125	Property was extensively damaged by street flooding and channel flooding. Building was extensively structurally damaged.	unknown
8134	Flood water damaged stone walls in front of two patios, stone steps, sidewalk in front of building, and stonework along bottom of building. Water ran into building off the hill behind building. Several inches of flood water in first floor.	\$14,000
8143	Property experienced approximately 1' basement flooding and 2' first floor flooding that came into building from both front and back.	unknown
8156	Property experienced flood damage to exterior features. Interior flooding did not occur due to elevation above street level.	\$3,000
8180	Property experienced approximately 1" of first floor flooding; street debris and sediment prevented flood water from entering front doors; floors were damaged and had to be refinished.	\$3,000
8181	Property experienced basement flooding to within 1" of first floor. Flood water broke through windows in back of building, a sheetrock wall, and through the front door.	unknown
8186	Property experienced some flooding from the hillside behind building.	unknown
8191	Flood water rose to floor joists of the first floor, approximately 14'. Electrical, boiler, hot water heater, etc., all systems damaged. Basement had to be gutted.	unknown

8202	Property experienced extensive flooding. Street flooding resulted in two vehicles damaging front porch, sidewalk. Water damage to upper floor units. Exterior brick walls damaged, as well as sink hole in back yard.	\$28,000
8210	Property experienced flooding.	unknown
8247	Property experienced flooding through front door and back side door resulting in approximately 1' of basement flooding and a few inches of first floor flooding. Water also seeped through windows. Street front and sidewalk were damaged.	unknown
8249	Property experienced flooding through front door and back side door resulting in approximately 1' of basement flooding and a few inches of first floor flooding. Water also seeped through windows. Street front and sidewalk were damaged.	unknown
8290	Flood water came into back of building at second floor, and then entered first floor. Much of the sheetrock and ceilings had to be replaced.	Unkown
8307	This basement is connected with 8334, therefore both structures experienced extensive flooding. Approximately 8-9 feet of water was in the basement and rose to the first floor.	Unknown
8307 (2)	Property experienced extensive flooding.	Unknown
8308	Property was flooded due to water backup at a Main Street conveyance. A conduit between the buildings resulted in second building basement getting fully flooded and water exiting basement window to Main Street.	\$400,000
8320	Property was extensively damaged and experienced 24" of first floor flooding and complete basement flooding. Owner had to completely renovate first floor down to the floor joists.	unknown
8329	Property experienced approximately complete basement flooding and approximately 4' of water on the first floor. All systems such as boiler, electrical, and water heaters were damaged. Property requires complete renovation.	\$50,000
8333	Same description as ID 8329.	

Property ID No.	Description of Damages and/or Flood Conditions	Estimated Damage
8334	Property was extensively damaged and experienced 24" of first floor flooding and complete basement flooding. Owner had to completely renovate first floor down to the floor joists.	\$200,000
8385	Property experienced first floor flooding that resulted in a ceiling collapse.	\$12,840
8388	Two properties with this identifier experienced 1-2" of flooding due to their location and elevation.	\$1,000
8390	Property experienced approximately 8' of basement flooding.	unknown
8398	Property experienced extensive flood damage from both the street flooding and channel flooding. Flood waters significantly damaged a foundation wall that supports the upper floors. Extensive repairs to the bearing wall were required. Two furnaces and 2 water heaters damaged, as well as electrical systems.	\$75,000
8436	Property experienced basement/crawspace flooding resulting in damages to floor, subfloor, drywall, water heater, and insulation.	
8438	Property experienced basement/crawspace flooding resulting in damages to floor, subfloor, drywall, water heater, and insulation.	\$10,000
8440	Property experienced basement/crawspace flooding resulting in damages to floor, subfloor, drywall, water heater, and insulation.	
8444	Property experienced extensive basement and first floor flooding, primarily from channel, however, reports of significant water coming from the opposite hillside were reported at this location. Witnesses reported water coming from all sides. A basement door was torn in half at this property.	Unknown
8512	Property experienced extensive flooding to first floor and flood walls from both channel and street flooding.	\$60,000

Property ID No.	Description of Damages and/or Flood Conditions	Estimated Damage
8572	Property experienced extensive basement flooding and loss of rock retaining walls around property.	\$2,000
8578	Property is a 3-unit building where the first floor was damaged when flood water came in through the door. Repairs included cleaning, power-washing, painting, and rug replacement.	unknown
8600	Property experienced extensive flooding and equipment damage. Asphalt from upstream was deposited on this property and plugged drains installed to mitigate flooding. Several rental properties on parcel were severely damaged as well.	\$2.2 million
8624	Property experienced minimal flooding due to its location and elevation. All the surrounding properties were flooded.	N/A
8637	Property experienced first floor flooding; water was approximately 54" deep in front of the property, resulting in approximately 20" of water inside structure. Damage to flooring, drywall, insulation, appliances, heat systems, parking lot, and 3 vehicles.	\$75,000
8879	Property experienced basement flooding. Witness reported two large conveyances coming down powerline.	\$5,000

Note:

To provide additional perspective on the flood's financial impact, it is estimated that this flood event resulted in \$10.8 million in public infrastructure damage and approximately \$67.2 million in economic loss for Ellicott City, as described in the Jacob France Institute report completed in November 2016.

***Total Estimated Damages Reported**

\$3,431,840

*Please note that the total damages reported in this study are estimated based solely on the responses received from the survey. Some property owners and/or tenants could not provide an accurate estimate at the time of the survey. Furthermore, this survey only sampled a portion of the properties damaged and should not be viewed as an official damage assessment.

APPENDIX D: REPOSITORY

Photo ID	Source	Date	Time	Location

Howard County Office of Emergency Management (OEM)

Photo ID	Source	Date	Time	Location